

trafficking cases? What sentences have courts imposed upon traffickers? How common are suspended sentences and prison time of less than one year for convicted traffickers?

8. Please provide observations regarding the efforts of police and prosecutors to pursue trafficking cases.

9. Are government officials (including law enforcement) complicit in human trafficking by, for example, profiting from, taking bribes or receiving sexual services for allowing it to continue? Are government officials operating trafficking rings or activities? If so, have these government officials been subject to an investigation and/or prosecution? What punishments have been imposed?

10. Has the government vigorously investigated, prosecuted, convicted and sentenced nationals of the country deployed abroad as part of a peacekeeping or other similar mission who engage in or facilitate trafficking?

11. Has the government investigated, prosecuted, convicted and sentenced organized crime groups that are involved in trafficking?

12. Is the country a source of sex tourists and, if so, what are their destination countries? Is the country a destination for sex tourists and, if so, what are their source countries?

13. Please provide observations regarding government efforts to address the issue of unlawful child soldiering.

14. Does the government make a coordinated, proactive effort to identify victims? Is there any screening conducted before deportation to determine whether individuals were trafficked?

15. What victim services are provided (legal, medical, food, shelter, interpretation, mental health care, health care, repatriation)? Who provides these services? If nongovernment organizations provide the services, does the government support their work either financially or otherwise?

16. How could victim services be improved?

17. Are services provided equally and adequately to victims of labor and sex trafficking? Men, women and children? Citizen and noncitizen?

18. Do service organizations and law enforcement work together cooperatively, for instance, to share information about trafficking trends or to plan for services after a raid? What is the level of cooperation, communication and trust between service organizations and law enforcement?

19. May victims file civil suits or seek legal action against their trafficker? Do victims avail themselves of those remedies?

20. Does the government repatriate victims? Does the government assist with third country resettlement? Does the government engage in any analysis of whether victims may face retribution or hardship upon repatriation to their country of origin? Are victims awaiting repatriation or third country resettlement offered services? Are victims indeed repatriated or are they deported?

21. Does the government inappropriately detain or imprison identified trafficking victims?

22. Does the government punish trafficking victims for forgery of documents, illegal immigration, unauthorized employment, or participation in illegal activities directed by the trafficker?

23. What efforts has the government made to prevent human trafficking?

24. Are there efforts to address root causes of trafficking such as poverty; lack of access to education and economic opportunity; and discrimination against women, children and minorities?

25. Does the government undertake activities that could prevent or reduce vulnerability to trafficking, such as registering births of indigenous populations?

26. Does the government provide financial support to NGOs working to promote public awareness or does the government implement such campaigns itself? Have public awareness campaigns proven to be effective?

27. Please provide additional recommendations to improve the government's anti-trafficking efforts.

28. Please highlight effective strategies and practices that other governments could consider adopting.

Dated: January 6, 2011.

Luis CdeBaca,

Ambassador-at-Large, Office to Monitor and Combat Trafficking in Persons, U.S. Department of State.

[FR Doc. 2011-354 Filed 1-10-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4710-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

[Public Notice 7236]

Announcement of a Meeting of the International Telecommunication Advisory Committee

SUMMARY: This notice announces a meeting of the International Telecommunication Advisory Committee (ITAC) to prepare for the International Telecommunication Union (ITU) World Conference on International Telecommunications, as

well as for the Organization of American States' Inter-American Telecommunication Commission (CITEL) Permanent Consultative Committee I.

The ITAC will meet to begin preparation of advice for the U.S. government for the ITU World Conference on International Telecommunications, as well as the CITEL Permanent Consultative Committee I meeting. There will also be reports on the upcoming World Radiocommunication Conference Preparatory Meeting, the ITU Telecommunication Standardization Advisory Group meeting, and on other recent meetings of the sectors of the ITU, the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development, and the Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation's telecommunications meetings.

The ITAC will meet from 2 to 4 p.m. on February 3, 2011 at 1120 20th Street, NW., 10th floor, Washington, DC 20036. This meeting is open to the public as seating capacity allows. The public will have an opportunity to provide comments at this meeting. Any requests for reasonable accommodation should be made at least 7 days before the meeting. All such requests will be considered, however, requests made after that date might not be possible to fulfill. Those desiring further information on this meeting may contact the Secretariat at jillsonad@state.gov *mailto: jillsonad@state.gov* or at (202) 647-2592. Anyone interested in the work of this advisory committee may subscribe to an e-mail service that provides time-sensitive information about preparations for upcoming international meetings. This service is free. To sign up, contact Ms. Anne Jillson at the e-mail above.

Dated: January 4, 2011.

Richard C. Beard,

International Communications & Information Policy, U.S. Department of State.

[FR Doc. 2011-360 Filed 1-10-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4710-07-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Highway Administration

Notice of Final Federal Agency Actions on Proposed Highway in North Carolina

AGENCY: Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of Limitation on Claims for Judicial Review of Actions by FHWA and Other Federal Agencies.

SUMMARY: This notice announces action taken by the FHWA and other federal agencies that is final within the meaning of 23 U.S.C. 139(l)(1). This final agency action relates to a proposed highway project, Bonner Bridge Replacement Project along NC 12, from Rodanthe to Bodie Island in Dare County, North Carolina. The FHWA's Record of Decision (ROD) identifies the Parallel Bridge with NC 12 Transportation Management Plan as the selected alternative.

