teleconference to receive a visitor's badge.

UPDATES & POINT OF CONTACT: Please refer to the National Science Board Web site www.nsf.gov/nsb for additional information. Meeting information and updates (time, place, subject matter or status of meeting) may be found at http://www.nsf.gov/nsb/notices/. Point of contact for this meeting is: Jack Meszaros, 4201Wilson Blvd., Arlington, VA 22230. Telephone: (703) 292–7000.

Ann Bushmiller,

Senior Counsel to the National Science Board. [FR Doc. 2013–08642 Filed 4–9–13; 4:15 pm] BILLING CODE 7555–01–P

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD

Investigative Hearing

On January 7, 2013, about 1021 eastern standard time, smoke was discovered by cleaning personnel in the aft cabin of a Japan Airlines (JAL) Boeing 787–8, JA829J, which was parked at a gate at General Edward Lawrence Logan International Airport (BOS), Boston, Massachusetts. About the same time, a maintenance manager in the cockpit observed that the auxiliary power unit (APU)—the sole source of airplane power at the timehad automatically shut down. Shortly afterward, a mechanic opened the aft electronic equipment bay and found heavy smoke and fire coming from the front of the APU battery case. No passengers or crewmembers were aboard the airplane at the time, and none of the maintenance or cleaning personnel aboard the airplane was injured. Aircraft rescue and firefighting personnel responded, and one firefighter received minor injuries. The airplane had arrived from Narita International Airport, Narita, Japan, as a regularly scheduled passenger flight operated as JAL flight 008 and conducted under the provisions of 14 Code of Federal Regulations Part 129.

The investigative hearing is being held to discuss the Boeing 787 battery and battery charger system. Areas that will be discussed include the selection and certification requirements, the battery system design, development, verification and validation processes and the FAA finding of compliance. The goals of this hearing will be to gather additional information on the selection of the lithium ion (Li-ion) battery technology and how this new technology was evaluated, the role of the prime contractor and subcontractors, development of the battery system safety assessment, certification process structure and findings of compliance for the Boeing 787 Li-ion battery system.

Parties to the hearing include the Federal Aviation Administration, The Boeing Company, Thales Avionics and GS Yuasa.

Order of Proceedings

1. Opening Statement by the Chairman of the Board of Inquiry.

2. Introduction of the Board of Inquiry and Technical Panel.

3. Introduction of the Parties to the Hearing.

4. Introduction of Exhibits by Hearing Officer.

5. Overview of the incident and the investigation by Investigator-In-Charge.6. Calling of Witnesses by Hearing Officer.

7. Closing Statement by the Chairman of the Board of Inquiry.

Additional information can be found on the web at: *http://www.ntsb.gov/ news/2013/130402.html.*

The accident docket is DCA13IA037. The Investigative Hearing will be held in the NTSB Board Room and Conference Center, located at 429 L'Enfant Plaza E., SW., Washington, DC, Tuesday, April 23 and Wednesday, April 24th, 2013 at 9:00 a.m. The public can view the hearing in person or by live webcast at *www.ntsb.gov*. Webcast archives are generally available by the end of the next day following the hearing, and webcasts are archived for a period of 3 months from after the date of the event.

Individuals requesting specific accommodations should contact Ms. Rochelle Hall at (202) 314–6305 or by email at *Rochelle.Hall@ntsb.gov* by Friday, April 19, 2013.

NTSB Media Contact: Mr. Eric Weiss—*eric.weiss@ntsb.gov.*

NTSB Investigative Hearing Officer: Mr. David Helson david.helson@ntsb.gov.

Dated: April 5, 2013.

Candi R. Bing,

Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 2013–08407 Filed 4–10–13; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7533–01–P

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. CP2013–59; Order No. 1692]

International Mail Product

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission. **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission is noticing a recent Postal Service filing concerning an additional International Reply

Service Competitive Contract 3 Negotiated Service Agreement. This notice informs the public of the filing, invites public comment, and takes other administrative steps.

DATES: *Comments are due:* April 12, 2013.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments electronically via the Commission's Filing Online system at *http:// www.prc.gov.* Those who cannot submit comments electronically should contact the person identified in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section by telephone for advice on filing alternatives.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Stephen L. Sharfman, General Counsel, at 202–789–6820.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Table of Contents

I. Introduction II. Contents of Filing III. Notice of Proceeding IV. Ordering Paragraphs

I. Introduction

On April 4, 2013, the Postal Service filed a notice pursuant to 39 CFR 3015.5 announcing that it has entered into an additional International Business Reply Service (IBRS) Competitive Contract 3 negotiated service agreement (Agreement).¹ It seeks to have the Agreement included within the existing IBRS Competitive Contract 3 product on grounds of functional equivalence to the baseline agreement filed in Docket No.CP2011–59.² Notice at 3–5.

II. Contents of Filing

Agreement. The Postal Service states that the Agreement is with a customer who is entering into its first IBRS agreement. *Id.* at 3.

The Postal Service filed the following material in conjunction with its Notice, along with public (redacted) versions of supporting financial information:

• Attachment 1—a redacted copy of the Agreement;

• Attachment 2—the certified statement required by 39 CFR 3015.5(c)(2);

• Attachment 3—a copy of Governors' Decision No. 08–24; and

• Attachment 4—an application for non-public treatment of materials filed under seal.

¹Notice of United States Postal Service Filing of a Functionally Equivalent International Business Reply Service Competitive Contract 3 Negotiated Service Agreement, April 4, 2013 (Notice).

² See Docket Nos. MC2011–21 and CP2011–59, Order No. 684, Order Approving International Business Reply Service Competitive Contract 3 Negotiated Service Agreement, February 28, 2011.