www.epa.gov/otaq/stateresources/ transconf/adequacy.htm. The adequate motor vehicle emissions budgets are provided in the following table:

SJV PM_{2.5} PLAN MOTOR VEHICLE EMISSIONS BUDGETS FOUND ADEQUATE

[Annual average, tons per day]

	2009		2012	
	PM _{2.5}	NO_X	PM _{2.5}	NO_X
Fresno	2.2	56.5	1.9	44.2
Kern (SJV)	3.4	87.7	3.0	74.2
Kings	0.7	17.9	0.6	14.6
Madera	0.6	14.1	0.5	11.4
Merced	1.5	33.6	1.2	26.7
San Joaquin	1.6	39.1	1.4	32.8
Stanislaus	1.0	25.8	0.9	20.8
Tulare	0.9	23.3	0.8	19.5

Our letter dated April 23, 2010 also states that budgets for the attainment vear of 2014 are inadequate for transportation conformity purpose. The State has included additional on-road mobile source emissions reductions in the budgets for 2014 from the 2007 State Strategy for the California State Implementation Plan (SIP). The adequate budgets include no such reductions but rather reflect emissions reductions from CARB rules that have already been adopted. EPA has determined that the 2014 budgets are inadequate because they include new emission reductions that do not result from specific or enforceable control measures. As a result, three of the transportation conformity rule's adequacy criteria are not met (40 CFR 93.118(e)(4)(iii), (iv), and (v)) for these budgets. The inadequate motor vehicle emissions budgets are provided in the following table:

$SJV\ PM_{2.5}\ PLAN\ MOTOR\ VEHICLE$ EMISSIONS BUDGETS FOUND INADEQUATE

[Annual average, tons per day]

	2014		
	PM _{2.5}	NO _x	
Fresno	1.1	26.0	
Kern (SJV)	1.4	41.6	
Kings`	0.3	8.1	
Madera	0.3	6.7	
Merced	0.6	14.8	
San Joaquin	0.9	20.3	
Stanislaus	0.5	12.4	
Tulare	0.5	12.2	

Receipt of the motor vehicle emissions budgets in the San Joaquin Valley 2008 PM_{2.5} Plan was announced on EPA's transportation conformity Web site on August 19, 2008. We received no comments in response to the adequacy review posting. The finding is available at EPA's transportation conformity Web

site: http://www.epa.gov/otaq/ stateresources/transconf/adequacy.htm.

Transportation conformity is required by Clean Air Act section 176(c). EPA's conformity rule requires that transportation plans, transportation improvement programs, and projects conform to SIPs and establishes the criteria and procedures for determining whether or not they do conform. Conformity to a SIP means that transportation activities will not produce new air quality violations, worsen existing violations, or delay timely attainment of the national ambient air quality standards.

The criteria by which we determine whether a SIP's motor vehicle emission budgets are adequate for conformity purposes are outlined in 40 CFR 93.118(e)(4) which was promulgated in our August 15, 1997 final rule (62 FR 43780, 43781-43783). We have further described our process for determining the adequacy of submitted SIP budgets in our July 1, 2004 final rule (69 FR 40004, 40038), and we used the information in these resources in making our adequacy determination. Please note that an adequacy review is separate from EPA's completeness review, and should not be used to prejudge EPA's ultimate approval action for the SIP. Even if we find a budget adequate, the SIP could later be disapproved.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.* Dated: May 5, 2010.

Jared Blumenfeld,

Regional Administrator, Region IX. [FR Doc. 2010–11295 Filed 5–11–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA-R04-OAR-2009-0751-201022(c); FRL-9150-4]

Adequacy Status of the Hickory-Morganton-Lenoir, North Carolina 1997 PM_{2.5} Attainment; Demonstration Motor Vehicle Emissions Budget for Transportation Conformity Purposes

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of adequacy; correcting amendment.

SUMMARY: On March 1, 2010, EPA published a notice in the **Federal** Register to notify the public of an adequacy determination that the Agency made with regards to the motor vehicle emissions budget (MVEB) for nitrogen oxides (NO_X) and for an insignificance determination related to fine particulate matter (PM_{2.5}) for mobile sources' overall contribution to the $PM_{2.5}$ pollution in the Hickory-Morganton-Lenoir area (hereafter referred to as the Hickory Area). In that notice, EPA identified the units of measure for the NO_X MVEB as kilograms per day (kgd). EPA is publishing this amendment to correctly identify the units of measure for the NO_X MVEB as kilograms per year (kgy). Additionally, the March 1, 2010, Federal Register notice included an inadvertent error to the docket ID number which is being corrected in this action.

