orange handlers and producers may be classified as small entities.

This rule continues in effect the changes that relaxed the size and grade requirements prescribed under the order. These changes allow additional late season fruit to be shipped to the fresh market, maximizing shipments and providing additional returns to both handlers and growers. This rule revises the provisions of section 905.306 by lowering the minimum size for interstate shipments of fresh Valencia and other late type oranges from 28/16 inches to 24/16 inches from May 15 to August 31 each season. This rule further revises section 905.306 by lowering the minimum grade for interstate shipments of Valencia and other late type oranges from a U.S. No. 1 to a U.S. No. 1 Golden from May 15, 2013, to June 14, 2013, and to a U.S. No. 2 external/U.S. No. 1 internal from June 15, 2013, to August 31, 2013. Authority for these changes is provided for in section 905.52.

This action does not impose any additional costs on the industry. However, it is anticipated that this action will have a beneficial impact. Relaxing size and grade requirements for Valencia and other late type oranges from May 15 to August 31 will make additional fruit available for shipment to the fresh market, providing the opportunity to supply the potential food service industry market. The Committee believes that relaxing the size and grade requirements provides an outlet for fruit that may otherwise go un-harvested. This action allows more fruit to be shipped to the fresh market and increases returns to both handlers and growers. The benefits of this rule are expected to be equally available to all fresh citrus growers and handlers, regardless of their size.

In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), the order's information collection requirements have been previously approved by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) and assigned OMB No. 0581–0189, Generic Fruit Crops. No changes in those requirements as a result of this action are necessary. Should any changes become necessary, they would be submitted to OMB for approval.

This rule will not impose any additional reporting or recordkeeping requirements on either small or large Florida citrus handlers. As with all Federal marketing order programs, reports and forms are periodically reviewed to reduce information requirements and duplication by industry and public sector agencies. In addition, USDA has not identified any

relevant Federal rules that duplicate, overlap, or conflict with this rule.

Further, the Committee meeting was widely publicized throughout the Florida citrus industry. All interested persons were invited to attend the meeting and participate in Committee deliberations. Like all Committee meetings, the January 8, 2013, meeting was a public meeting. All entities, both large and small, were able to express their views on this issue.

Comments on the interim rule were required to be received on or before July 15, 2013. One comment in favor of the action was received. Therefore, for the reasons given in the interim rule, we are adopting the interim rule as a final rule, without change.

To view the interim rule, go to: http://www.regulations.gov/ #!documentDetail;D=AMS-FV-13-0009-0001.

This action also affirms information contained in the interim rule concerning Executive Orders 12866 and 12988, the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), and the E-Gov Act (44 U.S.C. 101).

After consideration of all relevant material presented, it is found that finalizing the interim rule, without change, as published in the **Federal Register** (78 FR 28115, May 14, 2013) will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the Act.

# List of Subjects in 7 CFR Part 905

Grapefruit, Marketing agreements, Oranges, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Tangelos, Tangerines.

Accordingly, the interim rule that amended 7 CFR part 905, which was published at 78 FR 28115 on May 14, 2013, is adopted as a final rule, without change.

Dated: August 16, 2013.

#### Rex A. Barnes,

Associate Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[FR Doc. 2013–20479 Filed 8–21–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-02-P

### **DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**

#### Agricultural Marketing Service

#### 7 CFR Part 1207

[Document Number AMS-FV-13-0027]

Potato Research and Promotion Plan; Amend the Administrative Committee Structure and Delete the Board's Mailing Address

**AGENCY:** Agricultural Marketing Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This rule amends the structure of the Administrative Committee (Committee) of the U.S. Potato Board (Board) and deletes the Board's mailing address from the Potato Research and Promotion Plan. The Plan is administered by the Board with oversight by the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA). Under the Plan, there are seven Committee Vice-Chairperson positions. The Board has recommended that these positions be increased to nine. This change is intended to facilitate increased involvement in the Board's leadership opportunities. Further, the Board's office has been relocated and the address must be changed in the regulations. The deletion of the Board's mailing address from the regulations will require no further amendment to the regulations if the Board's office is relocated again.

