provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (b) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent fatigue cracking of the fuselage, which could result in reduced structural integrity of the airplane, accomplish the following:

Reinforcement of Fuselage Skin

(a) Prior to the accumulation of 15,000 total flight cycles, install fuselage skin reinforcements between stations 11232 and 11740 and stringers P7 and P9, on both the right and left zones of the fuselage, in accordance with CASA Service Bulletin SB– 235–53–40, dated June 16, 1994.

Alternative Methods of Compliance

(b) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, International Branch, ANM–116, Transport Airplane Directorate, FAA. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, International Branch, ANM–116.

Note 2: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the International Branch, ANM-116.

Special Flight Permits

(c) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Note 3: The subject of this AD is addressed in Spanish airworthiness directive 01/2000, Revision 1, dated March 22, 2000.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on April 3, 2001.

Donald L. Riggin,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 01–8726 Filed 4–9–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 2000-NM-07-AD]

Airworthiness Directives; Dornier Model 328–300 Series Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT. **ACTION:** Proposed rule; withdrawal.

SUMMARY: This action withdraws a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) that proposed a new airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to certain Dornier Model 328–300 series airplanes. That action would have required replacement of the hydraulic line tube assemblies with improved tube assemblies and flexible hose assemblies. Since the issuance of the NPRM, the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) has received information from Fairchild Dornier indicating that the replacement has already been carried out on all of the affected airplanes. Accordingly, the proposed rule is withdrawn.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dan Rodina, Aerospace Engineer, ANM–116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055–4056; telephone (425) 227–2125; fax (425) 227–1149.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A proposal to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations to add a new airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to certain Dornier Model 328–300 series airplanes, was published in the Federal Register on June 15, 2000 (65 FR 37500). The proposed rule would have required replacement of the hydraulic line tube assemblies with improved tube assemblies and flexible hose assemblies. That action was prompted by information from the Luftfahrt-Bundesamt (LBA), which is the airworthiness authority for Germany, indicating that pressure spikes and vibration during manual activation of the hydraulic changeover valve may cause cracking of the hydraulic lines that pressurize the braking systems of these airplanes. The pressure spikes create a high bending stress near the sleeve at the changeover valve. The proposed actions were intended to prevent cracking of the hydraulic lines, which could result in loss of hydraulic pressure for certain braking systems on the airplane.

Actions Since Issuance of Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM)

Since the issuance of that NPRM, the FAA has received a comment from

Fairchild Dornier indicating that the replacement of the hydraulic line tube assemblies had been accomplished in all airplanes world-wide, which are affected by the rule. Therefore, Fairchild Dornier requested the FAA to withdraw the NPRM.

FAA's Conclusions

The FAA concurs that, if all of the requirements of the NPRM have already been accomplished on all affected airplanes world-wide, the NPRM may be withdrawn.

Withdrawal of this NPRM constitutes only such action, and does not preclude the agency from issuing another notice in the future, nor does it commit the agency to any course of action in the future.

Regulatory Impact

Since this action only withdraws a notice of proposed rulemaking, it is neither a proposed nor a final rule and therefore, is not covered under Executive Order 13132, the Regulatory Flexibility Act, or DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979).

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

The Withdrawal

Accordingly, the notice of proposed rulemaking, Docket 2000–NM–07–AD, published in the **Federal Register** on June 15, 2000 (65 FR 37500), is withdrawn.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on April 3, 2001.

Donald L. Riggin,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 01–8727 Filed 4–9–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910-13–U

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 71

[Airspace Docket No. 00–ANM–18]

Proposed Modification of Class E Airspace, Vernal, UT

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT. **ACTION:** Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: This action proposes to modify the Class E airspace at Vernal, UT. Newly developed Area Navigation (RNAV) Standard Instrument Approach