Reference Room, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10 a.m. and 3 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR–CBOE– 2012-021 and should be submitted on or before April 2, 2012.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.¹³

Kevin M. O'Neill,

Deputy Secretary. [FR Doc. 2012–5905 Filed 3–9–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–66517; File No. SR–ICC– 2012–02]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; ICE Clear Credit LLC; Notice of Filing of Proposed Rule Change To Provide for a T+1 Settlement of the Initial Payment Related to the CDS Contracts Cleared by ICE Clear Credit LLC

March 6, 2012.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")¹ and Rule 19b–4 thereunder ² notice is hereby given that on March 1, 2012, the ICE Clear Credit LLC ("ICC") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared primarily by ICC. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

ICC proposes rule amendments that are intended to modify the terms of each of the various CDS Contracts cleared by ICC (CDX.NA Untranched Contracts, Standard North American Corporate ("SNAC") Single Name Contracts and Standard Emerging Sovereign ("SES") Single Name Contracts) to make the Initial Payment ³ date the first business day immediately following the trade date, provided that with respect to CDS Contracts that are accepted for clearing after the trade date, the Initial Payment date will be the date that is the first business day following the date when the CDS Contract is accepted for clearing.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, ICC included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. ICC has prepared summaries, set forth in sections (A), (B), and (C) below, of the most significant aspects of these statements.⁴

(A) Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

As noted above, the proposed rule changes amend the timing of Initial Payments on a cleared CDS Contract. The Initial Payment under a CDS Contract is established at the time the contract is executed and may be payable from either the protection buyer to the protection seller or vice versa. Under the current ICC Rules (by way of the incorporated ISDA Credit Derivatives Definitions), and consistent with practice in the market for uncleared credit default swaps, the Initial Payment is required to be made on the third business day following the trade date (the execution date). ICC proposes to add the definition of Initial Payment Date to its Clearing Rules to provide instead that the Initial Payment is to be made on the first business day following the trade date (or, if the transaction is accepted for clearing after the trade date, the initial payment is to be made on the first business day following the date of acceptance for clearing). After consultation with the Buy-side, ICC believes that this change from "T+3" settlement to "T+1" settlement for the Initial Payment will facilitate customerrelated clearing. In addition, this change will improve margin efficiency (as margin requirements will no longer need to take into account the additional

risk from a T+3 as opposed to a T+1 settlement rule).

The other proposed changes in the ICC Rules reflect updates to crossreferences and defined terms and similar drafting clarifications, and do not affect the substance of the ICC Rules or cleared products.

(B) Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

ICC does not believe the proposed rule change would have any impact, or impose any burden, on competition.

(C) Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants or Others

Written comments relating to the proposed rule change have not been solicited or received. ICC will notify the Commission of any written comments received by ICC.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Within 45 days of the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register** or within such longer period up to 90 days (i) as the Commission may designate if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or (ii) as to which the self-regulatory organization consents, the Commission will: (A) By order approve or disapprove the proposed rule change or (B) institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

• Use the Commission's Internet comment form (*http://www.sec.gov/ rules/sro.shtml*) or send an email to *rule-comments@sec.gov.* Please include File Number SR–ICC–2012–02 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

• Send paper comments in triplicate to Elizabeth M. Murphy, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549–1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR–ICC–2012–02. This file number should be included on the

^{13 17} CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

¹15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ The Initial Payment is an obligation by either counterparty to make an upfront payment established at the time the contract is executed. *See* ICE Clear Credit Clearing Rules, Section 301(b). ⁴ The Commission has modified the text of the

summaries prepared by ICC.

subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (http://www.sec.gov/ *rules/sro.shtml*). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Section, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10 a.m. and 3 p.m. Copies of such filings will also be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of ICC and on ICC's Web site at https:// www.theice.com/publicdocs/ regulatory filings/ ICEClearCredit 022912.pdf.

