

The information to be collected is found at 15 CFR part 325.3—Export Trade Certificates of Review. The collection of information is necessary for both the Departments of Commerce and Justice to conduct an analysis, in order to determine whether the applicant and its members are eligible to receive the protection of an Export Trade Certificate of Review and whether the applicant's proposed export-related conduct meets the standards in Section 303(a) of the Act. The collection of information constitutes the essential basis of the statutory determinations to be made by the Secretary of Commerce and the Attorney General.

The Department of Commerce conducts its economic and legal analysis of the information supplied by applicants through the Office of Trade and Economic Analysis and the Office of the General Counsel. In the Department of Justice, analysis is conducted by the Antitrust Division.

Title III was enacted to reduce uncertainty regarding the application of U.S. antitrust laws to export activities. An Export Trade Certificate of Review provides its holder and members named in the Certificate with (a) protection from government actions under state and federal antitrust laws for the export conduct specified in the Certificate, and (b) certain protection from private suits, by limiting liability in private actions to actual damages when the challenged activities are covered by an Export Trade Certificate of Review.

II. Method of Collection

The form is sent by request to U.S. firms.

III. Data

OMB Control Number: 0625–0125.

Form Number(s): ITA–4093P.

Type of Review: Regular submission.

Affected Public: Business or other for-profit organizations; not-for-profit institutions, and state, local or tribal government.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 9.

Estimated Time per Response: 32 hours (application); 2 hours (annual report).

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 440 hours.

Estimated Total Annual Cost to Public: \$0.

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden

(including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: December 12, 2014.

Glenna Mickelson,

Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2014–29603 Filed 12–17–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DR–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–570–893]

Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2013–2014

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On September 12, 2014, the Department of Commerce (the “Department”) published the *Preliminary Results* of the 2013–2014 administrative review on certain frozen warmwater shrimp (“shrimp”) from the People's Republic of China (“PRC”), covering the period of review (“POR”) from February 1, 2013, through January 31, 2014.¹ We gave interested parties an opportunity to submit comments on the *Preliminary Results*, but none were received. Therefore, these final results are unchanged from the *Preliminary Results*, and we continue to find that Shantou Yuexing Enterprise Company (“SYEC”), and Zhanjiang Regal Integrated Marine Resources Co., Ltd. (“Regal”) did not have reviewable entries during this POR. Additionally, we continue to find that Rizhao Smart Foods Co., Ltd. (“Smart Foods”) is not eligible for a separate rate, and we will continue to treat it as part of the PRC-wide entity.

¹ See *Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp from the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2013–2014*, 79 FR 54678 (September 12, 2014) (“*Preliminary Results*”).

DATES: *Effective Date:* December 18, 2014.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Kabir Archuleta, AD/CVD Operations, Office V, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–2593.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On September 14, 2014, the Department published the *Preliminary Results* of this administrative review. SYEC, Smart Foods, and Regal² submitted “no shipment certifications” to the Department.³ In response to the Department's query, U.S. Customs and Border Protection (“CBP”) did not provide any evidence that contradicted SYEC or Regal's claims of no shipments. The Department received no comments from interested parties concerning the results of the CBP queries. Therefore, based on SYEC and Regal's certifications and our analysis of CBP information, we preliminarily determined that SYEC and Regal did not have any reviewable entries during the POR.⁴ With regard to Smart Foods, we noted that because it was previously found to be part of the PRC-wide entity, and continues to be part of the PRC-wide entity for this review, we were not making a determination regarding its no shipments certification.⁵ In the *Preliminary Results* we determined that 58 companies in total should be treated as part of the PRC-wide entity.⁶ We invited interested parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results*. We received no comments from interested parties.

Scope of the Order

The scope of the order includes certain frozen warmwater shrimp and prawns, whether wild-caught (ocean harvested) or farm-raised (produced by

² In the *Initiation Notice*, we stated that because the order was revoked with respect to subject merchandise produced and exported by Regal, this administrative review covers all subject merchandise exported by Regal and manufactured by any company other than Regal. See *Initiation Notice* 79 FR at 18275 at footnote 5.

³ See Letter to the Secretary of Commerce from Shantou Yuexing “Shantou Yuexing Enterprise Company's Request for rescinding an Administrative Review” (April 2, 2014); Letter to the Secretary of Commerce from Rizhao Smart Foods Co., Ltd. “Certificate of No Sales” (April 9, 2014); Letter to the Secretary of Commerce from Zhanjiang Regal Integrated Marine Resources Co., Ltd. “No Shipments Statement of Zhanjiang Regal Integrated Marine Resources Co., Ltd.” (June 2, 2014).

