period July 1, 2010, through June 30, 2011.

Manufacturer/exporter	Weighted- Average dumping margin (percent)
Shinkong Synthetic Fibers Corporation/Shinkong Materials Technology Co. Ltd Nan Ya Plastics Corporation, Ltd	0.75 8.99

# **Assessment Rates**

The Department shall determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. The Department intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of the final results of review

For any individually examined respondents whose weighted-average dumping margin is above de minimis (i.e., 0.5 percent) in the final results, we will calculate importer-specific ad valorem duty assessment rates based on the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for the importer's examined sales to the total entered value of those sales in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).7 We will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review when the importer-specific assessment rate calculated in the final results of this review is above de minimis. Where either the respondent's weighted average dumping margin is zero or below de minimis or an importer-specific assessment rate is zero or de minimis, we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.8

The Department clarified its "automatic assessment" regulation on May 6, 2003. This clarification will apply to entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by each respondent for which they did not know that their merchandise was destined for the United States. In such instances, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the

transaction. For a full discussion of this clarification, see Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

# **Cash Deposit Requirements**

The following deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of PET Film from Taiwan entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of the final results of this administrative review, as provided for by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (Act): (1) The cash deposit rate for company under review will be the rate established in the final results of this review (except, if the rate is zero or below de minimis, i.e., 0.5 percent, no cash deposit will be required); (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the less-thanfair-value investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and, (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this or any previous review, the cash deposit rate will be the all others rate for this proceeding, 2.40 percent. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

# Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Orders

This notice is the only reminder to parties subject to the administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under the APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

# **Notification to Importers**

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the

subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

We are issuing and publishing these final results and this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: February 4, 2013.

# Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

# **Appendix**

#### **List of Comments**

Comment 1: Whether to Apply an Alternative Comparison Method to Nan Ya and Shinkong

Comment 2: Whether the Department Should Modify the Calculations of Certain Adjustments for Shinkong

Comment 3: Whether the Department Should Use Nan Ya's Revised U.S. Sales Database Comment 4: Whether the Department Should Change Nan Ya's Date of Sale from Invoice Date to Sales Confirmation Date

Comment 5: Whether the Department Should Use Entry Date To Define Nan Ya's Universe of Sales and Consequently To Exclude Nan Ya Sales That Are Outside The POR

[FR Doc. 2013–03083 Filed 2–8–13; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# International Trade Administration [A-588-704]

# Brass Sheet and Strip From Japan: Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2011–2012

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce ("the Department") is rescinding the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on brass sheet and strip from Japan for the period August 1, 2011, through July 31, 2012.

DATES: Effective Date: February 11, 2013.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mahnaz Khan, AD/CVD Operations, Office 1, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0914.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# **Background**

The Department initiated an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on brass sheet and strip from Japan covering the period August 1, 2011, through July 31, 2012,

<sup>7</sup> See Antidumping Proceedings: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Duty Proceedings; Final Modification, 77 FR 8101 (February 14, 2012) (Final Modification for Reviews). In the Preliminary Results, the Department applied the assessment rate calculation method adopted in Final Modification for Reviews, i.e. on the basis of monthly average-to-average comparisons using only the transactions associated with that importer with offsets being provided for non-dumped comparisons.

<sup>8</sup> See 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1).

based on a request by GBC Metals, LLC, of Global Brass and Copper, Inc., doing business as Olin Brass; Heyco Metals, Inc.; Aurubis Buffalo, Inc.; PMX Industries, Inc.; and Revere Copper Products, Inc. (collectively, "Petitioners"). See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part, 77 FR 59168 (September 26, 2012).

