that the United States did not pursue. *Microsoft,* 56 F.3d at 1459–60.

In its 2004 amendments to the APPA, Congress made clear its intent to preserve the practical benefits of using judgments proposed by the United States in antitrust enforcement, Public Law 108-237 § 221, and added the unambiguous instruction that "[n]othing in this section shall be construed to require the court to conduct an evidentiary hearing or to require the court to permit anyone to intervene." 15 U.S.C. 16(e)(2); see also U.S. Airways, 38 F. Supp. 3d at 76 (indicating that a court is not required to hold an evidentiary hearing or to permit intervenors as part of its review under the Tunney Act). This language explicitly wrote into the statute what Congress intended when it first enacted the Tunney Act in 1974. As Senator Tunney explained: "[t]he court is nowhere compelled to go to trial or to engage in extended proceedings which might have the effect of vitiating the benefits of prompt and less costly settlement through the consent decree process." 119 Cong. Rec. 24,598 (1973) (statement of Sen. Tunney). "A court can make its public interest determination based on the competitive impact statement and response to public comments alone." U.S. Airways, 38 F. Supp. 3d at 76 (citing Enova Corp., 107 F. Supp. 2d at 17).

### VIII. Determinative Documents

There are no determinative materials or documents within the meaning of the APPA that were considered by the United States in formulating the proposed Final Judgment.

Dated: July 14, 2021 Respectfully submitted, For Plaintiff United States of America:

REBECCA VALENTINE\* (D.C. Bar #989607), Trial Attorney,

Defense, Industrials, and Aerospace Section, Antitrust Division, 450 Fifth Street NW, Suite 8700, Washington, DC 20530, Telephone: (202) 476–0432, Facsimile: (202) 514–9033, Email: rebecca.valentine@usdoj.gov. \*Lead Attorney To Be Noticed

[FR Doc. 2021–15728 Filed 7–22–21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-11-P

### **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

### **Drug Enforcement Administration**

[Docket No. DEA-861]

### Importer of Controlled Substances Application: Arizona Department of Corrections

**AGENCY:** Drug Enforcement Administration, Justice. **ACTION:** Notice of application.

**SUMMARY:** Arizona Department of Corrections has applied to be registered as an importer of basic class(es) of controlled substance(s). Refer to Supplementary Information listed below for further drug information.

**DATES:** Registered bulk manufacturers of the affected basic class(es), and applicants therefore, may file written comments on or objections to the issuance of the proposed registration on or before August 23, 2021. Such persons may also file a written request for a hearing on the application on or before August 23, 2021.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be sent to: Drug Enforcement Administration, Attention: DEA Federal Register Representative/DPW, 8701 Morrissette Drive, Springfield, Virginia 22152. All requests for a hearing must be sent to: Drug Enforcement Administration, Attn: Administrator, 8701 Morrissette Drive, Springfield, Virginia 22152. All requests for a hearing should also be sent to: (1) Drug Enforcement Administration, Attn: Hearing Clerk/OALJ, 8701 Morrissette Drive, Springfield, Virginia 22152; and (2) Drug Enforcement Administration, Attn: DEA Federal Register Representative/DPW, 8701 Morrissette Drive, Springfield, Virginia 22152.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In accordance with 21 CFR 1301.34(a), this is notice that on June 7, 2021, Arizona Department of Corrections, 1305 E Butte Avenue, ASPC-Florence, Florence, Arizona 85132–9221, applied to be registered as an importer of the following basic class(es) of controlled substance(s):

Controlled substance	Drug code	Schedule
Pentobarbital	2270	II

The facility intends to import the above-listed controlled substance for legitimate use. This particular controlled substance is not available for the intended legitimate use within the current domestic supply of the United States. No other activity for this drug code is authorized for this registration.

Approval of permit applications will occur only when the registrant's business activity is consistent with what is authorized under 21 U.S.C. 952(a)(2). Authorization will not extend to the import of Food and Drug Administration-approved or non-approved finished dosage forms for commercial sale.

#### William T. McDermott,

Assistant Administrator.