DATES: Effective Date: August 23, 2013.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Patricia A. Petrella, Marketing Specialist, Promotion and Economics Division, Fruit and Vegetable Program, AMS, USDA, 1400 Independence Avenue SW., Room 1406–S, Stop 0244, Washington, DC 20250–0244; telephone: (301) 334–2891; toll free (888) 720–9917; facsimile (202) 205–2800; or electronic mail: Patricia.Petrella@ams.usda.gov.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This rule is issued under the Potato Research and Promotion Plan (Plan) (7 CFR part 1207). The Plan is authorized under the Potato Research and Promotion Act (Act) (7 U.S.C. 2611–2627).

# Executive Order 12866 and Executive Order 13563

Executive Order 12866 and 13563 direct agencies to assess all costs and benefits of available regulatory alternatives and, if regulation is necessary, to select regulatory approaches that maximize net benefits (including potential economic, environmental, public health and safety effects, distributive impacts, and equity). Executive order 13563 emphasizes the importance of quantifying both costs and benefits, of reducing costs, of harmonizing rules, and of promoting flexibility. This rule has been designated as "non-significant regulatory action" under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866. Accordingly, the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has waived the review process.

#### **Executive Order 12988**

This rule has been reviewed under Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform. It is not intended to have retroactive effect.

The Act provides that administrative proceedings must be exhausted before parties may file suit in court. Under section 311 of the Act (7 U.S.C. 2620), a person subject to a plan may file a petition with USDA stating that such plan, any provision of such plan, or any obligation imposed in connection with such plan, is not in accordance with law and request a modification of such plan or to be exempted therefrom. Such person is afforded the opportunity for a hearing on the petition. After the hearing, USDA would rule on the petition. The Act provides that the district court of the United States in any district in which such person is an inhabitant, or has his or her principal place of business, has jurisdiction to review USDA's ruling on the petition, provided that a complaint is filed not later than 20 days after date of the entry of the ruling.

# Background

This rule amends the structure of the Committee of the Board and deletes the Board's mailing address from the regulations. The Plan is administered by the Board with oversight by USDA. Under the Plan, assessments are collected from handlers and importers and used for projects to promote potatoes and potato products.

This rule modifies the structure of the Board's Administrative Committee as prescribed in the Plan by increasing the number of Vice-Chairperson positions on the Committee from seven to nine. These additional positions would be allocated, as provided in the Board's bylaws, to the Northwest and North Central caucuses. The Northwest district includes Alaska, Idaho, Montana, Oregon and Washington. The North Central district includes Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Michigan, Missouri, Minnesota, North Dakota, Ohio, South Dakota, and Wisconsin. With this action, Board representation at the executive level for potato producers in the Northwest district increases from 28.5 percent to 33 percent and in the North Central district from 14 percent to 22 percent.

Section 1207.327(b) of the regulations provides the authority to the Board to make rules and regulations, with USDA approval, to effectuate the terms and conditions of the Plan. Section 1207.328(a) of the Plan provides the authority to the Board to select from its members such officers as may be

necessary and to adopt such rules for the conduct of its business as the Board may deem advisable.

Section 1207.507(a) of the Plan's administrative rules delineates the structure of the Board's Administrative Committee. The Committee is selected from among Board members, and is composed mostly of producer members, with one or more importer member(s), and the public member. The Board, through the adoption of its bylaws, may prescribe the manner of selection and the number of members; except that the regulations mandate that the Committee shall include a Chairperson and a fixed number of Vice-Chairpersons. The change is intended to facilitate increased involvement in the Board's leadership opportunities from the Northwest and North Central caucuses and possibly increase diversity at higher positions on the Board.

Prior to this change, the Plan provided for seven Vice-Chairperson positions on the Committee. Vice-Chairperson positions are allocated in the Board's bylaws to represent production districts as determined by the Board. This action increases the number of Vice-Chairperson positions to nine. The additional Vice-Chairpersons would be allocated to the Northwest and North Central caucuses, which historically have been the caucuses with

the greatest production.

