approach protection, or airport development.

• Cargo facility requirements.

• Modifications which will permit the airfield to accommodate general aviation users.

Landside:

• Construction of surface parking areas and access roads to accommodate automobiles in the airport terminal and air cargo areas and provide an adequate level of access to the airport.

• Construction or relocation of access roads to provide efficient and convenient movement of vehicular traffic to, on, and from the airport, including access to passenger, air cargo, fixed base operations, and aircraft maintenance areas.

• Modification or construction of facilities such as passenger terminals, surface automobile parking lots, hangars, air cargo terminal buildings, and access roads to cargo facilities to accommodate civil use.

(13) An evaluation of the ability of surface transportation facilities (road, rail, high-speed rail, maritime) to provide intermodal connections.

(14) A description of the type and level of aviation and community interest in the civil use of a current or former military airport.

(15) One copy of the FAA-approved ALP for each copy of the application. The ALP or supporting information should clearly show capacity and conversion related projects. Also, other information such as project costs, schedule, project justification, other maps and drawings showing the project locations, and any other supporting documentation that would make the application easier to understand should be included. These maps and ALP's should be cross-referenced with the project costs and project descriptions.

## Re-designation of Airports Previously Designated and Applying for Up to an Additional Five Years in the Program

Airports applying for re-designation to the Military Airport Program need to submit the same information required by new candidate airports applying for a new designation. On the SF 424, Application for Federal Assistance, prescribed by the Office of Management and Budget Circular A–102, airports must indicate their application is for redesignation to the MAP. In addition to the above information, they must explain:

(1) Why a re-designation and additional MAP eligible project funding is needed to accomplish the conversion to meet the civil role of the airport and the preferred time period for redesignation; (2) Why funding of eligible work under other categories of AIP or other sources of funding would not accomplish the development needs of the airport;

(3) Why, based on the previously funded MAP projects, the projects and/ or funding level were insufficient to accomplish the airport conversion needs and development goals; and
(4) The term of the re-designation, not

(4) The term of the re-designation, not to exceed five years, for which the airport is applying.

This notice is issued pursuant to Title 49 U.S.C. 47118.

Issued at Washington, DC, on January 4, 2002.

#### Benito DeLeon,

Deputy Director, Office of Airport Planning and Programming.

[FR Doc. 02–651 Filed 1–9–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

# DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

#### Federal Aviation Administration

## Notice of Intent To Rule on Application (02–02–U–00–HGR) To Use a Passenger Facility Charge (PFC) at Hagerstown Regional Airport—Richard A. Henson Field, Hagerstown, MD

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT. **ACTION:** Notice of intent to rule on application.

**SUMMARY:** The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to impose and use a passenger facility charge (PFC) at Hagerstown Regional Airport—Richard A. Henson Field under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (Title IX of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990) (Pub. L. 101–508) and part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 158).

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before February 11, 2002.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments on this application may be mailed or delivered in triplicate to the FAA at the following address: Arthur Winder, Project Manager, Washington Airports District Office, 23723 Air Freight Lane, Suite 210, Dulles, VA 22016.

In addition, one copy of any comments submitted to the FAA must be mailed or delivered to Carolyn S. Motz, Airport Manager, Board of County Commissioners of Washington County, Maryland at the following address: Hagerstown Regional Airport—Richard A. Henson Field, 18434 Showalter Road, Hagerstown, Maryland 21742–1347. Air carriers and foreign air carriers may submit copies of written comments previously provided to the Board of County Commissioners of Washington County, Maryland under § 158.23 of part 158.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Arthur Winder, Project Manager, Washington Airports District Office, 23723 Air Freight Lane, Suite 210, Dulles, VA 22016, (703) 661–1363. The application may be reviewed in person at this same location.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to impose and use a PFC at Hagerstown Regional Airport—Richard A. Henson Field under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (Title IX of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990) (Pub. L. 101–508) and part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 158).

On January 28, 1999, the FAA determined that the application to impose and use a PFC submitted by the Board of County Commissioners of Washington County, Maryland was substantially complete within the requirements of § 158.25 of part 158. The FAA will approve or disapprove the application, in whole or in part, no later than April 30, 1999.

The following is a brief overview of the application.

*PFC Application No.:* 02–02–U–00– HGR.

Level of the proposed PFC: \$4.50. Proposed charge effective date:

January 1, 2002.

*Proposed charge expiration date:* July 8, 2003.

*Total estimated PFC revenue:* \$206,000.

Brief description of proposed project(s):

—Construct Snow and Equipment Maintenance Building.

Class or classes of air carriers which the public agency has requested not be required to collect PFCs: Nonscheduled/ On-Demand Air Carrier filing FAA Form 1800–31.

Any person may inspect the application in person at the FAA office listed above under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** and at the FAA regional Airports Office located at: Federal Aviation Administration, Airports Division, AEA–610, 1 Aviation Plaza, Jamaica, NY 11434–4809.

In addition, any person may, upon request, inspect the application, notice and other documents germane to the application in person at the Hagerstown Regional Airport—Richard A. Henson Field. Issued in Dulles, VA 22016, January 3, 2002.

