

Pocatello, Idaho 83204. In order to ensure consideration in the environmental analysis of the proposed sale, comments must be in writing and postmarked or delivered within 45 days of the initial date of publication of this notice. Comments transmitted via e-mail will not be accepted. Comments, including names and street addresses of respondents, will be available for public review at the BLM Pocatello Field Office during regular business hours, except holidays.

Before including your address, phone number, e-mail address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time.

While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Authority: 43 CFR 2711.1–2.

David Pacioretty,

Pocatello Field Manager.

[FR Doc. 2010–31702 Filed 12–16–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–GG–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 701–TA–476 and 731–TA–1179 (Preliminary)]

Multilayered Wood Flooring From China

Determinations

On the basis of the record¹ developed in the subject investigations, the United States International Trade Commission (Commission) determines, pursuant to sections 703(a) and 733(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1671b(a) and 1673b(a)) (the Act), that there is a reasonable indication that an industry in the United States is materially injured by reason of imports from China of multilayered wood flooring, provided for in subheadings 4409.10, 4409.29, 4412.31, 4412.32, 4412.39, 4412.94, 4412.99, 4418.71, 4418.72, 4418.79.00, and 4418.90 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States, that are alleged to be sold in the United States at less than fair value (LTFV) and subsidized by the Government of China.

Commencement of Final Phase Investigations

Pursuant to section 207.18 of the Commission's rules, the Commission also gives notice of the commencement of the final phase of its investigations. The Commission will issue a final phase notice of scheduling, which will be published in the **Federal Register** as provided in section 207.21 of the Commission's rules, upon notice from the Department of Commerce (Commerce) of affirmative preliminary determinations in the investigations under sections 703(b) or 733(b) of the Act, or, if the preliminary determinations are negative, upon notice of affirmative final determinations in those investigations under sections 705(a) or 735(a) of the Act. Parties that filed entries of appearance in the preliminary phase of the investigations need not enter a separate appearance for the final phase of the investigations. Industrial users, and, if the merchandise under investigation is sold at the retail level, representative consumer organizations have the right to appear as parties in Commission antidumping and countervailing duty investigations. The Secretary will prepare a public service list containing the names and addresses of all persons, or their representatives, who are parties to the investigations.

Background

These investigations are being instituted in response to a petition filed on October 21, 2010, on behalf of the Coalition for American Hardwood Parity ("CAHP"), an ad hoc association of U.S. manufacturers of multilayered wood flooring. The following companies are members of the CAHP: Anderson Hardwood Floors, LLC, Fountain Inn, SC; Award Hardwood Floors, Wausau, WI; Baker's Creek Wood Floors, Inc., Edwards, MS; From the Forest, Weston, WI; Howell Hardwood Flooring, Dothan, AL; Mannington Mills, Inc., Salem, NJ; Nydree Flooring, Forest, VA; and Shaw Industries Group, Inc., Dalton, GA. Accordingly, effective October 21, 2010, the Commission instituted countervailing duty investigation No. 701–TA–476 and antidumping duty investigation No. 731–TA–1179 (Preliminary).

Notice of the institution of the Commission's investigations and of a public conference to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the **Federal Register** of October 27, 2010 (75

FR 66126). The conference was held in Washington, DC, on November 12, 2010, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.

The Commission transmitted its determinations in these investigations to the Secretary of Commerce on December 6, 2010. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 4206 (December 2010), entitled *Multilayered Wood Flooring from China: Investigation Nos. 701–TA–476 and 731–TA–1179 (Preliminary)*.

Issued: December 13, 2010.

By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2010–31694 Filed 12–16–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act ("CERCLA")

Notice is hereby given that on December 9, 2010, a proposed Consent Decree in *United States v. James Matteo & Sons, Inc.* (D.N.J.) No. 1:10–cv–06405 (NLH–JS) was lodged with the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey.

In this action, the United States sought the recovery of response costs pursuant to Section 107(a) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Recovery Act, as amended ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. 9607(a), from Defendant for response costs incurred at the James Matteo & Sons, Inc. Superfund Site (the "Site"), located in Gloucester County, New Jersey. Pursuant to the proposed Consent Decree, the Settling Defendant will pay to the United States \$820,000 in reimbursement of past response costs incurred by the United States with respect to the Site. The proposed Consent Decree provides the Settling Defendant with a covenant not to sue pursuant to Sections 106 and 107 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9606 and 9607.