The second change will delete the Board's mailing address from the Plan's rules and regulations. Section 1207.501 of the Plan specifies that all communications in connection with the Plan shall be addressed to: National Potato Promotion Board, 7555 East Hampden Avenue, Suite 412, Denver, Colorado, 80231. The Board moved to a new location within Denver, Colorado. Therefore, this section would need to be amended. However, USDA is recommending that this section be deleted so no further amendment would be required if the Board moves its office in the future. Interested persons wanting to contact the Board can reach them through their Web site, Facebook, or smartphone application.

# **Board Recommendation**

The Board met on March 14, 2013, and unanimously recommended amending the Committee structure of the Board and amending the Board's mailing address from the Plan. This action would contribute to effective administration of the program.

# **Initial Regulatory Flexibility Act Analysis**

In accordance with the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA) (5 U.S.C. 601612), AMS is required to examine the impact of the rule on small entities. Accordingly, AMS has considered the economic impact of this action on small entities.

According to the Board, it is estimated that in 2013 there are about 2,500 producers, 1,030 handlers and 240 importers of potatoes and potato products who are subject to the provisions of the Plan.

The purpose of the RFA is to fit regulatory actions to the scale of businesses subject to such actions so that small businesses will not be disproportionately burdened. The Small Business Administration defines, in 13 CFR Part 121, small agricultural producers as those having annual receipts of no more than \$750,000 and small agricultural service firms (domestic handlers and importers) as those having annual receipts of no more than \$7.0 million. Under these definitions, the majority of the handlers, producers and importers that would be affected by this rule would be considered small entities.

This rule amends the structure of the Administrative Committee of the Board and deletes the Board's mailing address from the regulations. The Plan is administered by the Board with oversight by the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA). As provided for in the regulations, there are seven Committee Vice-Chairperson positions. The Board has recommended that these positions be increased to nine Vice-Chairpersons. This change is intended to facilitate increased involvement in the Board's leadership opportunities. The deletion of the Board's mailing address will require no further amendment to the regulations if the Board's office is relocated. The Board's office is being relocated without the amendment to the regulations made in this final rule. A change to the regulations would be necessary.

This rule will amend section 1207.507(a) of the regulations by changing the number of Vice-Chairperson positions from seven to nine. Also, the Board's office address will be removed from § 1207.501 of the

regulations.

Regarding the economic impact of this rule on affected entities, this action will impose no costs on producers, handlers, and importers as a result of this action. Both changes are administrative in nature; it would merely provide additional opportunities for increased involvement by producers in the Board's leadership opportunities from the larger production areas.

Regarding alternatives, one option to the action would be to maintain the

status quo and not change the Administrative Committee structure. This will not alleviate the concerns voiced by the Northwest and North Central caucuses for more representation and leadership opportunities. The Board also considered combining the Southwest caucus into the Northwest caucus. The Board concluded that this would cause the Southwest producers to lose their representation as there are more Northwest producers and the available seats could possibly be absorbed by all Northwest producers. Therefore, the recommendation was approved, as it will allow greater opportunity for producers from the Board's two largest caucus districts to become engaged in the Board's leadership structure. This action will also make the representation on the Board more equitable according to production.

À proposed rule concerning this action was published in the Federal **Register** on June 28, 2013 (78 FR 38846). The proposal was made available through the Internet by USDA, the Office of the Federal Register, and the Board. A 15-day comment period ending July 15, 2013, was provided to allow interested persons to submit comments.

#### **Analysis of Comment**

One comment was received in response to the proposed rule. The comment is addressed in the following paragraphs. The commenter raised a number of issues concerning the need for the program to spend American tax dollars and that another Federal bureaucracy is unnecessary; retaining the Board's address in the regulatory text; decreasing the number of Vice-Chairpersons to five; and conducting meetings by video or webinar.

One issue that the commenter raised was that there is no need for the program to spend American tax dollars and that another Federal bureaucracy is unnecessary. The program is paid for by the potato industry through assessments on domestic handlers and importers. Research and promotion programs overseen by USDA are self-help programs funded by their respective industry and do not receive taxpayer funds. The Potato Research and Promotion Program authorized by the Potato Research and Promotion Act and the Potato Research and Promotion Plan itself was established in March 1972.

