

Avenue, NW., 8th Floor, Room 8410, Washington, DC 20525.

**STATUS:** Open.

**MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:**

- I. Chair's Opening Remarks.
- II. Consideration of Prior Meeting's Minutes.
- III. Committee Reports.
- IV. Youth and State Service Commissions Panel Presentation.
- V. White House Task Force on Disadvantaged Youth.
- VI. Martin Luther King, Jr. Day of Service—Philadelphia, PA.
- VII. National Association of Service Conservation Corps.

**ACCOMMODATIONS:** Anyone who needs an interpreter or other accommodation should notify the Corporation's contact person.

**CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:**

Michele Tennery, Senior Associate, Public Affairs, Corporation for National and Community Service, 8th Floor, Room 8601, 1201 New York Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20525. Phone (202) 606-5000 ext. 125. Fax (202) 565-2784. TDD: (202) 565-2799. E-mail: [mtennery@cns.gov](mailto:mtennery@cns.gov).

Dated: January 30, 2003.

**Frank R. Trinity,**

*General Counsel.*

[FR Doc. 03-2518 Filed 1-30-03; 11:43 am]

**BILLING CODE 6050--\$-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Office of the Secretary

#### Proposed Collection; Comment Request

**AGENCY:** Defense Security Service, Office of the Secretary, DoD.

**ACTION:** Notice.

In compliance with section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the Defense Security Service (DSS) announces the proposed collection affecting cleared DOD contractors and seeks public comments on the provision thereof. Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the information to be collected; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the information collection on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

**DATES:** Consideration will be given to all comments received by April 4, 2003.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments and recommendations on the proposed information collection should be sent to Defense Security Service, Chief, Program Integration Branch, ATTN: Mr. Richard L. Lawhorn, 1340 Braddock Place, Alexandria, VA 22314-1650.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** To request more information on this proposed data collection or obtain a copy of the proposal and associated collection instrument, please write to the above address, or call Defense Security Service, (703) 325-5327 or (703) 325-6034.

*Title and OMB Number:* "Defense Security Industrial Security Review Data", OMB No. 0704-XXXX and "Defense Security Service Industrial Security Facility Clearance Survey Data", OMB No. 0704-XXXX.

*Needs and Uses:* Executive Order (EO) 12829, "National Industrial Security Program (NISP)", dated January 6, 1993, as amended by EO 12885 dated December 14, 1993, established the NISP to safeguard Federal Government classified information. The Department of Defense (DOD) is one of four Cognizant Security Agencies that are signatories to the NISP. EO 12829 stipulates that the Secretary of Defense shall serve as the Executive Agent for inspecting and monitoring the contractors, licensees, and grantees who require or will require access, to or who store or will store classified information; and for determining the eligibility for access to classified information of contractors, licensees, and grantees and their respective employees. The specific requirements necessary to protect classified information released to private industry are set forth in DOD 5220.22M, "National Industrial Security Program Operating Manual (NISPOM)". The Executive agent has the authority to issue, after consultation with affected agencies, standard forms or other standardization that will promote the implementation of the NISP. DOD Contractors are subject to an initial facility clearance survey and periodic government security reviews to determine their eligibility to participate in the NISP and ensure that safeguards employed are adequate for the protection of classified information.

DOD Directive, 5105.42 "Subject: Defense Security Service", delineates the mission, functions and responsibilities of DSS. DSS is an Agency of the Department of Defense under the authority, direction, and control of the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Command, Control, Communication and Intelligence) (ASD (C3I)). DSS functions and

responsibilities include the administration and implementation of the Defense portion of the NISP pursuant to Executive Order 12829.

DSS is the office of record for the maintenance of information pertaining to contractor facility clearance records and industrial security information regarding cleared contractors under its cognizance. To the extent possible, information required as part of the survey or security review is obtained as a result of observation by the representative of the CSA or its designated Cognizant Security Office. Some of the information may be obtained in conference with Key Management Personnel and/or employees of the company. The information is used to respond to all inquiries regarding the facility clearance status and storage capability of cleared contractors. It is also used to assess and/or advise Government Contracting Activities regarding the contractor's continued ability to protect classified information.

*Affected Public:* Businesses, universities, partnerships or other profit and non-profit organizations participating in the Defense portion of the NISP.

#### Respondent Burden

##### *Industrial Security Review Data*

*Total Annual Burden Hours:* 38,619.6 hours.

*Total Number of Respondents:* 11,403.

*Possessors of classified:* 4,792.

*Non-Possessors of classified:* 6,611.

*Responses per Respondent:* 1.

*Average Burden Hours per*

*Respondent:*

*Possessors of classified:* 5.3 hours.

*Non-Possessors of classified:* 2 hours.

*Frequency:* Periodic (e.g. Possessors—Annually, Non-Possessors—18 months, compliance reviews, or when directed.)

*Industrial Security Facility Clearance Survey Data:*

*Total Annual Burden Hours:* 3,144 hours.

*Number of Respondents:* 1,572.

*Responses per Respondent:* 1.

*Average Burden Hours Per*

*Respondent:* 2 hours.