DATES: This action is effective May 12, 2010.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the documentation used in the action being corrected are available for inspection during normal business hours at the following location: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, 61 Forsyth Street, SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303–8960. The Regional Office's official hours of business are Monday through

Friday, 8:30 to 4:30, excluding federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Amanetta Somerville, Environmental Scientist, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, 61 Forsyth Street, SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303–8960. Ms. Somerville can be reached at 404–562–9025, or via electronic mail at somerville.amanetta@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On August 21, 2009, the State of North Carolina, through the North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources (NCDENR), submitted the attainment demonstration for the 1997 PM_{2.5} nonattainment area for the Hickory Area. The Hickory 1997 PM_{2.5} nonattainment area is comprised of Catawba County, North Carolina. North Carolina's attainment demonstration included a MVEB for NOx and an insignificance finding for the overall contribution of direct PM_{2.5} from mobile sources to the PM_{2.5} pollution in Catawba County.

EPA Region 4 sent a letter to NCDENR on January 20, 2010, stating that the 2009 NO_X MVEB in the 1997 PM_{2.5} attainment demonstration for the Hickory Area was adequate for the purposes of transportation conformity purposes. The letter identified the NOx MVEB as 2,887,955 kgd. Subsequently, in response to North Carolina's submission, on March 1, 2010, EPA notified the public of its finding of adequacy for the NO_X MVEB and also identified the NO_X MVEB as 2,887,955 kgd. The units of measure provided in North Carolina's submission for the NO_X MVEB are actually kgy and not kgd so EPA is correcting this error. The March 1, 2010, rulemaking also contained an inadvertent error to the docket ID number, published as EPA-R04-OAR-2009-0561. The correct docket ID number is EPA-R04-OAR-2009-0751, which EPA is correcting through this action.

On April 20, 2010, EPA sent a letter to North Carolina noting this error and announcing that a correcting amendment (this notice) would be published soon to alert the public to this correction. Below identifies the correct NO_X MVEBs for the Hickory Area.

HICKORY AREA NO_X MVEB [Kilograms per year]

	2009
Catawba County	2,887,995

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

Dated: May 3, 2010.

A. Stanley Meiburg,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 4. [FR Doc. 2010–11304 Filed 5–11–10; 8:45 am]

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA-HQ-OAR-2009-0865; FRL-9150-6]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed Collection; Comment Request; Internet Survey Research for Improving Fuel Economy Label Design and Content; EPA ICR No. 2390.01, OMB Control No. 2060– NFW

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.), this document announces that EPA is planning to submit a request for a new Information Collection Request (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). Before submitting the ICR to OMB for review and approval, EPA is soliciting comments on specific aspects of the proposed information collection as described below.

DATES: Comments must be submitted on or before June 11, 2010.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OAR-2009-0865 by one of the following methods:

- http://www.regulations.gov: Follow the on-line instructions for submitting comments.
 - $\bullet \ \ \textit{E-mail: a-and-r-Docket}@epa.gov.$
 - Fax: (202) 566-1741.
- Mail: Environmental Protection Agency, EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), Air and Radiation Docket, Mail Code 2822T, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20460, Attention Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OAR-2009-
- Hand Delivery: Docket Center, (EPA/DC) EPA West, Room B102, 1301 Constitution Ave., NW., Washington, DC, Attention Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OAR-2009-0865. Such deliveries are only accepted during the Docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries are only accepted during the Docket's normal hours of operation. Such deliveries are only accepted during the Docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of boxed information.

Instructions: Direct your comments to Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OAR-2009-

0865. EPA's policy is that all comments received will be included in the public docket without change and may be made available online at http:// www.regulations.gov, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes information claimed to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Do not submit information that you consider to be CBI or otherwise protected through http:// www.regulations.gov or e-mail. The http://www.regulations.gov Web site is an "anonymous access" system, which means EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send an e-mail comment directly to EPA without going through http:// www.regulations.gov your e-mail address will be automatically captured and included as part of the comment that is placed in the public docket and made available on the Internet. If you submit an electronic comment, EPA recommends that you include your name and other contact information in the body of your comment and with any disk or CD-ROM you submit. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact vou for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment. Electronic files should avoid the use of special characters, any form of encryption, and be free of any defects or viruses. For additional information about EPA's public docket visit the EPA Docket Center homepage at http:// www.epa.gov/epahome/dockets.htm.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Roberts French, Compliance and Innovative Strategies Division, Office of Transportation and Air Quality, Environmental Protection Agency, 2000 Traverwood Dr., Ann Arbor, MI 48105; telephone number: (734) 214–4380; fax number: (734) 214–4869; e-mail address: French.Roberts@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

How can I access the Docket and/or submit comments?

EPA has established a public docket for this ICR under Docket ID No. EPA–HQ–OAR–2009–0865, which is available for online viewing at http://www.regulations.gov, or in person viewing at the Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center in the EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), EPA West, Room 3334, 1301 Constitution Ave., NW., Washington, DC. The EPA/DC Public Reading Room is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The