Another issue stated by the commenter was that the Board's address should be retained in the regulatory text. The deletion of the Board's mailing address from the regulatory text will require no further amendments to the

regulations. The Board can easily be contacted by using their Web site, Facebook or smartphone application. Further, the Board's Web site in addition to the USDA Web site contain the Board's address. In addition, deleting the address is a cost savings measure to the potato industry since no further rulemaking will be necessary if the Board moves its offices in the future.

The commenter also recommended that the Vice-Chairpersons should be decreased to five instead of nine as proposed by the Board. The Board recommended this change and discussed it thoroughly at various meetings. The change will facilitate increased involvement in the Board's leadership opportunities from the Northwest and North Central caucuses and possibly increase diversity at higher positions on the Board. Decreasing the number of Vice-Chairpersons on the Board would not accomplish the intent of the change.

Finally, the commenter commented that Board meetings should be on the web and videoed for the public to view. The Board meets in person once a year and the Administrative Committee meets three times a year. During certain circumstances committees will meet by teleconference. All meetings of the Board are open to the public and minutes of all the meetings are available. Accordingly, based upon our consideration of the comment received no changes have been made to the regulatory text.

In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), the information collection and recordkeeping requirements that are imposed by the Order have been approved previously under OMB control number 0581-0093. This rule will not result in a change to the information collection and recordkeeping requirements previously approved and will impose no additional reporting and recordkeeping burden on potato producers, handlers, and importers.

As with all Federal promotion programs, reports and forms are periodically reviewed to reduce information requirements and duplication by industry and public sector agencies. Finally, USDA has not identified any relevant Federal rules that duplicate, overlap, or conflict with this rule.

AMS is committed to complying with the E-Government Act, to promote the use of the Internet and other information technologies to provide increased opportunities for citizen access to Government information and services, and for other purposes.

Regarding outreach efforts, this action was discussed by the Board at meetings over the past year. Board members discussed the changes with their respective regions and received positive feedback. The Board met in March 2013 and unanimously made its recommendation. All of the Board's meetings, including meetings held via teleconference, are open to the public and interested persons are invited to participate and express their views.

After consideration of all relevant matters presented, including the information and recommendation submitted by the Board and other available information, it is hereby found that this rule, as hereinafter set forth, will tend to effectuate the declared

policy of the Act.

It is further found that good cause exists for not postponing the effective date of this rule until 30 days after publication in the Federal Register (5 U.S.C. 553) because the Administrative Committee is meeting on October 21, 2013, and it would be appropriate that the additional Vice-Chairpersons should be able to participate in those meetings. In addition, the Board's office has already relocated so the address needs to be deleted promptly. Further, handlers, producers and importers are aware of this rule, which was recommended at a public meeting. Also, a 15-day comment period was provided for in the proposed rule.

# **List of Subjects in 7 CFR Part 1207**

Advertising, Agricultural research, Imports, Potatoes, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, 7 CFR part 1207 is amended as follows:

### PART 1207—POTATO RESEARCH AND PROMOTION PLAN

■ 1. The authority citation for 7 CFR part 1207 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 2611-2627 and 7 U.S.C. 7401.

## § 1207.507 [Removed and Reserved]

- 2. Section 1207.501 is removed and reserved.
- 3. Section 1207.507(a) is revised to read as follows:

# § 1207.507 Administrative Committee.

(a) The Board shall annually select from among its members an Administrative Committee composed of producer members as provided for in the Board's bylaws, one or more importer members, and the public member. Selection shall be made in such manner as the Board may

prescribe: Except that such committee shall include the Chairperson and nine Vice-Chairpersons, one of whom shall also serve as the Secretary and Treasurer of the Board.

Dated: August 16, 2013.

# Rex A. Barnes,

Associate Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[FR Doc. 2013-20489 Filed 8-21-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-02-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

#### **Federal Aviation Administration**

#### 14 CFR Part 71

[Docket No. FAA-2013-0267; Airspace Docket No. 13-ASW-2]

# Amendment of Class E Airspace; Fort Polk, LA

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

SUMMARY: This action amends Class E airspace at Fort Polk, LA. Additional controlled airspace is necessary to accommodate new Area Navigation (RNAV) Standard Instrument Approach Procedures at Polk Army Airfield (AAF). The airport's geographic coordinates are also adjusted. This action enhances the safety and management of Instrument Flight Rule (IFR) operations at the airport.