# Terry J. Page,

Manager, Washington Airports District Office. [FR Doc. 02–654 Filed 1–9–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

#### Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration

[Docket No. FMCSA-2001-9688]

#### Agency Information Collection Activities Under OMB Review: OMB Control No. 2126–0001 (Driver's Record of Duty Status)

**AGENCY:** Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration (FMCSA), DOT. **ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: The FMCSA announces that the Information Collection Request (ICR) described in this notice is being sent to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval. The FMCSA is requesting approval of the information that is required for the Record of Duty Status (RODS) of drivers of commercial motor vehicles (CMVs). This information collection is necessary to ensure that motor carriers and CMV drivers comply with the limitations on maximum driving and duty time prescribed in the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Regulations (FMCSRs). The ICR describes the information collection and its expected burden. FMCSA is sending the ICR to OMB in accordance with the terms of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The FMCSA published the required Federal Register notice offering a 60-day comment period on this information collection on May 21, 2001 (66 FR 28017). Two comments were received during this comment period and are addressed below. **DATES:** Please submit comments by February 11, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Send comments to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, 725 Seventeenth Street NW., Washington, DC 20503, Attention: DOT Desk Officer. We particularly request your comments on whether the collection of information is necessary for the FMCSA to meet its goal of reducing truck crashes, including: whether the information is useful to this goal; the accuracy of the estimate of the burden of the information collection; ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information collected; and ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on

respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. OMB wants to receive comments within 30 days of publication of this notice in order to act on the ICR quickly.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Robert F. Schultz, Jr. (202) 366–2718, Driver and Carrier Operations (MC– PSD), Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration, 400 Seventh Street SW., Washington, DC 20590. Office hours are from 7:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m., e.t., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

*Title:* Driver's Record of Duty Status *OMB Approval Number:* 2126–0001

Background: The record of duty status (RODS) is the primary tool used by the FMCSA to determine the compliance of motor carriers and CMV drivers with the maximum driving and duty time limitations prescribed in the FMCSRs. States that receive Motor Carrier Safety Assistance Program (MCSAP) grants from the FMCSA employ these tools to determine the regulatory compliance of CMV drivers during safety inspections. The information contained in the RODS determines whether a driver can drive a CMV on any given day, based upon the duty hours and driving time recorded by the driver over the previous 7 to 8 days. The RODS is an important tool to help ensure the safety of the general public by reducing the number of tired drivers on the nation's highways.

On May 21, 2001, the FMCSA gave notice that the agency intended to seek OMB approval of the renewal of this information collection (66 FR 28017). The Notice solicited public comment; two comments were received. Both comments indicated that both drivers and carriers, in complying with the paperwork associated with the RODS, consume more time than FMCSA had estimated. The American Trucking Association (ATA) reported the results of a survey of its members. Member drivers estimated that it takes 10 to 15 minutes per day to properly complete a log sheet. Member motor carriers estimated that it takes 9 minutes per day to "review, check for accuracy, and file" each record of duty status. The Owner-**Operators Independent Drivers** Association (OOIDA) also provided estimates from its members. Member drivers estimated that it takes "approximately 15 minutes" per day to properly complete a log sheet. Member motor carriers estimated that it takes 9 to 10 minutes daily, per RODS, to receive, process and store the information.

In light of the comments received FMCSA has reconsidered the

assumptions we applied in developing our previous estimates. In addition, the agency conducted a small number of "time trials" to examine the process of completing a RODS more closely. The agency separated the standard RODS into three parts: the basic information at the top of the log, the large area for tracking the actual duty status through the day, and the summary portion. The agency determined that the industry average for each part of the RODS were as follows:

Date, name and address of the motor carrier, vehicle number and total miles—1 minute.

30 to 45 seconds per change of duty status (each individual grid entry) with 6 to 8 changes of duty status per day for most drivers—4 minutes and 30 seconds.

Addition of the total hours for each status line, and for the 24-hour period—1 minute.

FMCSA has previously estimated that 2 minutes daily are required for a driver to complete a RODS. We now estimate that 6.5 minutes daily are required to complete minimally compliant RODS. The agency does not doubt that for some drivers in some segments of the trucking industry the daily times are as great as the comments suggest. However, we feel that 6.5 minutes provides a more reasonable industry-wide average of the amount of time a driver requires to complete a RODS.

FMCSA has previously estimated that a motor carrier requires 30 seconds daily per driver to file a RODS. In light of the comments received from these two organizations, we have reconsidered the assumptions we applied in developing our estimate. We now estimate that 3 minutes daily per driver are required for a motor carrier to file each RODS. We are also guided by the fact that the regulations do not require the motor carrier to review each and every RODS of its drivers; it is sufficient if the carrier develops some form of systemic review of these records, such as periodic random spot checks, to assure that they are being completed properly.

On May 2, 2000, FMCSA proposed a comprehensive revision of the HOS Rules (65 FR 25539). The agency is continuing its review of more than 50,000 comments to these proposed rules. The agency also held eight public hearings and three roundtables, and is reviewing the transcripts of these proceedings. The review is continuing.

Earlier, on April 20, 1998, FMCSA published an NPRM (63 FR 19457) in response to a statutory mandate to amend the HOS regulations by defining and describing the supporting