80a–54 to 80a–64) by filing with the Commission a notification of election, if such company has: (1) A class of equity securities registered under Section 12 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78a *et seq.*) ("Exchange Act"); or (2) filed a registration statement pursuant to Section 12 of the Exchange Act for a class of equity securities. The Commission has adopted Form N–54A (17 CFR 274.53) as the form for notification of election to be regulated as business development companies.

The purpose of Form N–54A is to notify the Commission that the investment company making the notification elects to be subject to Sections 55 through 65 of the Investment Company Act, enabling the Commission to administer those provisions of the Investment Company Act to such companies.

The Commission estimates that on average approximately seven business development companies file these notifications each year. Each of those business development companies need only make a single filing of Form N– 54A. The Commission further estimates that this information collection imposes a burden of 0.5 hours, resulting in a total annual PRA burden of 3.5 hours. Based on the estimated wage rate, the total cost to the business development company industry of the hour burden for complying with Form N–54A would be approximately \$1,120.

The collection of information under Form N–54A is mandatory. The information provided by the form is not kept confidential. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number.

The public may view the background documentation for this information collection at the following Web site, *http://www.reginfo.gov*. Comments should be directed to: (i) Desk Officer for the Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10102, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503, or by sending an e-mail to:

Shagufta\_Ahmed@omb.eop.gov; and (ii) Thomas Bayer, Director/Chief Information Officer, Securities and Exchange Commission, c/o Remi Pavlik-Simon, 6432 General Green Way, Alexandria, VA 22312 or send an e-mail to: *PRA\_Mailbox@sec.gov.* Comments must be submitted to OMB within 30 days of this notice. Dated: September 8, 2011. Elizabeth M. Murphy, Secretary. [FR Doc. 2011–23386 Filed 9–13–11; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 8011–01–P

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-65279; File No. SR-C2-2011-020]

## Self-Regulatory Organizations; C2 Options Exchange, Incorporated: Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change Relating to PULSe Fees

September 7, 2011.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act"),1 and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,2 notice is hereby given that on August 31, 2011, C2 Options Exchange, Incorporated (the "Exchange" or "C2") Filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Exchange has designated this proposal as one establishing or changing a due, fee, or other charge imposed by the Exchange under Section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act<sup>3</sup> and Rule 19b-4(f)(2) thereunder.<sup>4</sup> The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

## I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange is proposing to amend its Fees Schedule as it relates to the PULSe workstation. The text of the proposed rule change is availableon the Exchange's Web site (*http:// www.c2exchange.com*), at the Exchange's Office of the Secretary and at the Commission.

# II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections (A), (B), and (C) below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, Proposed Rule Change

#### 1. Purpose

The purpose of this proposed rule change is to revise the PULSe Away-Market Routing and Routing Intermediary fees. The Exchange is also proposing to expand on its past description of the away-market routing functionality available for stock orders. In addition, the Exchange is proposing to eliminate the PULSe non-standard services fee. All of these changes, which are described in more detail below, will be effective September 1, 2011.

By way of background, the PULSe workstation is a front-end order entry system designed for use with respect to orders that may be sent to the trading systems of C2. In addition, the PULSe workstation provides a user with the capability to send options orders to other U.S. options exchanges and stock orders to other U.S. stock exchanges ("away market routing").<sup>5</sup> To use the away-market routing functionality, a C2 Trading Permit Holder ("TPH") must either be a PULSe Routing Intermediary or establish a relationship with a third party PULSe Routing Intermediary. A "PULSe Routing Intermediary" is a C2 TPH that has connectivity to, and is a member of, other options and/or stock exchanges. If a TPH sends an order from the PULSe workstation, the PULSe Routing Intermediary will route that order to the designated market on behalf of the entering TPH.

The first purpose of this proposed rule change is to reduce the PULSe Away-Market Routing fee. Currently the fee is set at \$0.05 per executed contract or share equivalent. The Exchange is proposing to reduce the fee to \$0.02 per contract or share equivalent.

The second purpose of this proposed rule change is to modify the PULSe Routing Intermediary fee. Currently, the Fees Schedule provides that each PULSe Routing Intermediary is charged a fee of \$20 per PULSe workstation per month for each PULSe workstation that is enabled to send orders through the Routing Intermediary. However, the fee is only assessed for those workstations in which the Routing Intermediary is

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b–4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(ii).

