

discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

### Taking of Private Property

This proposed rule would not effect a taking of private property or otherwise have taking implications under Executive Order 12630, Governmental Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights.

### Civil Justice Reform

This proposed rule meets applicable standards in sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform, to minimize litigation, eliminate ambiguity, and reduce burden.

### Protection of Children

We have analyzed this proposed rule under Executive Order 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks. This rule is not an economically significant rule and would not create an environmental risk to health or risk to safety that might disproportionately affect children.

### Indian Tribal Governments

This proposed rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it would not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

To help the Coast Guard establish regular and meaningful consultation and collaboration with Indian and Alaskan Native tribes, we published a notice in the **Federal Register** (66 FR 36361, July 11, 2001) requesting comments on how to best carry out the Order. We invite your comments on how this proposed rule might impact tribal governments, even if that impact may not constitute a "tribal implication" under the Order.

### Energy Effects

We have analyzed this proposed rule under Executive Order 13211, Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use. We have determined that it is not a "significant energy action" under that order because it is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866 and is not likely to have a significant adverse effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy. It has not been designated by the

Administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs as a significant energy action. Therefore, it does not require a Statement of Energy Effects under Executive Order 13211.

### Environment

We have analyzed this proposed rule under Commandant Instruction M16475.ID, which guides the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) (42 U.S.C. 4321–4370f), and have concluded that there are no factors in this case that would limit the use of a categorical exclusion under section 2.B.2 of the Instruction. Therefore, this rule is categorically excluded, under figure 2–1, paragraph (34)(h), of the Instruction, from further environmental documentation. Special local regulations issued in conjunction with a regatta or marine parade permit are specifically excluded from further analysis and documentation under that section.

Under figure 2–1, paragraph (34)(h), of the Instruction, an "Environmental Analysis Check List" and a "Categorical Exclusion Determination" are not required for this rule. Comments on this section will be considered before we make the final decision on whether to categorically exclude this rule from further environmental review.

### List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 100

Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard proposes to amend 33 CFR Part 100 as follows:

### PART 100—SAFETY OF LIFE ON NAVIGABLE WATERS

1. The authority citation for part 100 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 33 U.S.C. 1233 through 1236; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170, 33 CFR 100.35.

2. § 100.531 is added to read as follows:

#### § 100.531 Isle of Wight Bay, Ocean City, Maryland

(a) *Definitions.* (1) *Coast Guard Patrol Commander.* The Coast Guard Patrol Commander is a commissioned, warrant, or petty officer of the Coast Guard who has been designated by the Commander, Coast Guard Group Eastern Shore.

(2) *Official Patrol.* The Official Patrol is any vessel assigned or approved by Commander, Coast Guard Group Eastern Shore with a commissioned, warrant, or

petty officer on board and displaying a Coast Guard ensign.

(3) *Regulated Area.* The regulated area includes all waters of Isle of Wight Bay enclosed by the arc of a circle 300 feet in diameter with the center located at position 38°22'30.0" N latitude, 075°04'18.0" W longitude. All coordinates reference Datum NAD 1983.

(b) *Special local regulations.* (1) Except for persons or vessels authorized by the Coast Guard Patrol Commander, no person or vessel may enter or remain in the regulated area.

(2) The operator of any vessel in the regulated area shall:

(i) Stop the vessel immediately when directed to do so by any official patrol, including any commissioned, warrant, or petty officer on board a vessel displaying a Coast Guard ensign.

(ii) Proceed as directed by any official patrol, including any commissioned, warrant, or petty officer on board a vessel displaying a Coast Guard ensign.

(c) *Enforcement period.* This section will be enforced annually from 9:15 p.m. to 10:15 p.m. on Memorial Day, July 4th, August 6th, and Labor Day. If the fireworks are delayed by inclement weather, the special local regulations will be enforced from 9:15 p.m. to 10:15 p.m. the next day.

Dated: June 4, 2003.