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication comments relating to the Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and either e-mailed to pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov or mailed to P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC

¹ The record is defined in § 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

20044–7611, and should refer to *United States v. James Matteo & Sons, Inc.* (D.N.J.) No. 1:10–cv–06405 (NLH–JS); D.J. Ref. 90–11–3–09689.

During the public comment period, the Consent Decree may be examined on the following Department of Justice Web site, http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/Consent_Decrees.html. A copy of the Consent Decree may also be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044–7611 or by faxing or e-mailing a request to Tonia Fleetwood (tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov), fax number (202) 514–0097, phone confirmation number (202) 514–1547. In requesting a copy from the Consent Decree Library, please enclose a check in the amount of \$6.50 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the U.S. Treasury or, if by e-mail or fax, forward a check in that amount to the Consent Decree Library at the stated address.

Maureen Katz,

Assistant Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 2010–31726 Filed 12–16–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–15–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Proposed Consent Decree Modification Under the Clean Air Act

Notice is hereby given that on December 13, 2010, four proposed Consent Decree amendments in *United States, et al. v. Motiva Enterprises LLC, et al.*, Civil Action No. H–01–0978, were lodged with the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas.

The original settlement, entered on August 20, 2001, was for civil penalties and injunctive relief pursuant to Section 113(b) of the Clean Air Act (“CAA”), 42 U.S.C. 7413(b) covering nine petroleum refineries located in California, Delaware, Louisiana, Texas and Washington. These refineries were owned and operated by Motiva Enterprises LLC (“Motiva”), Equilon Enterprises LLC (“Equilon”) and Deer Park Refining Limited Partnership (“Deer Park”), which were subsidiaries or joint ventures of Shell Oil Company (“Shell”). The 2001 settlement was therefore embodied in four interlocking Consent Decrees covering each of the Shell companies that owned and operated the nine refineries. The four Consent Decree amendments lodged on December 13, 2010, would each make certain technical and administrative revisions, would reflect a transfer in ownership of one of the facilities

covered by the settlement, and would make certain other minor modifications to each of the four interlocking Consent Decrees.

The Department of Justice will receive comments relating to the proposed Consent Decree amendments for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication. The proposed amendments may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney, Southern District of Texas, U.S. Courthouse, 515 Rusk, Houston, Texas 77002, and at EPA Region 6, 1445 Ross Avenue, Dallas, Texas 75202. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and either e-mailed to pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov or mailed to P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044–7611, and should refer to the matter as *United States, et al. v. Motiva Enterprises LLC, et al.*, DOJ Ref. No. 90–5–2–1–07209.

During the public comment period, the proposed amendments may also be examined on the following Department of Justice Web site: http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/Consent_Decrees.html. Copies of the proposed amendments may also be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044–7611 or by faxing or e-mailing a request to Tonia Fleetwood (tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov), fax no. (202) 514–0097, phone confirmation number (202) 514–1547. In requesting from the Consent Decree Library a copy of the consent decree amendments for *United States et al. v. Motiva Enterprises LLC, et al.*, Civil Action No. H–01–0978 (S.D. Tex.), please enclose a check in the amount of \$17.25 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the U.S. Treasury.

Maureen Katz,

Assistant Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 2010–31727 Filed 12–16–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–15–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms, and Explosives

[OMB Number 1140–0006]

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comments Requested

ACTION: Revision 30-day notice of information collection under review:

Application and Permit for Importation of Firearms, Ammunition and Implements of War.

The Department of Justice (DOJ), Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives (ATF) will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. This proposed information collection was previously published in the **Federal Register** Volume 75, Number 200, page 63860 on October 18, 2010, allowing for a 60 day comment period.

The purpose of this notice is to allow for an additional 30 days for public comment until January 18, 2011. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

Written comments and/or suggestions regarding the items contained in this notice, especially the estimated public burden and associated response time, should be directed to The Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention Department of Justice Desk Officer, Washington, DC 20503. Additionally, comments may be submitted to OMB via facsimile to (202) 395–5806.

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.