⁴ See *Preliminary Results*, 79 FR at 54679.

⁵ *Id.*

⁶ *Id.*

aquaculture), head-on or head-off, shell-on or peeled, tail-on or tail-off,⁷ deveined or not deveined, cooked or raw, or otherwise processed in frozen form.

The frozen warmwater shrimp and prawn products included in the scope of the order, regardless of definitions in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule (“HTS”), are products which are processed from warmwater shrimp and prawns through freezing and which are sold in any count size.

The products described above may be processed from any species of warmwater shrimp and prawns. Warmwater shrimp and prawns are generally classified in, but are not limited to, the *Penaeidae* family. Some examples of the farmed and wild-caught warmwater species include, but are not limited to, white-leg shrimp (*Penaeus vannamei*), banana prawn (*Penaeus merguensis*), fleshy prawn (*Penaeus chinensis*), giant river prawn (*Macrobrachium rosenbergii*), giant tiger prawn (*Penaeus monodon*), redspotted shrimp (*Penaeus brasiliensis*), southern brown shrimp (*Penaeus subtilis*), southern pink shrimp (*Penaeus notialis*), southern rough shrimp (*Trachypenaeus curvirostris*), southern white shrimp (*Penaeus schmitti*), blue shrimp (*Penaeus stylirostris*), western white shrimp (*Penaeus occidentalis*), and Indian white prawn (*Penaeus indicus*).

Frozen shrimp and prawns that are packed with marinade, spices or sauce are included in the scope of the order. In addition, food preparations, which are not “prepared meals,” that contain more than 20 percent by weight of shrimp or prawn are also included in the scope of the order.

Excluded from the scope are: (1) Breaded shrimp and prawns (HTS subheading 1605.20.1020); (2) shrimp and prawns generally classified in the *Pandalidae* family and commonly referred to as coldwater shrimp, in any state of processing; (3) fresh shrimp and prawns whether shell-on or peeled (HTS subheadings 0306.23.0020 and 0306.23.0040); (4) shrimp and prawns in prepared meals (HTS subheading 1605.20.0510); (5) dried shrimp and prawns; (6) Lee Kum Kee’s shrimp sauce;⁸ (7) canned warmwater shrimp and prawns (HTS subheading 1605.20.1040); and (8) certain battered shrimp. Battered shrimp is a shrimp-based product: (1) That is produced

from fresh (or thawed-from-frozen) and peeled shrimp; (2) to which a “dusting” layer of rice or wheat flour of at least 95 percent purity has been applied; (3) with the entire surface of the shrimp flesh thoroughly and evenly coated with the flour; (4) with the non-shrimp content of the end product constituting between four and 10 percent of the product’s total weight after being dusted, but prior to being frozen; and (5) that is subjected to individually quick frozen (“IQF”) freezing immediately after application of the dusting layer. When dusted in accordance with the definition of dusting above, the battered shrimp product is also coated with a wet viscous layer containing egg and/or milk, and par-fried.

The products covered by these orders are currently classified under the following HTS subheadings: 0306.17.0003, 0306.17.0006, 0306.17.0009, 0306.17.0012, 0306.17.0015, 0306.17.0018, 0306.17.0021, 0306.17.0024, 0306.17.0027, 0306.17.0040, 1605.21.1030, and 1605.29.1010. These HTS subheadings are provided for convenience and for customs purposes only; the written description of the scope of these orders is dispositive.⁹

Final Determination of No Shipments

In the *Preliminary Results*, the Department determined that Regal and SYEC had no sales of subject merchandise to the United States during the POR.¹⁰ We stated, consistent with the recently announced refinement to its assessment practice in non-market economy (“NME”) cases, that the Department would not rescind the review in these circumstances but, rather, would complete the review with respect to Regal and SYEC and issue appropriate instructions to CBP based on the final results of the review. As stated above, we did not receive any comments on our *Preliminary Results* nor did we receive information from CBP indicating that there were reviewable transactions for Regal or

SYEC during the POR. Therefore, we continue to determine that Regal and SYEC had no reviewable transactions of subject merchandise during the POR. Consistent with our “automatic assessment” clarification, the Department will issue appropriate instructions to CBP based on our final results.¹¹

