Procedure (19 CFR 207.40(a)), the antidumping investigations concerning low enriched uranium from Germany, the Netherlands, and the United Kingdom (investigations Nos. 731–TA–910–912 (Final)) are terminated.

EFFECTIVE DATE: December 21, 2001. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Bonnie Noreen (202–205–3167), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearingimpaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (http:// www.usitc.gov). The public record for these investigations may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS-ON-LINE) at http:// dockets.usitc.gov/eol/public.

Authority: These investigations are being terminated under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 201.10 of the Commission's rules (19 CFR 201.10).

By order of the Commission. Issued: December 28, 2001.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02–138 Filed 1–2–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731-TA-762]

Static Random Access Memory Semiconductors From Taiwan; Notice of Final Decision Affirming Remand Determination

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade

Commission. **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice of a final court decision affirming its final negative determination, made pursuant to court remand, in the antidumping duty investigation of static random access memory semiconductors (SRAMs) from Taiwan.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Michael Diehl, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205–3095. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (http://www.usitc.gov).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In April of 1998, the Commission published its determination that an industry in the United States was materially injured by reason of imports of SRAMs from Taiwan found by the Department of Commerce (Commerce) to be sold at less than fair value. The Commission also found that the domestic industry was not materially injured or threatened with material injury by reason of subject imports of SRAMs from the Republic of Korea. Static Random Access Memory Semiconductors from the Republic of Korea and Taiwan, Investigation Nos. 731-TA-761-762 (Final), USITC Pub. 3098 (April 1998). See 63 FR 18443 (April 15, 1998).

The Taiwan Semiconductor Industry Association and others sought review of the affirmative determination in the United States Court of International Trade (CIT). On June 30, 1999, the CIT remanded the determination to the Commission with instructions to provide further explanation regarding the Commission's volume and price effects determinations. Taiwan Semiconductor Industry Ass'n v. United States, 59 F.Supp.2d 1324 (CIT 1999) (Taiwan I).

After an additional remand from the CIT on April 11, 2000, Taiwan Semiconductor Industry Ass'n v. United States, 105 F.Supp.2d 1363 (2000) (Taiwan II), the Commission determined that a domestic industry in the United States was not materially injured or threatened with material injury by reason of subject imports of SRAMs from Taiwan. Static Random Access Memory Semiconductors from Taiwan (Views on Remand), Investigation No. 731–TA–762 (Second Remand), USITC Pub. 3319 (June 2000). On August 29, 2000, the CIT affirmed the Commission's negative remand determination. Taiwan Semiconductor Industry Ass'n v. United States, 118 F.Supp.2d 1250 (CIT 2000) (Taiwan III).

On September 28, 2000, Commerce published notice of the CIT decision, pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1516a(c). 65 F.R. 58263. In accordance with Timken Co. v. United States, 893 F.2d 337 (Fed. Cir. 1990), Commerce stated that it would continue to order the suspension of liquidation of the subject merchandise. Commerce also indicated that, if the CIT decision was affirmed on appeal, it would revoke the antidumping duty order.

Petitioner Micron Technology, a domestic producer of SRAMs, appealed the CIT's decisions in Taiwan I and Taiwan III. On September 21, 2001, the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (CAFC) affirmed the CIT's decision to remand for further explanation in Taiwan I, and affirmed the Commission's negative remand determination. Taiwan Semiconductor Industry Ass'n v. United States, 266 F.3d 1339 (2001). The CAFC issued its mandate on December 11, 2001.

The judicial proceedings having ended and the final court decision having been issued, the Commission, pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1516a(e), publishes notice of the final court decision affirming its negative remand determination.

Issued: December 28, 2001. By order of the Commission.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02–139 Filed 1–2–02; 8:45 am] $\tt BILLING\ CODE\ 7020–02-M$

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Under the Clean Air Act

Under 28 CFR 50.7, notice is hereby given that on November 7, 2001, a proposed Consent Decree in the *United States* v. *Aristech Chemical Corporation*, Civil Action No. C–1–01–772, was lodged with the United States District Court for the Southern District of Ohio, Western Division.

In this action the United States seeks civil penalties and injunctive relief against Aristech Chemical Corporation ("Aristech") pursuant to Section 113(b) of the Clean Air Act ("CAA"), 42 U.S.C. 7413(b) (1983), amended by, 42 U.S.C. 7413(b) (Supp. 1991), for alleged violations at Aristech's Ironton, Ohio facility. Under the settlement, Aristech will pay a civil penalty of \$450,000, and apply for and obtain a permit for the Phenol Expansion Project, under the CAA's Prevention of Significant Deterioration ("PSD") program, from the State of Ohio, the permitting authority.

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication comments relating to the Consent Decree.

Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General,
Environment and Natural Resources Division, U.S. Department of Justice, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044–7611, and should refer to *United States* v. *Aristech Chemical Corporation*, D.J. Ref. 90–5–2–1–06701/1.