<sup>417</sup> CFR 240.19b-4(f)(2).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> For a more detailed description of the PULSe workstation and its other functionalities, *see, e.g.*, Securities Exchange Act Release No. 63246 (November 4, 2010), 75 FR 69478 (November 12, 2010)(SR-C2-2010-007).

acting as a third-party routing intermediary for another TPH (*i.e.*, the fee is not assessed on those workstations where the Routing Intermediary is acting as a routing intermediary on its own behalf). This fee has been waived through September 30, 2011. The Exchange is proposing to amend the fee to instead provide that a Routing Intermediary will be charged a fee for utilizing the PULSe away-market routing technology of \$0.02 per executed contract or share equivalent for the first 1 million contracts or share equivalent executed in a given month and \$0.03 per contract or share equivalent for each additional contract or share equivalent executed in the same month. The Exchange intends to assess this fee to Routing Intermediaries whether the Routing Intermediary is routing orders on behalf of itself as a TPH or as a third party Routing Intermediary for other TPHs. The Exchange notes that the Routing Intermediary fee will not be applicable for routes to the Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated ("CBOE") or the CBOE Stock Exchange, LLC ("CBSX") to the extent that the C2 TPH submitting the order to CBOE or CBSX is also a CBOE TPH or CBSX TPH, as applicable.<sup>6</sup>

The revised PULSe Routing Intermediary fee will allow for the recoupment of the costs of developing, maintaining, and supporting the PULSe workstation and related Routing Intermediary functionality and for income from the value-added services being provided through use of the PULSe workstation and related awaymarket routing technology. The Exchange believes the fee structure represents an equitable allocation of

reasonable fees in that the same fees are applicable to all Routing Intermediaries that provide away-market routing for TPHs via the PULSe workstation. In addition, the Exchange believes that the \$0.02/\$0.03 Routing Intermediary fee is reasonable and appropriate in light of the fact that it is small in relation to the total costs typically incurred in routing and executing orders. The Exchange also notes that use of the PULSe workstation, and the Routing Intermediary functionality and the away-market routing technology available through the PULSe workstation, are not compulsory. In addition, the decision to function as a Routing Intermediary for PULSe purposes is discretionary, and a TPH may choose to route orders for itself or others without using the PULSe workstation. The services are offered as a convenience and are not the exclusive means available to send or route orders to C2 or intermarket.

The third purpose of this proposed rule change is to expand on our prior description of the away-market routing functionality available for stock orders. In particular, as noted above, the Exchange has previously indicated that the PULSe workstation provides a user with the capability to send stock orders to other U.S. stock exchanges through a PULSe Routing Intermediary.<sup>7</sup> The Exchange also notes that it may determine that the PULSe workstation would provide a user with the capability to send stock orders to other trading centers,<sup>8</sup> not just U.S. stock exchanges, through a Routing Intermediary.

Finally, the fourth purpose of this proposed rule change is to eliminate the fee for non-standard services, which is currently \$350 per hour plus costs. Nonstandard services may include time and materials for non-standard installations or modifications to PULSe to accommodate a TPH's use of PULSe with other technologies. The Exchange is proposing to eliminate the fee at this time because, given that PULSe workstation is a relatively new technology that is being fine-tuned and enhanced based on our experience with and feedback from TPHs, we find it difficult to assess which services should be considered "non-standard" at this

point in time. (The fee was first implemented in November 2010.<sup>9</sup> To date, the Exchange has not identified an instance where the fee was applicable to any service considered to be nonstandard and has not collected any fees under this provision.) The Exchange may determine to reintroduce a nonstandard services fee in the future through another rule change filing once we gain more experience with the PULSe workstation.

#### 2. Statutory Basis

The proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act,<sup>10</sup> in general, and furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(4) of the Act,<sup>11</sup> in particular, in that it is designed to provide for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees, and other charges among TPHs in that the same fees and fee waivers are applicable to all TPHs and Routing Intermediaries that utilize the PULSe workstation, Routing Intermediary functionality and the away-market routing services.

# B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of purposes of the Act.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants or Others

## III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The proposed rule change is designated by the Exchange as establishing or changing a due, fee, or other charge, thereby qualifying for effectiveness on filing pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act <sup>12</sup> and subparagraph (f)(2) of Rule 19b-4 <sup>13</sup> thereunder.