PRC-Wide Entity

Because Smart Foods was found to be part of the PRC-wide entity in recent administrative reviews of the *Order*,¹² had no reviewable sales or entries in this review, and, consequently, did not demonstrate eligibility for a separate rate, Smart Foods continues to be part of the PRC-wide entity. Therefore, we continue to find that 58 companies, including Smart Foods, should be treated as part of the PRC-wide entity. The Department’s change in policy regarding conditional review of the PRC-wide entity applies to this administrative review.¹³ Under this policy, the PRC-wide entity will not be under review unless a party specifically requests, or the Department self-initiates, a review of the entity. Because no party requested a review of the PRC-wide entity, the entity is not under review and the entity’s rate is not subject to change. The Department finds that 58 companies¹⁴ under review have

¹¹ See *Non-Market Economy Antidumping Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 76 FR 65694 (October 24, 2011) (“*Assessment Practice Refinement*”). See also the “Assessment” section of this notice, below.

¹² See *Administrative Review of Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp from the People’s Republic of China: Final Results, Partial Rescission of Sixth Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Determination Not To Revoke in Part*, 77 FR 53856 (September 4, 2012) at Appendix II; see also *Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp from the People’s Republic of China: Final Results of Administrative Review; 2011–2012*, 78 FR 56209 (September 12, 2013).

¹³ *Antidumping Proceedings: Announcement of Change in Department Practice for Respondent Selection in Antidumping Duty Proceedings and Conditional Review of the Nonmarket Economy Entity in NME Antidumping Duty Proceedings*, 78 FR 65963 (November 4, 2013).

¹⁴ Those companies for which a review was requested and which we determine are part of the PRC-wide entity include: Asian Seafoods (Zhanjiang) Co., Ltd., Beihai Angbang Seafood Co., Ltd., Beihai Boston Frozen Food Co., Ltd., Dalian Shanhai Seafood Co., Ltd., Dalian Taiyang Aquatic Products Co., Ltd., Eimskip Logistics (Qingdao) Co., Ltd., EZ Logistics Inc., EZ Logistics LLC (Qingdao Branch), Fujian Chaohui International Trading, Fujian Rongjiang Import and Export Co., Ltd., Fujian Tea Import & Export Co., Ltd., Fujian Zhaoan Haili Aquatic Co., Ltd., Fuqing Dongwei Aquatic Products Ind., Fuqing Minhua Trade Co., Ltd., Fuqing Yihua Aquatic Food Co., Ltd., Guangdong Foodstuffs Import & Export (Group) Corporation, Guangdong Gourmet Aquatic Products Co., Ltd., Guangdong Jinhang Food Co., Ltd., Guangdong Jinhang Foods Co., Ltd., Guangdong Wanshida Holding Corp., Guangdong Wanya Foods Pty. Co.,

⁷ “Tails” in this context means the tail fan, which includes the telson and the uropods.

⁸ The specific exclusion for Lee Kum Kee’s shrimp sauce applies only to the scope in the PRC case.

⁹ On April 26, 2011, the Department amended the antidumping duty order to include dusted shrimp, pursuant to the CIT decision in *Ad Hoc Shrimp Trade Action Committee v. United States*, 703 F. Supp. 2d 1330 (CIT 2010), and the U.S. International Trade Commission determination, which found the domestic like product to include dusted shrimp. See *Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp from Brazil, India, the People’s Republic of China, Thailand, and the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Amended Antidumping Duty Orders in Accordance with Final Court Decision*, 76 FR 23277 (April 26, 2011) (“*Order*”); see also *Frozen Warmwater Shrimp from Brazil, China, India, Thailand, and Vietnam* (Investigation Nos. 731–TA–1063, 1064, 1066–1068 (Review), USITC Publication 4221, March 2011).

¹⁰ See *Preliminary Results*, 79 FR at 54679.

not established eligibility for a separate rate and, thus, they should continue to be part of the PRC-wide entity for these final results.

