

824(a), I hereby revoke DEA Certificate of Registration No. BD5898575 issued to George M. Douglass, Jr., M.D. Further, pursuant to 28 CFR 0.100(b) and the authority vested in me by 21 U.S.C. 823(f), I hereby deny any pending applications of George M. Douglass, Jr., M.D., to renew or modify this registration, as well as any other pending application of George M. Douglass, Jr., M.D., for additional registration in Oregon. This Order is effective December 8, 2022.

Signing Authority

This document of the Drug Enforcement Administration was signed on November 1, 2022, by Administrator Anne Milgram. That document with the original signature and date is maintained by DEA. For administrative purposes only, and in compliance with requirements of the Office of the Federal Register, the undersigned DEA Federal Register Liaison Officer has been authorized to sign and submit the document in electronic format for publication, as an official document of DEA. This administrative process in no way alters the legal effect of this document upon publication in the **Federal Register**.

Heather Achbach,

Federal Register Liaison Officer, Drug Enforcement Administration.

[FR Doc. 2022–24301 Filed 11–7–22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–09–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

[Docket No. DEA–1083]

Bulk Manufacturer of Controlled Substances Application: Chattem Chemicals, Inc.; Correction

AGENCY: Drug Enforcement Administration, Justice.

ACTION: Notice of application; correction.

SUMMARY: The Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) published a document in the **Federal Register** on October 11, 2022, concerning a notice of application that inadvertently did not include the controlled substance Cocaine (9041).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Correction

In the **Federal Register** on October 11, 2022, in FR Doc No: 2022–21940 (87 FR 61368), on page 61368, in the second column, under **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**, controlled substance table,

correct the table to include the following basic class of scheduled controlled substance:

Controlled substance	Drug code	Schedule
Cocaine	9041	II

Kristi O'Malley,

Assistant Administrator.

[FR Doc. 2022–24105 Filed 11–7–22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–09–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

[Docket No. 22–34]

Gerald M. Baltz, N.P.; Decision and Order

On June 3, 2022, the Drug Enforcement Administration (hereinafter, DEA or Government), issued an Order to Show Cause (hereinafter, OSC) to Gerald M. Baltz, N.P. (hereinafter, Respondent). OSC, at 1, 3. The OSC proposed the revocation of Respondent's Certificate of Registration No. MB2171128 at the registered address of 8060 Melrose Ave., Ste. 200, Los Angeles, CA 90046. *Id.* at 1. The OSC alleged that Respondent's registration should be revoked because Respondent is “without authority to handle controlled substances in the State of California, the state in which [he is] registered with DEA.” *Id.* at 1–2 (citing 21 U.S.C. 824(a)(3)).¹

By letter dated July 11, 2022,² Respondent requested a hearing. On July 12, 2022, Administrative Law Judge Paul E. Soeffing (hereinafter, the ALJ) issued an Order for Evidence of Lack of State Authority and Directing the Government to File Evidence Regarding the Service of the Order to Show Cause (hereinafter, Briefing Order). On July 26, 2022, the Government filed its Submission of Evidence and Motion for Summary Disposition (hereinafter, Motion for Summary Disposition). On August 10, 2022,³ Respondent filed his

¹ According to Agency records, Respondent's Certificate of Registration No. MB2171128 expired on July 31, 2022. The fact that a registrant allows his registration to expire during the pendency of an OSC does not impact the Agency's jurisdiction or prerogative under the Controlled Substances Act (hereinafter, CSA) to adjudicate the OSC to finality. *Jeffrey D. Olsen, M.D.*, 84 FR 68,474 (2019).

² The record demonstrates that service of the OSC on Respondent was accomplished on or before June 28, 2022, *see* Government Exhibit (hereinafter, GX) E, at 1–2, and the Government does not contest the timeliness of the request for a hearing.

³ The record demonstrates that Respondent's filing was untimely. *See* Briefing Order, at 2; Order Granting the Government's Motion for Summary

Opposition to Government's Motion for Summary Disposition (hereinafter, Opposition).⁴

On August 25, 2022, the ALJ granted the Government's Motion for Summary Disposition and recommended the revocation of Respondent's DEA registration, finding that because Respondent lacks authority to handle controlled substances in California, there is no genuine issue of material fact. Recommended Decision, at 6.⁵

The Agency issues this Decision and Order based on the entire record before it, 21 CFR 1301.43(e), and makes the following findings of fact.

Findings of Fact

On November 19, 2021, an Administrative Law Judge from the State of California, Office of Administrative Hearings, issued a Proposed Decision revoking Respondent's California nursing licenses. Government Exhibit (hereinafter, GX) C, at 45. On January 21, 2022, the State of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, Board of Registered Nursing (hereinafter, the Board), issued a Decision and Order adopting the Administrative Law Judge's Proposed Decision, effective February 18, 2022. *Id.* at 1. On February 24, 2022, the Board issued an Order Denying Reconsideration in which Respondent's request for reconsideration of the Proposed Decision was denied and the Board's January 21, 2022 Decision and Order was made effective February 28, 2022. GX B.

According to California's online records, of which the Agency takes official notice, Respondent's nursing licenses are revoked. ⁶ California DCA

Disposition, and Recommended Rulings, Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Decision of the Administrative Law Judge (hereinafter, Recommended Decision), at 2 n.2. Nonetheless, the Agency will fully consider the Respondent's arguments made therein.

⁴ In his Opposition, Respondent argued that his DEA registration should not be revoked because he maintains active nursing licenses in Colorado and because he is still challenging the underlying action against his California nursing licenses. Opposition, at 3–6.

⁵ By letter dated September 21, 2022, the ALJ certified and transmitted the record to the Agency for final agency action and advised that neither party filed exceptions.

⁶ Under the Administrative Procedure Act, an agency “may take official notice of facts at any stage in a proceeding—even in the final decision.” United States Department of Justice, Attorney General's Manual on the Administrative Procedure Act 80 (1947) (Wm. W. Gaunt & Sons, Inc., Reprint 1979). Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 556(e), “[w]hen an agency decision rests on official notice of a material fact not appearing in the evidence in the record, a party is entitled, on timely request, to an opportunity to show the contrary.” Accordingly,

Continued