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> The PULSe workstation offers the ability to route orders to any market, including C2 affiliates CBOE and CBSX. To the extent a C2 TPH that is also a CBOE/CBSX TPH obtains a PULSe workstation through C2, it is not necessary for that TPH to obtain a separate PULSe workstation through CBOE or CBSX to route orders to CBOE or CBSX, as applicable. See SR–C2–2010–007, note 5, supra. It is also not necessary for that TPH to utilize the services of a Routing Intermediary to route orders to CBOE or CBSX, as applicable. As such, to the extent a C2 TPH is also a CBOE TPH or CBSX TPH, a Routing Intermediary fee would not be applicable because the fee is only applicable for away-market routing through a Routing Intermediary. The TPH would not be routing away through a Routing Intermediary, but instead would be submitting orders directly to C2 as a C2 TPH, CBOE as a CBOE TPH or CBSX as a CBSX TPH, as applicable, where the TPH's activity would be subject to the transaction fee schedule of C2, CBOE or ĆBSX, respectively. To the extent a C2 TPH is not a CBOE TPH or CBSX TPH and utilizes the services of a third party Routing Intermediary to route orders to CBOE or CBSX, as applicable, the Routing Intermediary would be subject to the fee for the C2 TPH's executions on CBOE or CBSX, as applicable.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> See note 5, supra, and surrounding discussion. <sup>8</sup> A "trading center," as provided under Rule 600(b)(78) of Regulation NMS, 17 CFR 242.600(b)(78), means a national securities exchange or national securities association that operates an SRO trading facility, an alternative trading system, an exchange market maker, an OTC market maker, or any other broker or dealer that executes orders internally by trading as principal or crossing orders as agent.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> See SR–C2–2010–007, note 5, supra.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

<sup>11 15</sup> U.S.C. 78f(b)(4).

<sup>12 15</sup> U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(ii).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup>17 CFR 240.19b–4(f)(2).

## **IV. Solicitation of Comments**

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

## Electronic Comments

• Use the Commission's Internet comment form (*http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml*); or

• Send an e-mail to *rulecomments@sec.gov.* Please include File Number SR–C2–2011–020 on the subject line.

#### Paper Comments

• Send paper comments in triplicate to Elizabeth M. Murphy, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549–1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-C2-2011-020. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (http:// www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549 on official business days between the hours of 10 a.m. and 3 p.m. Copies of such filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-C2-2011-020 and should be submitted on or before October 5, 2011.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.<sup>14</sup>

## Elizabeth M. Murphy,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2011–23375 Filed 9–13–11; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 8011–01–P

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–65292; File No. SR–MSRB– 2011–15]

## Self-Regulatory Organizations; Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board; Notice of Filing of Proposed Interpretive Notice Concerning the Application of Rule G–17 to Municipal Advisors

### September 8, 2011.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act")<sup>1</sup> and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,<sup>2</sup> notice is hereby given that on August 24, 2011, the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board ("Board" or "MSRB") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC" or "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the MSRB. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

# I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The MSRB is filing with the SEC a proposed rule change consisting of a proposed interpretive notice (the "Notice") concerning the application of MSRB Rule G–17 to municipal advisors. The MSRB requests that the proposed rule change be made effective on the date that rules defining the term "municipal advisor" under the Exchange Act are first made effective by the Commission or such later date as the proposed rule change is approved by the Commission.

The text of the proposed rule change is available on the MSRB's Web site at *http://www.msrb.org/Rules-and-Interpretations/SEC-Filings/2011-Filings.aspx,* at the MSRB's principal office, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

## II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the MSRB included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Board has prepared summaries, set forth in Sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

# A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

#### 1. Purpose

With the passage of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act ("Dodd-Frank Act"),<sup>3</sup> the MSRB was expressly directed by Congress to protect municipal entities and obligated persons. Accordingly, the MSRB is proposing to provide interpretive guidance that addresses how Rule G–17 applies to municipal advisors when advising obligated person clients or when soliciting municipal entities on behalf of others.

A more-detailed description of the provisions of the Notice follows:

Duty to Obligated Persons; Fair Dealing. The Notice would provide that the Rule G-17 duty of fair dealing requires that the municipal advisor determine if a recommended municipal securities transaction or municipal financial product is suitable for its obligated person client, and that it provide disclosure of the material risks and characteristics of the transaction or product, as well as any incentives the municipal advisor has received for recommending the transaction or product and any other associated conflicts of interest. Further, under the Notice, the Rule G-17 duty of fair dealing would require that the municipal advisor exercise due care when providing advice to the obligated person client, and not undertake an engagement if the municipal advisor does not have the necessary skills and resources to perform its duties in respect of the engagement.

The Notice also would provide that the municipal advisor must disclose all material conflicts of interest such as those that may color its judgment and impair its ability to render unbiased advice to its obligated person client, including those existing at the time the

<sup>14 17</sup> CFR 200.30–3(a)(12).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Public Law No. 111–203, 124 Stat. 1376 (2010).