**DATES:** Effective Date: 0901 UTC, December 12, 2013. The Director of the Federal Register approves this incorporation by reference action under 1 CFR part 51, subject to the annual revision of FAA Order 7400.9 and publication of conforming amendments.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Scott Enander, Central Service Center, Operations Support Group, Federal Aviation Administration, Southwest Region, 2601 Meacham Blvd., Fort Worth, TX 76137; telephone 817–321– 7716.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### History

On April 30, 2013, the FAA published in the **Federal Register** a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) to amend Class E airspace for the Fort Polk, LA, area, creating additional controlled airspace at Polk AAF (77 FR 25228) Docket No. FAA–2013–0267. Interested parties were invited to participate in this rulemaking effort by submitting written comments on the proposal to the

FAA. No comments were received. Class E airspace designations are published in paragraph 6005 of FAA Order 7400.9W dated August 8, 2012, and effective September 15, 2012, which is incorporated by reference in 14 CFR 71.1. The Class E airspace designations listed in this document will be published subsequently in the Order.

#### The Rule

This action amends Title 14 Code of Federal Regulations (14 CFR) Part 71 by amending Class E airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface to accommodate new standard instrument approach procedures at Polk AAF, Fort Polk, LA. A small segment extends from the current 7.6-mile radius of the airport to 20.2 miles north of the airport to provide adequate controlled airspace for the safety and management of IFR operations at the airport. Geographic coordinates are also be updated to coincide with the FAA's aeronautical database.

The FAA has determined that this regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current. Therefore, this regulation: (1) Is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a regulatory evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. Since this is a routine matter that only affects air traffic procedures and air navigation, it is certified that this rule, when promulgated, does not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

The FAA's authority to issue rules regarding aviation safety is found in Title 49 of the U.S. Code. Subtitle 1, Section 106, describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. Subtitle VII, Aviation Programs, describes in more detail the scope of the agency's authority. This rulemaking is promulgated under the authority described in Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart I, Section 40103. Under that section, the FAA is charged with prescribing regulations to assign the use of airspace necessary to ensure the safety of aircraft and the efficient use of airspace. This regulation is within the scope of that authority as it amends controlled airspace at Polk AAF, Fort Polk, LA.

#### **Environmental Review**

The FAA has determined that this action qualifies for categorical exclusion under the National Environmental Policy Act in accordance with FAA Order 1050.1E, "Environmental Impacts: Policies and Procedures," paragraph 311a. This airspace action is not expected to cause any potentially significant environmental impacts, and no extraordinary circumstances exist that warrant preparation of an environmental assessment.

## List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 71

Airspace, Incorporation by reference, Navigation (air).

#### Adoption of the Amendment

In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration amends 14 CFR part 71 as follows:

## PART 71—DESIGNATION OF CLASS A, B, C, D, AND E AIRSPACE AREAS; AIR TRAFFIC SERVICE ROUTES; AND REPORTING POINTS

■ 1. The authority citation for 14 CFR part 71 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40103, 40113, 40120; E.O. 10854, 24 FR 9565, 3 CFR, 1959–1963 Comp., p. 389.

#### §71.1 [Amended]

■ 2. The incorporation by reference in 14 CFR 71.1 of the Federal Aviation Administration Order 7400.9W, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated August 8, 2012, and effective September 15, 2012, is amended as follows:

Paragraph 6005 Class E airspace areas extending upward from 700 feet or more above the surface

#### ASW LA E5 Fort Polk, LA [Amended]

Fort Polk, Polk AAF, LA

(Lat. 31°02′41″ N., long. 93°11′30″ W.) Polk VORTAC

(Lat. 31°06'42" N., long. 93°13'04" W.)

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 7.6-mile radius of Polk AAF, and within 8 miles west and 4 miles east of each side of the  $340^\circ$  radial from the Polk VORTAC extending from the 7.6-mile radius to 20.2 miles north of the airport, excluding that airspace within restricted areas R–3803A, R–3804A, and R–3804B.

Issued in Fort Worth, Texas, on August 12, 2013.

## David P. Medina,

Manager, Operations Support Group, ATO Central Service Center.

[FR Doc. 2013–20379 Filed 8–21–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P