*Frequency:* On occasion (e.g. initial eligibility determination and when a significant changed condition, such as change in ownership.)

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Summary of Information Collection

The conduct of an Industrial Security Review and or Industrial Security Facility Clearance Survey assists in determining whether a contractor is eligible to establish its facility security

clearance and/or retain participation in the NISP. It is also the basis for verifying whether contractors are appropriately implementing NISP security requirements. These requirements are necessary in order to preserve and maintain the security of the United States through establishing standards to prevent the improper disclosure of classified information.

In accordance with Department of Defense (DOD), 5220.22-R "Industrial Security Regulation", DSS is required to maintain a record of the results of surveys and security reviews. Documentation for each survey and/or security review will be compiled addressing areas applicable to the contractor's security program. Portions of the data collected will be stored in databases. All data collected will be handled and marked, "For Official Use Only".

Dated: January 22, 2003.

**Patricia L. Toppings,**

*Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.*

[FR Doc. 03-2281 Filed 1-31-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 5001-08-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

#### City of Holyoke Gas & Electric Department Project No. 2004-075-Massachusetts; Notice

January 28, 2003.

The following Commission staff were assigned to help facilitate resolution of environmental and related issues associated with development of a comprehensive settlement agreement for the Holyoke Project. The parties anticipate completing the comprehensive settlement agreement and filing an offer of settlement by May 16, 2003. These "separated staff" will take no part in the Commission's review of the offer of settlement and the comprehensive settlement agreement, or deliberations concerning the disposition of the rehearings.

Office of General Counsel: John Katz;  
Office of Energy Projects: Steve Kartalia,  
Alan Mitchnick.

Different Commission "advisory staff" will be assigned to review the offer of settlement, the comprehensive settlement agreement, and process the requests for rehearing, including providing advice to the Commission with respect to the agreement and rehearings. Separated staff and advisory staff are prohibited from communicating

with one another concerning the settlement and rehearings.

**Magalie R. Salas,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 03-2387 Filed 1-31-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6717-01-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

**[Docket No. CP03-39-000]**

#### Kinder Morgan Interstate Gas Transmission, LLC; Notice of Application

January 28, 2003.

On January 16, 2003, Kinder Morgan Interstate Gas Transmission, LLC, (KMIGT), located at 370 Van Gordon Street, Lakewood, Colorado, filed an application in the above referenced docket, pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA), and part 157 of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (Commission) Rules and Regulations for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing KMIGT to construct and operate facilities necessary to develop its Cheyenne Market Center Service. To accomplish this, KMIGT proposes to construct (1) Two 3,550 horsepower compressor units and ten injection/withdrawal wells at the Huntsman Storage Field; (2) two 1,680 horsepower compressor units at the Rockport Compressor Station; (3) two 1,151 horsepower compressor units at the Kimball Junction Interconnect in Kimball County, Nebraska; (4) approximately 3,700 feet of 8 and 12-inch pipeline; and (5) certain section 2.55(a) facilities. These new facilities will create incremental storage capacity up to 6,000,000 Dth, with an associated withdrawal deliverability of approximately 62,400 Dth/d. It is estimated the facilities will cost approximately \$26,905,570. This filing is available for review at the Commission in the Public Reference Room or may be viewed on the Commission's Web site at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the "FERRIS" link. Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number field to access the document. For assistance, contact FERC at [FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov](mailto:FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov) or call toll-free, (866) 208-3676, or for TTY, (202) 502-8659.

Any questions regarding this application should be directed to Skip George, Manager of Certificates, Kinder Morgan Interstate Gas Transmission,

LLC, PO Box 281304, Lakewood, Colorado 80228-8304, telephone (303) 914-4969.

There are two ways to become involved in the Commission's review of this project. First, any person wishing to obtain legal status by becoming a party to the proceedings for this project should, on or before the comment date stated below, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 157.10). A person obtaining party status will be placed on the service list maintained by the Secretary of the Commission and will receive copies of all documents filed by the applicant and by all other parties. A party must submit 14 copies of filings made in the proceeding with the Commission and must mail a copy to the applicant and to every other party. Only parties to the proceeding can ask for court review of Commission orders in the proceeding.

However, a person does not have to intervene in order to have comments considered. The second way to participate is by filing with the Secretary of the Commission, as soon as possible, an original and two copies of comments in support of or in opposition to this project. The Commission will consider these comments in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but the filing of a comment alone will not serve to make the filer a party to the proceeding. The Commission's rules require that persons filing comments in opposition to the project provide copies of their protests only to the party or parties directly involved in the protest.

Persons who wish to comment only on the environmental review of this project should submit an original and two copies of their comments to the Secretary of the Commission. Environmental commenters will be placed on the Commission's environmental mailing list, will receive copies of the environmental documents, and will be notified of meetings associated with the Commission's environmental review process. Environmental commenters will not be required to serve copies of filed documents on all other parties. However, the non-party commenters will not receive copies of all documents filed by other parties or issued by the Commission (except for the mailing of environmental documents issued by the Commission) and will not have the right