

this agreement within the Global Direct Contracts 1 product. *Id.*

II. Notice of Filing

The Commission establishes Docket No. CP2011–52 to consider matters related to the contract identified in the Notice.

Interested persons may submit comments on whether the Postal Service's contract is consistent with the policies of 39 U.S.C. 3632 or 3633 and 39 CFR part 3015. Comments are due no later than January 5, 2011. The public portions of these filings can be accessed via the Commission's Web site (<http://www.prc.gov>).

The Commission appoints John P. Klingenberg to serve as Public Representative in the captioned filings.

III. Ordering Paragraphs

It is ordered:

1. The Commission establishes Docket No. CP2011–52 to consider matters raised by the Postal Service's Notice.

2. Comments by interested persons in these proceedings are due no later than January 5, 2011.

3. Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505, John P. Klingenberg is appointed to serve as the officer of the Commission (Public Representative) to represent the interests of the general public in these proceedings.

4. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this order in the **Federal Register**.

By the Commission.

Shoshana M. Grove,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2010–33161 Filed 1–3–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710–FW–P

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. MC2011–16 and CP2011–53;
Order No. 627]

New Postal Product

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission.
ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission is noticing a recently-filed Postal Service request to add Parcel Select Contract 1 to the competitive product list. This notice addresses procedural steps associated with this filing.

DATES: *Comments are due:* January 4, 2011.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments electronically via the Commission's Filing Online system at <http://www.prc.gov>. Commenters who cannot submit their views electronically should contact the person identified in **FOR**

FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT by telephone for advice on alternatives to electronic filing.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stephen L. Sharfman, General Counsel, stephen.sharfman@prc.gov or 202–789–6820.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Table of Contents

- I. Introduction
- II. Notice of Filing
- III. Ordering Paragraphs

I. Introduction

Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 3642 and 39 CFR 3020.30 *et seq.*, the Postal Service filed a formal request and associated supporting information to add Parcel Select Contract 1 to the competitive product list.¹ The Postal Service states that “[t]he product is for the licensing and distribution of the ‘Sample Showcase’ co-op box,” which is similar to the Samples Co-op Box being tested by the Postal Service as an experimental product in Docket No. MT2010–1.²

The Postal Service will license the use of the Sample Showcase box and associated trademarks to StartSampling, Inc., a provider of sample-related services. Request, Attachment B at 1. StartSampling, Inc. will fund and manage all aspects of distributing the Sample Showcase box, including marketing, assembling, and tendering the box for delivery by the Postal Service. *Id.* at 1, 3. StartSampling, Inc. will pay the Postal Service a portion of all revenue exceeding a certain amount that is derived from the boxes. *Id.* at 8.

The Postal Service asserts that Parcel Select Contract 1 is a competitive product “not of general applicability” within the meaning of 39 U.S.C. 3632(b)(3). Request at 1. The Postal Service states that the prices and classification underlying this contract are supported by Governors’ Decision No. 10–8, included as Attachment A to the Request.³ The Request has been assigned Docket No. MC2011–16.

The Postal Service contemporaneously filed a contract related to the proposed new product. Request, Attachment B. The contract has been assigned Docket No. CP2011–53.

¹ Request of the United States Postal Service to Add Parcel Select Contract 1 to Competitive Product List and Notice of Filing (Under Seal) of Contract and Supporting Data, December 23, 2010 (Request).

² Request at 1; see Docket No. MT2010–1, Order No. 452, Order Approving Samples Co-op Box Market Test, May 5, 2010.

³ See Request at 1; Decision of the Governors of the United States Postal Service on Establishment of Rate and Class Not of General Applicability for Parcel Select Service, Docket Nos. MC2011–16 and CP2011–53, issued December 16, 2010 (Governors’ Decision No. 10–8).

Request. In support of its Request, the Postal Service filed six attachments as follows:

- Attachment A—a redacted copy of Governors’ Decision No. 10–8 establishing prices and classification for Parcel Select Service and a certification of the Governors’ vote;
- Attachment B—a redacted copy of the contract;
- Attachment C—a proposed change in the Mail Classification Schedule competitive product list;
- Attachment D—a Statement of Supporting Justification as required by 39 CFR 3020.32;
- Attachment E—a certification of compliance with 39 U.S.C. 3633(a); and
- Attachment F—an application for non-public treatment of materials to maintain redacted portions of the contract and supporting documents under seal.

As submitted, Attachment E is incomplete as it does not include the certification of compliance with 39 U.S.C. 3633(a)(1) and (3).⁴ To complete its Request, the Postal Service shall file the appropriate certification of compliance no later than January 4, 2011.

In the Statement of Supporting Justification, Marc D. McCrery, Manager, Specialty Shipping, asserts that the service to be provided under the contract will cover its attributable costs, make a positive contribution to institutional costs, and increase contribution toward the requisite 5.5 percent of the Postal Service's total institutional costs. Request, Attachment D. Thus, Mr. McCrery contends there will be no issue of market dominant products subsidizing competitive products as a result of this contract. *Id.*

Related contract. A redacted version of the specific Parcel Select Contract 1 is included with the Request. *Id.*, Attachment B. The contract will become effective on the date of any final regulatory approvals. *Id.* at 1. The public version of the contract redacts the terms of the agreement, but lists provisions enabling either party to terminate with or without cause. *See id.* at 11–13. The Postal Service represents that the contract is consistent with 39 U.S.C. 3633(a). *Id.*, Attachment D.