[FR Doc. 2021–15710 Filed 7–22–21; 8:45 am]

### **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

# Office of Justice Programs [OMB Number 1121–0334]

Agency Information Collection
Activities; Proposed Collection
Comments Requested; Reinstatement,
With Change, of a Previously
Approved Collection for Which
Approval Has Expired: 2021 Survey of
Campus Law Enforcement Agencies
(SCLEA)

**AGENCY:** Office of Justice Programs, Department of Justice. **ACTION:** 30-Day notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Justice (DOJ), Office of Justice Programs, Bureau of Justice Statistics, will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection was previously published in the Federal Register, Volume 86, Number 94, page 26944 on Tuesday, May 18, 2021, allowing a 60-day comment period. Following publication of the 60-day notice, BJS did not receive any comments on the proposed information collection.

**DATES:** Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for 30 days until August 23, 2021.

ADDRESSES: Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain. Find this particular information collection by selecting "Currently under 30-day Review—Open for Public Comments" or by using the search function.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should

address one or more of the following four points:

- —Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Bureau of Justice Statistics, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- —Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- —Evaluate whether and if so how the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected can be enhanced; and
- —Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

### **Overview of this Information Collection**

- (1) Type of Information Collection: Reinstatement of the Survey of Campus Law Enforcement Agencies (SCLEA), with changes, a previously approved collection for which approval has expired.
- (2) The Title of the Form/Collection: 2021 Survey of Campus Law Enforcement Agencies (SCLEA).
- (3) The agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection: The form number for the questionnaire is CJ–42. The applicable component within the Department of Justice is the Bureau of Justice Statistics, in the Office of Justice Programs.
- (4) Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract: Respondents will be campus law enforcement agencies (LEAs) serving public and private 2- and 4-year postsecondary educational institutions with a full-time enrollment of 1,000 or more students. Periodically since 1995, BJS has collected information about the personnel, policies, and practices of campus law enforcement agencies via the Survey of Campus Law Enforcement Agencies (SCLEA). This survey has been used to produce nationally representative estimates on campus law enforcement personnel, duties, administration, technology, officer selection, officer training, equipment, and jurisdiction. The survey instrument was reviewed by practitioners and subject matter experts to update it from the 2011 form and ensure it covers current topics of interest to campus law

enforcement while reducing respondent burden. BJS plans to publish the information collected in a report and archive the data for public use.

(5) An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond: An agency-level survey will be sent to approximately 1,860 campus LEA respondents. The expected burden placed on these respondents is about 1 hour per respondent.

(6) An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection: There is an estimated 1,860 total burden hours associated with this collection.

If additional information is required contact: Melody Braswell, Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Justice Management Division, Policy and Planning Staff, Two Constitution Square, 145 N Street NE, 3E.405A, Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: July 20, 2021.

### Melody Braswell,

Department Clearance Officer for PRA, U.S. Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 2021–15716 Filed 7–22–21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-18-P

### **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

U.S. Marshals Service [OMB Number 1105–0106]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed eCollection eComments Requested; Extension Without Change of a Currently Approved Collection; Comments Requested: Form USM-164, Applicant Appraisal Questionnaire

**AGENCY:** U.S. Marshals Service, Department of Justice.

**ACTION:** 60-Day notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Justice (DOJ), U.S. Marshals Service (USMS), will submit the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

**DATES:** Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for 60 days until September 21, 2021.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If

you have additional comments, particularly with respect to the estimated public burden or associated response time, have suggestions, need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions, or desire any additional information,

please contact Nicole Timmons either by mail at CG–3, 10th Floor, Washington, DC 20530–0001, by email at *Nicole.Timmons@usdoj.gov*, or by telephone at 202–236–2646.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- —Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Evaluate whether and if so how the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected can be enhanced; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

## Overview of This Information Collection

- 1. Type of Information Collection (check justification or form 83): Extension without change of a currently approved collection.
- 2. The Title of the Form/Collection: Form USM–164, Applicant Appraisal Questionnaire.
- 3. The agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection:

Form number (if applicable): Form USM–164.

Component: U.S. Marshals Service, U.S. Department of Justice.

4. Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:

*Primary:* Individuals (supervisors, peers, subordinates).

Other (if applicable): [None].

Abstract: This form is used to collect applicant reference information.

Reference checking is an objective evaluation of an applicant's past job performance based on information collected from key individuals (e.g., supervisors, peers, subordinates) who have known and worked with the