The Consent Decree may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney for the Southern District of Ohio, Western Division, Potter Stuart Federal Courthouse, 5th and Walnut Streets, Room 220, Cincinnati, Ohio 45202, and at U.S. EPA Region 5, 77 West Jackson Blvd., Chicago, Illinois 60604. A copy of the Consent Decree may also be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611. In requesting a copy, please enclose a check in the amount of \$7.75 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the Consent Decree Library.

William D. Brighton,

Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 01–91 Filed 1–2–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Request for Resubmission of Comments on Proposed Consent Decree

In accordance with Departmental Policy, 28 CFR 50.7, the Department of Justice published a notice on October 4, 2001 that a proposed consent decree in *United States* v. *Caribbean Airport Facilities, Inc, and Anthony Tirri,* Civil Action No. 01–2178 (JAG) (D.P.R.), had been lodged with the United States District Court for the District of Puerto Rico, and provided a public comment period that ended on November 6, 2001. The notice provided an address to which comments should be mailed.

The Department of Justice has experienced disruptions in mail delivery in October and November. To date, the Department has received no comments on the proposed consent decree in this case.

In recognition of the possibility that comments were mailed but did not reach the Department due to the mail disruptions, the Department is requesting that any persons who sent comments on the proposed consent decree during the period resubmit a copy of those comments to the Department. To be clear, the Department is *not* reopening the comment period or accepting new comments. The Department will only consider comments that were previously mailed during the comment period that ended on November 6, 2001.

Any person who submitted comments to the Department during the comment period and wishes to have those comments considered must resubmit their comments within 10 days of the date of publication of this notice. Resubmitted comments should be sent either (1) by telecopy to Scott J. Jordan at 202–514–8865 or (2) by mail to Camille Vélez-Rivé, Assistant United States Attorney, US Attorney's Office, Room 452, Federal Office Building, Carlos Chardon Street, Hato Rey, Puerto Rico 00918.

Mary F. Edgar,

Assistant Chief, Environmental Defense Section, Environment & Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 02–39 Filed 1–2–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–15–M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

In accordance with Departmental policy, 28 CFR 50.7, notice is hereby given that a proposed partial consent decree in *United States* v. *The Ed Krewatch Partnership, Antonio* v. *Nero, Gardner Asphalt Corporation, Emulsion Products Company, and Raymond T. Hyer, Jr.*, Civil Action No. 01:659, was lodged with the United States Court for the District of Delaware on September 28, 2001.

The proposed partial consent decree pertains to the Krewatch Farm Superfund Site ("Site"), located near Seaford, Delaware. The United States has sued a number of defendants pursuant to Section 107 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. 9607, to recover past response costs incurred at the Site. Two defendants, Antonio V. Nero and The Ed Krewatch Partnership ("Settling Defendants"), have agreed to a settlement memorialized in the partial consent decree. In the settlement, defendant Antonio V. Nero agrees to pay \$10,000 for reimbursement of costs expended in the cleanup by the Environmental Protection Agency. Defendant The Ed Krewatch Partnership agrees to pay \$152,000 in cash and 40% of the proceeds of the sale of the farm on which the Site is located. In addition, The Ed Krewatch Partnership agrees to reimburse \$22,667.25 to the state environmental agency Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control ("DNREC").

The Department of Justice will receive, for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication, comments relating to the proposed consent decree. Comments should be

addressed to the Assistant Attorney General for the Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20530, and should refer to *United States* v. *The Ed Krewatch Partnership, et al.*, DOJ Ref. # 90–11–3–07224.

The proposed consent decree may be examined at the office of the United States Attorney, District of Delaware, 1201 N. Market Street, Wilmington, DE and at the Region III Office of the Environmental Protection Agency, 1650 Arch St., Philadelphia, PA 19103. A copy of the proposed consent decree may be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611. In requesting a copy please refer to the referenced case and enclose a check in the amount of \$9.75 (\$.25 per page reproduction cost), payable to the Consent Decree Library.

Robert D. Brook,

Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environmental and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 02–95 Filed 1–2–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–15–M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act ("CERCLA")

Consistent with Departmental policy, 28 CFR 50.7 and 38 FR 19029, notice is hereby given that on November 13, 2001, a proposed Consent Decree in United States v. Frederick Gendron, et al., Civil Action No. 01-422-JD, was lodged with the United States District Court for the District of New Hampshire. The proposed Consent Decree will resolve the United States' claims under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, 42 U.S.C. 9607, as amended ("CERCLA"), on behalf of the U.S. **Environmental Protection Agency** ("EPA") against the defendant relating to the Gendron Junkyard Site located at 11-13 Hobbs Road in Pelham, New Hampshire (the "Site"). The Complaint alleges that the defendants are liable under Section 107 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9607, for recovery of response costs incurred at or in connection with the release or threatened release of hazardous substances at the Site.

The Consent Decree requires the Settling Defendants to pay to the U.S. EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund \$650,000 in reimbursement of past