All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-ICC-2012-02 and should be submitted on or before April 2, 2012.

For the Commission by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority. $^{\rm 5}$

Kevin O'Neill,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2012–5872 Filed 3–9–12; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 8011–01–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–66518; File No. SR– NYSEAmex–2012–15]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; NYSE Amex LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change Implementing Changes to the NYSE Amex Options Fee Schedule To Add Fees for Reserve Floor Market Maker Amex Trading Permits

March 6, 2012.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),¹ and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on February 28, 2012, NYSE Amex LLC (the "Exchange" or "NYSE Amex") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the selfregulatory organization. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to proposes to [sic] amend the NYSE Amex Options Fee Schedule ("Fee Schedule") to add fees for Reserve Floor Market Maker Amex Trading Permits ("Reserve ATPs"). The text of the proposed rule change is available at the Exchange, the Commission's Public Reference Room, and www.nyse.com.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of those statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant parts of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend the Fee Schedule to add fees for Reserve ATPs.

Under the current Fee Schedule, an ATP Holder ³ acting as a Market Maker must pay \$5,000 per month per Amex Trading Permit ("ATP").⁴ In order to act as a Floor Market Maker, an individual must be specifically named on the relevant Market Maker's ATP. On some occasions, a Floor Market Maker may be absent from the floor due to illness or other unexpected absence, in which case the ATP Holder may wish to have a Market Maker Authorized Trader ("MMAT")⁵ employee engage in open outcry trading to cover for the absent Floor Market Maker. If the ATP Holder activates an individual on an ATP for any portion of a month, even as little as one day, the ATP Holder is charged the full \$5,000 monthly ATP fee.

To provide an option to Market Maker firms to address the short-term absence of an employee in a more economical way, the Exchange recently added NYSE Amex Options Rule 902NY(j) to create a Reserve ATP under which an ATP Holder would be permitted to have a qualified MMAT employee cover for the absent Floor Market Maker under the firm's ATP, effectively empowering the individual acting as a qualified MMAT to act as a Floor Market Maker in lieu of the absent individual until such time as he or she returns.⁶

The fee for a Reserve ATP will be \$175 per month. The fee will be assessed to an ATP Holder that notifies the Exchange that it wishes to obtain a Reserve ATP, such that MMATs in its employ will be eligible to be named to the ATP to act as a Floor Market Maker to cover for another Floor Market Maker who is otherwise unable to be at work that day. The fee change will be implemented on March 1, 2012.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with the provisions of Section 6(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act"),⁷ in general, and Section 6(b)(4) of the Act,⁸ in particular, in that it is designed to provide for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees, and other charges among its members and other persons using its facilities. The Exchange believes that the proposed change is equitably allocated and not unfairly discriminatory because it will apply equally to all ATP Holders that choose to use the Reserve ATP alternative. The Exchange believes that the proposed change is reasonable because it provides a method for ATP Holders to have fully qualified personnel step in to handle other

⁶ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 66237 (January 25, 2012), 77 FR 4848 (January 31, 2012) (SR–NYSEAmex–2012–02).

^{5 17} CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ An "ATP Holder" is a natural person, sole proprietorship, partnership, corporation, limited liability company or other organization, in good standing, that has been issued an Amex Trading Permit. *See* NYSE Amex Rule 900.2NY(5).

⁴ The fee is calculated based on the maximum number of ATPs held by the ATP Holder during the calendar month.

 $^{{}^5}$ A "Market Maker Authorized Trader" is an authorized trader who performs market making activities pursuant to Rule 920NY on behalf of an ATP Holder registered as a Market Maker. See NYSE Amex Rule 900.2NY(37). A Market Maker Authorized Trader must meet the same registration requirements as Floor Market Maker before they can be designated as a Market Maker Authorized Trader. See NYSE Amex Rule 921.1NY.

^{7 15} U.S.C. 78f(b).

^{8 15} U.S.C. 78f(b)(4).