**Sally Brice-O'Hara,**

*Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard, Commander, Fifth Coast Guard District.*

[FR Doc. 03–17111 Filed 7–7–03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910–15–P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Parts 52 and 70

[NE 178–1178; FRL–7522–9]

### Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans and Operating Permits Program; State of Nebraska

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA proposes to approve revisions to the Nebraska State Implementation Plan (SIP) and Operating Permits Program. On September 7, 2001, and May 10, 2002, the state updated its air program rules to be consistent with Federal requirements, to revise definitions, and to clarify applicability, reporting, and monitoring requirements. Approval of these revisions will ensure consistency between the state and Federally-approved rules, and ensure Federal

enforceability of the state's revised air program rules.

In the final rules section of the **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the state's submittal as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision amendment and anticipates no relevant adverse comments to this action. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no relevant adverse comments are received in response to this action, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this action. If EPA receives relevant adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed action. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time. Please note that if EPA receives adverse comment on part of this rule and if that part can be severed from the remainder of the rule, EPA may adopt as final those parts of the rule that are not the subject of an adverse comment.

**DATES:** Comments on this proposed action must be received in writing by August 7, 2003.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments may be mailed to Wayne Kaiser, Environmental Protection Agency, Air Planning and Development Branch, 901 North 5th Street, Kansas City, Kansas 66101, or E-mail him at [kaiser.wayne@epa.gov](mailto:kaiser.wayne@epa.gov).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Wayne Kaiser at (913) 551-7603.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** See the information provided in the direct final rule which is located in the rules section of the **Federal Register**.

Dated: June 26, 2003.

**William Rice,**

*Acting Regional Administrator, Region 7.*  
[FR Doc. 03-17099 Filed 7-7-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 62

[IA 186-1186; FRL-7523-3]

#### Approval and Promulgation of State Plans for Designated Facilities and Pollutants; State of Iowa

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA proposes to approve a revision to the state of Iowa's rule for controlling emissions from existing sources subject to the section 111(d) emission guidelines. This revision updates the adoption by reference of Federal requirements applicable to these sources. Approval of this revision will ensure consistency between the state and Federally-approved rules, and ensure Federal enforceability of the revised state rule. In the final rules section of the **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the state's revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision amendment and anticipates no relevant adverse comments to this action. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no relevant adverse comments are received in response to this action, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this action. If EPA receives relevant adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed action. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time. Please note that if EPA receives adverse comment on part of this rule and if that part can be severed from the remainder of the rule, EPA may adopt as final those parts of the rule that are not the subject of an adverse comment.

**DATES:** Comments on this proposed action must be received in writing by August 7, 2003.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments may be mailed to Wayne Kaiser, Environmental Protection Agency, Air Planning and

Development Branch, 901 North 5th Street, Kansas City, Kansas 66101, or E-mail him at [kaiser.wayne@epa.gov](mailto:kaiser.wayne@epa.gov).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Wayne Kaiser at (913) 551-7603, or by E-mail at [kaiser.wayne@epa.gov](mailto:kaiser.wayne@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** See the information provided in the direct final rule which is located in the rules section of the **Federal Register**.

Dated: June 26, 2003.

**William Rice,**

*Acting Regional Administrator, Region 7.*  
[FR Doc. 03-17102 Filed 7-7-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

### 41 CFR Part 301-50

[FTR Case 2003-303 Correction]

#### Federal Travel Regulation; eTravel Service (eTS); Correction

**AGENCY:** Office of Governmentwide Policy, General Services Administration (GSA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule; Correction.

**SUMMARY:** This is to correct the Federal Travel Regulation's proposed rule published in the **Federal Register** at 68 FR 38662, June 30, 2003, by revising a Table of Content entry that was inadvertently revised.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. Laurie Duarte at (202) 501-4755, General Services Administration, Regulatory Secretariat, Washington, DC 20405.

#### Correction

In the proposed rule document appearing in the issue of June 30, 2003, make the following correction:

1. On page 38662, third column, table of contents, fourth section entry, remove "301-73.1" and add in its place "301-50.4".

Dated: July 1, 2003.

**Laurie Duarte,**

*Supervisor, Regulatory Secretariat, General Services Administration.*

[FR Doc. 03-17146 Filed 7-7-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6820-14-P**