Assessment Rates

Upon issuance of the final results, the Department will determine, and CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. The Department intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of the final results of review. The Department recently announced a refinement to its assessment practice in NME cases. Pursuant to this refinement in practice, for entries that were not reported in the U.S. sales databases submitted by companies individually examined during this review, the Department will instruct CBP to liquidate such entries at the NME-wide rate. In addition, if the Department determines that an exporter under review had no shipments of the subject merchandise, any suspended entries that entered under that exporter's case number (*i.e.*, at that exporter's rate) will be liquidated at the NME-wide rate.¹⁵

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for shipments of the subject merchandise from the PRC entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided by

Ltd., Guangzhou Shi Runjin Trading Development Co., Ltd., Haida Seafood Co., Ltd., HaiLi Aquatic Product Co., Ltd., Hainan Brich Aquatic Products Co., Ltd., Hua Yang (Dalian), International Transportation Service Co., Huazhou XinHai Aquatic Products Co. Ltd., Jiazhou Foods Industry Co., Ltd., Longhai Gelin Foods Co., Ltd., Longhai Gelin Seafoods Co., Ltd., Maoming Xinzhou Seafood Co., Ltd., North Seafood Group Co., Panwin International Logistics Co., Ltd., Pingve Foreign Transportation Corp. Ltd of Shantou, SE.Z., Rizhao Smart Foods Company Limited, Savvy Seafood Inc., Shanghai Lingpu Aquatic Products Co., Ltd., Shanghai Smiling Food Co., Ltd., Shantou Freezing Aquatic Product Foodstuffs Co., Shantou Jiazhou Food Industrial Co., Ltd., Shantou Jin Cheng Food Co., Ltd., Shantou Jintai Aquatic Product Industrial Co., Ltd., Shantou Li An Plastic Products Co. Ltd., Shantou Longsheng Aquatic Product Foodstuff Co., Ltd., Shantou Wanya Foods Pty. Co., Ltd., Thai Royal Frozen Food Zhanjiang Co., Ltd., Yangjiang Anyang Food Co., Ltd., Yangjiang City Haida Seafood Company Ltd., Yangjiang City Hongwai Seafood Company, Ltd., Zhangzhou Xinwanya Aquatic Product Co., Ltd., Zhangzhou Yanfeng Aquatic Product, Zhanjiang Evergreen Aquatic Product Science and Technology Co., Ltd., Zhanjiang Fuchang Aquatic Products Co., Ltd., Zhanjiang Jinguo Marine Foods Co., Ltd., Zhanjiang Longwei Aquatic Products Industry Co., Ltd., Zhanjiang Universal Seafood Corp., Zhanjiang Newpro Foods Co., Ltd., Zhaoan Yangli Aquatic Co., Ltd.

¹⁵ See *Assessment Practice Refinement*, 76 FR at 65694.

sections 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For previously investigated or reviewed PRC and non-PRC exporters that received a separate rate in a prior segment of this proceeding that are not listed in footnote 14, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the existing exporter-specific rate; (2) for all PRC exporters of subject merchandise that have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate (including the firms listed in footnote 14), the cash deposit rate will be the existing rate for the PRC-wide entity; and (3) for all non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC exporter that supplied that non-PRC exporter. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notifications

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties has occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to the administrative protective order ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely notification of the destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing these results and this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: December 12, 2014.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2014-29673 Filed 12-17-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-848]

Freshwater Crawfish Tail Meat From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and New Shipper Review; 2012-2013

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On October 6, 2014, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the **Federal Register** the preliminary results of the administrative review and new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on freshwater crawfish tail meat from the People's Republic of China (the PRC).¹ The period of review (POR) for the administrative review is September 1, 2012, through August 31, 2013, and the POR for the new shipper review is September 1, 2012 through August 31, 2013. These reviews cover two producers/exporters of subject merchandise: Xiping Opeck Food Co., Ltd. (Xiping Opeck), and Hubei Nature Agriculture Industry Co., Ltd (Hubei Nature). We invited parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results*. We received no comments from interested parties. Accordingly, for the final results, we continue to find that the companies covered by these reviews did not make sales of subject merchandise at less than normal value.

DATES: *Effective Date:* December 18, 2014.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Bryan Hansen or Hermes Pinilla, AD/CVD Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3683 or (202) 482-3477, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On October 4, 2014, the Department published the *Preliminary Results* of

¹ See *Freshwater Crawfish Tail Meat From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and New Shipper Review; 2012-2013*, 79 FR 60134 (October 6, 2014) (*Preliminary Results*). Also, on January 6, 2014, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.214(j)(3), the Department aligned the new shipper review with the administrative review. See Memorandum to the File from Bryan Hansen, Case Analyst, "Alignment of New Shipper Review of Freshwater Crawfish Tail Meat from the People's Republic of China with the concurrent administrative review of Freshwater Crawfish Tail Meat from the People's Republic of China," dated January 6, 2014.