DATES: By this notice, the FHWA is advising the public of final agency actions subject to 23 U.S.C. 139(l)(1). A claim seeking judicial review of the final Federal agency actions on the highway project will be barred unless the claim is filed on or before July 10, 2011. If the Federal law that authorizes judicial review of a claim provides a time period of less than 180 days for filing such claim, then that shorter time period still applies.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Clarence W. Coleman, P. E., Director of Preconstruction and Environment, Federal Highway Administration, 310 New Bern Avenue, Suite 410, Raleigh, North Carolina 27601-1418, *Telephone:* (919) 747-7014; *e-mail:* clarence.coleman@dot.gov. FHWA North Carolina Division Office's normal business hours are 8 a.m. to 5 p.m. (Eastern Time). Mr. Gregory Thorpe, PhD, Environmental Director, North Carolina Department of Transportation (NCDOT), 1548 Mail Service Center, Raleigh, North Carolina, 27699-1548, *Telephone:* (919) 733-3141; *e-mail:* gthorpe@ncdot.gov. NCDOT's normal business hours are 8 a.m. to 5 p.m. (Eastern Time).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Notice is hereby given that FHWA has taken final agency action by issuing a Record of Decision (ROD) for the following highway project in the State of North Carolina: The Bonner Bridge Replacement Project along Highway NC 12, from Rodanthe to Bodie Island, in Dare County, North Carolina. The project is also known as State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) Project B-2500. Located in the Outer Banks of North Carolina, the selected alternative will replace the deteriorating Bonner Bridge over Oregon Inlet as Phase 1 of the project and includes an NC 12 Transportation Management Plan that establishes a process for future decision-making for the section of NC 12 from Oregon Inlet to the Village of Rodanthe. The NC 12 Transportation Management Plan requires coastal monitoring and various studies of project area conditions

through the year 2060 on Hatteras Island and the Plan sets forth a process for planning and implementing possible future phases of the project. The FHWA's action, related actions by other Federal agencies and the laws under which such actions were taken, are described in the Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS)/Final Section 4(f) Evaluation for the project, approved on September 17, 2008; the Revised Final Section 4(f) Evaluation, approved on October 9, 2009; the Environmental Assessment, approved on May 7, 2010; and the FHWA ROD issued on December 20, 2010 approving the Bonner Bridge Replacement project, and in other documents in the project file. The FEIS/Final Section 4(f) Evaluation, Revised Final Section 4(f) Evaluation, EA, ROD, are available for review by contacting the FHWA or the NCDOT at the addresses provided above. In addition, the FEIS, Revised Final Section 4(f) Evaluation, EA, and ROD can be viewed and downloaded from the project Web site at <http://www.ncdot.gov/projects/bonnerbridgerepairs/>. This notice applies to all final Federal agency actions and agency decisions as of the issuance date of this notice, and to all laws under which such actions or decisions were taken, including but not limited to:

1. *General:* National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) [42 U.S.C. 4321-4351]; Federal-Aid Highway Act [23 U.S.C. 101 *et seq.*].
2. *Air:* Clean Air Act [42 U.S.C. 7401-7671(q)].
3. *Land:* Section 4(f) of the Department of Transportation Act of 1966 [49 U.S.C. 303]; Coastal Barrier Resources Act [16 U.S.C. 3501-3510].
4. *Wildlife:* Endangered Species Act [16 U.S.C. 1531-1544]; Marine Mammal Protection Act [16 U.S.C. 1361-1407]; Anadromous Fish Conservation Act [16 U.S.C. 757(a)-757(g)]; Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act [16 U.S.C. 661-667(d)]; Migratory Bird Treaty Act [16 U.S.C. 703-712]; Magnuson-Stevenson Fishery Conservation and Management Act [16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*].
5. *Historic and Cultural Resources:* Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 [16 U.S.C. 470(f)].
6. *Social and Economic:* Civil Rights Act of 1964 [42 U.S.C. 2000(d)-2000(d)(1)].
7. *Wetlands and Water Resources:* Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA) [42 U.S.C. 300(f)-300(j)(6)]; Emergency Wetlands Resources Act of 1986 [16 U.S.C. 3921, 3931]; Flood Disaster Protection Act [42 U.S.C. 4001-4128].

8. *Executive Orders:* E.O. 11514 Protection and Enhancement of Environmental Quality; E.O. 11593 Protection and Enhancement of Cultural Resources; E.O. 11988 Floodplain Management; E.O. 11990 Protection of Wetlands; E.O. 13112 Invasive Species; E.O. 13287 Preserve America; E.O. 13547 Stewardship of the Ocean, Our Coasts, and the Great Lakes.

The ROD describes the environmental permitting processes that must be concluded with the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, U.S. Coast Guard, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, and National Park Service before construction will begin on Phase 1 of the project. This notice does not apply to those pending environmental permitting decisions.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Number 20.205, Highway Research, Planning and Construction. The regulations implementing Executive Order 12372 regarding intergovernmental consultation on Federal programs and activities apply to this program.)

Authority: 23 U.S.C. 139(l)(1).

Issued on: January 5, 2011.

John Sullivan, III,

Division Administrator, Federal Highway Administration, Raleigh, North Carolina.

[FR Doc. 2011-366 Filed 1-10-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-RY-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Highway Administration

Notice of Final Federal Agency Actions on State Highway 99 (Segment G)

AGENCY: Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of Limitation on Claims for Judicial Review of Actions by FHWA and Other Federal Agencies.

SUMMARY: This notice announces actions taken by the FHWA and other Federal agencies that are final within the meaning of 23 U.S.C. 139(l)(1). The actions relate to a proposed highway project, Grand Parkway (State Highway 99) Segment G, from Interstate Highway 45 (I-45) to US 59 in Harris and Montgomery Counties, Texas. Those actions grant licenses, permits, and approvals for the project.

DATES: By this notice, the FHWA is advising the public of final agency actions subject to 23 U.S.C. 139(l)(1). A claim seeking judicial review of the Federal agency actions on the highway project will be barred unless the claim is filed on or before July 10, 2011. If the Federal law that authorizes judicial review of a claim provides a time period of less than 180 days for filing such