Issued: August 10, 2021. Lisa Barton, Secretary to the Commission. [FR Doc. 2021–17370 Filed 8–12–21; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731-TA-1526 (Final)]

Silicon Metal From Malaysia; Determination

On the basis of the record ¹ developed in the subject investigation, the United States International Trade Commission ("Commission") determines, pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act"), that an industry in the United States is materially injured by reason of imports of silicon metal, provided for in subheadings 2804.69.10 and 2804.69.50 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States, from Malaysia, that have been found by the U.S. Department of Commerce ("Commerce") to be sold in the United States at less than fair value ("LTFV").

Background

The Commission instituted the investigations effective June 30, 2020, following receipt of petitions filed with the Commission and Commerce by Globe Specialty Metal, Inc., Beverly, Ohio and Mississippi Silicon, LLC, Burnsville, Mississippi. The final phase of the investigations was scheduled by the Commission following notification of preliminary determinations by Commerce that imports of silicon metal from Kazakhstan were subsidized within the meaning of section 703(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1671b(b)) and that imports of silicon metal from Bosnia and Herzegovina and Iceland were being sold at LTFV within the meaning of 733(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1673b(b)). Notice of the scheduling of the final phase of the Commission's investigations and of a public hearing to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the Federal Register on December 30, 2020 (85 FR 86578). In light of the restrictions on access to the Commission building due to the COVID-19 pandemic, the Commission conducted its hearing through written testimony and video conference on February 22, 2021. All persons who

requested the opportunity were permitted to participate.

The investigation schedules became staggered when Commerce: (i) Postponed the final determination for its antidumping duty investigation regarding Silicon Metal from Malaysia; and (ii) reached earlier final antidumping and countervailing duty determinations concerning Silicon Metal from Bosnia and Herzegovina, Iceland, and Kazakhstan. On April 12, 2021, the Commission issued final affirmative determinations in its antidumping and countervailing duty investigations of Silicon Metal from Bosnia and Herzegovina, Iceland, and Kazakhstan (86 FR 20197, April 16, 2021). Following notification of a final determination by Commerce that imports of Silicon Metal from Malaysia were being sold at LTFV within the meaning of section 735(a) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1673d(a)),² notice of the supplemental scheduling of the final phase of the Commission's antidumping duty investigation with respect to Malaysia was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the Federal Register on June 30, 2021 (86 FR 34786).

The Commission made this determination pursuant to § 735(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1673d(b)). It completed and filed its determination in this investigation on August 9, 2021. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 5220 (August 2021), entitled *Silicon Metal from Malaysia: Investigation No. 731–* TA-1526 (*Final*).

By order of the Commission. Issued: August 9, 2021.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission. [FR Doc. 2021–17308 Filed 8–12–21; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-1275]

Certain Networking Devices, Computers, and Components Thereof; Institution of Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission. **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on June

1, 2021, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, on behalf of Proven Networks, LLC of Los Angeles, California. An amended complaint was filed on June 11, 2021, and a supplement to the amended complaint was filed on July 27, 2021. The amended complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain networking devices, computers, and components thereof by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 8,687,573 ("the '573 patent"). The amended complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by the applicable Federal Statute. The complainant requests that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue a limited exclusion order and cease and desist orders. **ADDRESSES:** The complaint, as amended and supplemented, except for any confidential information contained therein, may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at https://edis.usitc.gov. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at https://www.usitc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Pathenia M. Proctor, The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205–2560.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Authority: The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, and in section 210.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2020).

Scope of Investigation: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on August 10, 2021, ordered that—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the

¹ The record is defined in § 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

²86 FR 33224, June 24, 2021.

United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain products identified in paragraph (2) by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1–37 of the '573 patent, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) Pursuant to section 210.10(b)(1) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10(b)(1), the plain language description of the accused products or category of accused products, which defines the scope of the investigation, is "networking appliances, such as switches, gateways, and application delivery controller products, that manage the flow of traffic and bandwidth for application quality of service, policy management, and security over a network, and related software";

(3) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of institution of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainant is: Proven Networks, LLC, 12424 Wilshire Blvd., 12th Floor, Los Angeles, CA 90025.

(b) The respondent is the following entity alleged to be in violation of section 337, and is the party upon which the complaint is to be served: F5 Networks, Inc., 801 5th Ave., Seattle, WA 98104.

(c) The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436; and

(4) For the investigation so instituted, the Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of institution of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(e) and 210.13(a), as amended in 85 FR 15798 (March 19, 2020), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the complainant of the complaint and the notice of institution of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of institution of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

By order of the Commission. Issued: August 10, 2021.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission. [FR Doc. 2021–17372 Filed 8–12–21; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

Notice of Receipt of Complaint; Solicitation of Comments Relating to the Public Interest

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has received a complaint entitled *Certain Video Security Equipment and Systems, Related Software, Components Thereof, and Products Containing Same, DN 3565;* the Commission is soliciting comments on any public interest issues raised by the complaint or complainant's filing pursuant to the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lisa R. Barton, Secretary to the Commission, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. The public version of the complaint can be accessed on the Commission's Electronic Document Information System (EDIS) at *https://edis.usitc.gov*. For help accessing EDIS, please email *EDIS3Help@usitc.gov*.

General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at United States International Trade Commission (USITC) at *https://www.usitc.gov*. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's Electronic Document Information System (EDIS) at *https://edis.usitc.gov*. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission has received a complaint and a submission pursuant to § 210.8(b) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure filed on behalf of Motorola Solutions, Inc.; Avigilon **Corporation**; Avigilon Fortress Corporation; Avigilon Patent Holding 1 Corporation; and Avigilon Technologies Corporation on August 9, 2021. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1337) in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain video security equipment and systems, related software, components thereof, and products containing same. The complainant names as a respondent: Verkada Inc. of San Mateo, CA. The complainant requests that the Commission issue a limited exclusion order, a cease and desist order, and impose a bond upon respondents alleged infringing articles during the 60day Presidential review period pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1337(j).

Proposed respondents, other interested parties, and members of the public are invited to file comments on any public interest issues raised by the complaint or § 210.8(b) filing. Comments should address whether issuance of the relief specifically requested by the complainant in this investigation would affect the public health and welfare in the United States, competitive conditions in the United States economy, the production of like or directly competitive articles in the United States, or United States consumers.

In particular, the Commission is interested in comments that:

(i) Explain how the articles potentially subject to the requested remedial orders are used in the United States;

(ii) identify any public health, safety, or welfare concerns in the United States relating to the requested remedial orders;

(iii) identify like or directly competitive articles that complainant, its licensees, or third parties make in the United States which could replace the subject articles if they were to be excluded;

(iv) indicate whether complainant, complainant's licensees, and/or third party suppliers have the capacity to replace the volume of articles potentially subject to the requested exclusion order and/or a cease and desist order within a commercially reasonable time; and