The Postal Service filed much of the supporting materials, including the specific Parcel Select Contract 1, under seal. *Id.*, Attachment F. It maintains that redacted portions of the contract, Governors’ Decision No. 10–8, and related financial information, should

⁴ It includes the certification of the Governors’ vote that should have been included with Governors’ Decision No. 10–8 in Attachment A.

remain confidential. *Id.* at 2. This information includes the price structure and terms, expected profit, underlying costs and assumptions, cost coverage projections, and customer-related information. *Id.* at 2–3.

II. Notice of Filings

The Commission establishes Docket Nos. MC2011–16 and CP2011–53 to consider the Request pertaining to the proposed Parcel Select Contract 1 product and the related contract, respectively.

Interested persons may submit comments on whether the Postal Service's filings in the captioned dockets are consistent with the policies of 39 U.S.C. 3632, 3633, or 3642, 39 CFR part 3015, and 39 CFR part 3020, subpart B. Comments are due no later than January 14, 2011. The public portions of these filings can be accessed via the Commission's Web site (<http://www.prc.gov>).

The Commission appoints Paul L. Harrington to serve as Public Representative in these dockets.

III. Ordering Paragraphs

It is ordered:

1. The Commission establishes Docket Nos. MC2011–16 and CP2011–53 to consider the matters raised in each docket.

2. The Postal Service shall file the appropriate certification of compliance with 39 U.S.C. 3633(a)(1) and (3) no later than January 4, 2011.

3. Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505, Paul L. Harrington is appointed to serve as officer of the Commission (Public Representative) to represent the interests of the general public in these proceedings.

4. Comments by interested persons in these proceedings are due no later than January 14, 2011.

5. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this order in the **Federal Register**.

By the Commission.

Shoshana M. Grove,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2010–33164 Filed 1–3–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710–FW–P

POSTAL SERVICE

Product Change—Express Mail Negotiated Service Agreement

AGENCY: Postal Service™.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Postal Service notice of filing of a request with the Postal Regulatory Commission to add a domestic shipping

services contract to the list of Negotiated Service Agreements in the Mail Classification Schedule's Competitive Products List pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 3642 and 3632(b)(3).

DATES: January 4, 2011.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Elizabeth A. Reed, 202–268–3179.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The United States Postal Service® hereby gives notice that on December 17, 2010, it filed with the Postal Regulatory Commission a *Request of the United States Postal Service to Add Express Mail Contract 11 to Competitive Product List*. Documents are available at <http://www.prc.gov>, Docket Nos. MC2011–14, CP2011–50.

Neva R. Watson,

Attorney, Legislative.

[FR Doc. 2010–33162 Filed 1–3–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710–12–P

POSTAL SERVICE

Product Change—Priority Mail—Non-Published Rates

AGENCY: Postal Service™.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Postal Service notice of filing of a request with the Postal Regulatory Commission to add a new product to the Mail Classification Schedule's Competitive Products List pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 3642 and 3632(b)(3).

DATES: January 4, 2011.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Elizabeth A. Reed, 202–268–3179.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The United States Postal Service® hereby gives notice that on December 17, 2010, it filed with the Postal Regulatory Commission a *Request of the United States Postal Service Concerning Priority Mail—Non-Published Rates and Notice of Filing Materials Under Seal*. Documents are available at <http://www.prc.gov>, Docket Nos. MC2011–15 and CP2011–51.

Neva R. Watson,

Attorney, Legislative.

[FR Doc. 2010–33148 Filed 1–3–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710–12–P

POSTAL SERVICE

Product Change—Priority Mail Negotiated Service Agreement

AGENCY: Postal Service™.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Postal Service notice of filing of a request with the Postal Regulatory

Commission to add a domestic shipping services contract to the list of Negotiated Service Agreements in the Mail Classification Schedule's Competitive Products List pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 3642 and 3632(b)(3).

DATES: January 4, 2011.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Elizabeth A. Reed, 202–268–3179.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The United States Postal Service® hereby gives notice that on December 17, 2010, it filed with the Postal Regulatory Commission a *Request of the United States Postal Service to Add Priority Mail Contract 33 to Competitive Product List*. Documents are available at <http://www.prc.gov>, Docket Nos. MC2011–13, CP2011–49.

Neva R. Watson,

Attorney, Legislative.

[FR Doc. 2010–33160 Filed 1–3–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710–12–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Rule 8c–1; SEC File No. 270–455; OMB Control No. 3235–0514]

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

Upon Written Request, Copies Available From: U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Investor Education and Advocacy, Washington, DC 20549.

Existing collection in use without an OMB Number:

Rule 8c–1, SEC File No. 270–455, OMB Control No. 3235–0514.

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), the Securities and Exchange Commission has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget requests for approval of the following rule: Rule 8c–1.

Rule 8c–1 (17 CFR 240.8c–1) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78a *et seq.*) generally prohibits a broker-dealer from using its customers' securities as collateral to finance its own trading, speculating, or underwriting transactions. More specifically, the rule states three main principles: First, that a broker-dealer is prohibited from commingling the securities of different customers as collateral for a loan without the consent of each customer; second, that a broker-dealer cannot commingle customers' securities with its own securities under the same pledge; and third, that a broker-dealer can only pledge its customers' securities to the extent that customers are in debt