The review covers 22 companies: Dowa Metals & Mining Co., Ltd.; Fujisawa Co., Ltd.; Furukawa Electric Co., Ltd.; Harada Metal Industry; Hitachi Allov, Ltd.; Hitachi Cable, Ltd.; Kicho Shindosho Co., Ltd.; Kitz Metal Works Corp.; Kobe Steel, Ltd.; Mitsubishi Materials Corp.; Mitsubishi Electric Metecs Co., Ltd.; Mitsubishi Shindoh Co., Ltd.; Mitsui Mining & Smelting Co., Ltd. (Mitsui Kinzoku); Mitsui Sumitomo Metal Mining Brass & Copper Co., Ltd.; NGK Insulators (NGK Metals); Nippon Mining & Metals Co., Ltd.; Ohki Brass & Copper Co., Ltd.; Sambo Copper Alloy Co., Ltd.; Sugino Metal Industry Co., Ltd.; Sumitomo Metal Mining Brass & Copper Co., Ltd.; Uji Copper & Alloy Co., Ltd.; and YKK Corporation.

On December 20, 2012, Petitioners withdrew their request for an administrative review on all 22 producers/exporters.

#### Rescission of Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Secretary will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if the parties that requested a review withdraw the request within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review. In this case, Petitioners withdrew their request within the 90-day deadline and no other parties requested an administrative review of the antidumping duty order. Therefore, we are rescinding the administrative review of brass sheet and strip from Japan covering the period August 1, 2011, through July 31, 2012.

#### Assessment

The Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") to assess antidumping duties on all suspended entries subject to the AD Order for the period August 1, 2011 to July 31, 2011. Antidumping duties shall be assessed at rates equal to the cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i). The Department intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of this notice.

#### **Notification to Importers**

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

# Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Order

This notice serves as a final reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under an APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: February 5, 2013.

# Christian Marsh,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations. [FR Doc. 2013–03080 Filed 2–8–13; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# International Trade Administration [A-533-824]

Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip From India: Final Results of Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order; 2010– 2011

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On August 6, 2012, the Department of Commerce (Department) published the preliminary results of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on polyethylene terephthalate film (PET Film) from India.¹ This review covers three respondents, Jindal Poly Films Ltd

(Jindal), Polyplex Corporation Ltd. (Polyplex), and SRF Limited (SRF), producers and exporters of PET Film from India. Based on the results of our analysis of the comments received, we have made changes to the preliminary results. For the final weight-averaged dumping margins, see the "Final Results of Review" section below.

**DATES:** Effective Date: February 11, 2013

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Elfi

Blum or Toni Page, AD/CVD Operations, Office 6, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 428–0197 or (202) 482– 1398, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

Since the *Preliminary Results*, the following events have taken place. The Department extended the final results of review from December 6, 2012 to February 4, 2013.<sup>2</sup> Jindal and Polyplex submitted timely case briefs on December 5, 2012. DuPont Teijin Films, Mitsubishi Polyester Film, Inc., SKC, Inc., and Toray Plastics (America), Inc. (collectively, Petitioners) filed a timely rebuttal brief on December 13, 2012.

The Department issued a post-preliminary analysis to address Petitioners' targeted dumping allegations for both Jindal and Polyplex on December 20, 2012.<sup>3</sup> Petitioners filed timely comments regarding the Department's post-preliminary analysis on January 3, 2013. In response, Jindal and Polyplex filed timely rebuttal comments on January 8, 2013.

# Scope of the Order

The products covered by the antidumping duty order are all gauges of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip From India: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 77 FR 46687 (August 6, 2012) (Preliminary Results).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Memorandum from Barbara Tillman, Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations Office 6 Director, to Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, "Polyethylene Terephthalate Film from India: Extension of Deadline for Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review," dated November 9, 2012. See also Memorandum to the Record from Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, regarding "Tolling of Administrative Deadlines As a Result of the Government Closure During Hurricane Sandy," dated October 31, 2012.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See Memorandum from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.: Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet and Strip (PET film) from India: Post-Preliminary Analysis and Calculation Memorandum, dated December 20, 2012 (Post-Prelim Analysis and Calculation Memorandum).