Comment 11: Whether the Department Should Adjust the Financial Ratio Calculation to Account for Finished Goods

#### General Surrogate Value Issues

Comment 12: Surrogate Value for Sulfuric Acid

# Mandatory Respondent Specific Issues

### RZBC

- Comment 13: Whether the Department Verified RZBC's Corn Usage Rate
- Comment 14: Calcium Carbonate and Sulfuric Acid Usage Rates
- Comment 15: Adjustment of Financial Ratios for Corn and Sulfuric Acid

#### Yixing Union

- Comment 16: Whether the Department Verified Yixing Union's Corn Usage Rate
- Comment 17: Whether the Department Should Deny Yixing Union's Claimed By-Product Offset for Mycelium or, At a Minimum, Reduce the Valuation of this Offset

Comment 18: Possible Unreported Inputs in the Chromatographic Process

[FR Doc. 2011–32097 Filed 12–13–11; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

# **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

#### International Trade Administration

### University of Florida, *et al.;* Notice of Consolidated Decision on Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Electron Microscope

This is a decision consolidated pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, as amended by Pub. L. 106– 36; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 a.m. and 5 p.m. in Room 3720, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC.

Docket Number: 11–065. Applicant: University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32610–0245. Instrument: Electron Microscope. Manufacturer: FEI Co., Czech Republic. Intended Use: See notice at 76 FR 70410, November 14, 2011.

Docket Number: 11–066. Applicant: University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32610–0245. Instrument: Electron Microscope. Manufacturer: FEI Co., Czech Republic. Intended Use: See notice at 76 FR 70410, November 14, 2011.

*Comments:* None received. *Decision:* Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as this instrument is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States at the time the instrument was ordered. *Reasons:* Each foreign instrument is an electron microscope and is intended for research or scientific educational uses requiring an electron microscope. We know of no electron microscope, or any other instrument suited to these purposes, which was being manufactured in the United States at the time of order of each instrument.

Dated: December 8, 2011.

#### Gregory W. Campbell,

Director, Subsidies Enforcement Office, Import Administration. [FR Doc. 2011–32081 Filed 12–13–11; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

#### International Trade Administration

#### [C-580-818]

#### Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products from the Republic of Korea: Extension of Time Limit for Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Gayle Longest, AD/CVD Operations, Office 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Room 4014, 14th Street and Constitution Ave. NW., Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482–3338.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

On August 31, 2011, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published a notice of preliminary results of the administrative review of the countervailing duty order on corrosion-resistant carbon steel flat products from the Republic of Korea covering the period January 1, 2009, through December 31, 2009. See Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products From the Republic of Korea: Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review, 76 FR 54209 (August 31, 2011) ("Preliminary Results''). The final results were originally due no later than December 29.2011.

# Extension of Time Limit for Final Results

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), requires the Department to make a final determination within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary results is published. Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act further states that if it is not practicable to complete the review within the time period specified, the administering authority may extend the 120-day period to issue its final results to up to 180 days.

We have determined that it is not practicable to complete the final results within the 120-day period. Specifically, after the issuance of the *Preliminary* Results, complex issues arose concerning the short-term benchmark interest rate. Therefore, to allow sufficient time to collect and analyze the additional information, and to conduct the briefing process, the Department is fully extending the final results. Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, we are extending the time period for issuing the final results of the review by 60 days. The final results are now due no later than February 27, 2012.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Dated: December 7, 2011.

#### Edward C. Yang,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations. [FR Doc. 2011–32092 Filed 12–13–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

#### International Trade Administration

[C-533-821]

### Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products From India: Amended Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review Pursuant to Court Decision

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On November 29, 2011, the Court of International Trade (CIT) issued an order in Tata Steel Limited v. United States, and United States Steel Corporation and Nucor Corporation, Court No. 10-00219, Order of Judgment By Stipulation of the Parties (November 29, 2011) (Tata) pertaining to the Department's agreement with Tata Steel Limited (Tata), setting the final countervailing rate for the period of review (POR) of January 1, 2008, through December 31, 2008 (2008 POR) to 102.74 percent, and specifying the future countervailing duty cash deposit rate to 102.74 percent for that company. The Department is amending the final results of the administrative review of the countervailing duty order on certain