

is consistent with section 2(a)(5) of the Act, which encourages creative partnerships among public, private, and government entities to conserve imperiled species and their habitats.

The applicant agrees to implement conservation measures to address known threats to the spring pygmy sunfish. These measures will help protect the species in the near term and also minimize any incidental take of the species that might occur as a result of conducting other covered activities, if the species becomes listed under the Act in the future. Conservation measures to be implemented by the applicant include: (1) Maintaining up to a 150-foot vegetated buffer zone around Moss Spring Pond; (2) prohibiting cattle access to Moss Spring Pond and the buffer zone described above; (3) creating a protected area of approximately 150 acres, with a 100–150 foot vegetated buffer zone, within the Beaverdam Spring/Creek area, including a portion of “Lowe Ditch”; and (4) refraining from any deforestation, land clearing, industrial development, residential development, aquaculture, temporary or permanent ground water removal installations, stocked farm ponds, pesticide and herbicide use, and impervious surface installation without prior consultation with the Service and the Service’s written agreement.

The Land Trust of Huntsville and North Alabama agrees: (1) To be responsible for all reporting requirements, including any changes to the monitoring when necessary for adaptive management; (2) to ensure that annual habitat analyses and site samplings are performed as specified by the CCAA; and (3) to provide funding for part or all of said monitoring activities.

The Service agrees to authorize the applicant to engage in incidental take of the spring pygmy sunfish consistent with this CCAA and to provide technical assistance, including management advice.

The term of the proposed CCAA and associated enhancement of survival permit is twenty (20) years. However, under a special provision of this CCAA, if at any time a 15-percent decline in the status of the species is determined, there will be a reevaluation of the conservation measures set forth in the CCAA. If such a reevaluation reflects a need to change the conservation measures, the revised measures will be implemented by the applicant, or the CCAA will be terminated and the permit surrendered.

When determining whether to issue the permit, we will consider a number of factors and information sources,

including the project’s administrative record, any public comments we receive, and the application requirements and issuance criteria for CCAAs contained in 50 CFR 17.22(d) and 17.32(d). We will also evaluate whether the issuance of the permit complies with section 7 of the Act by conducting an intra-Service consultation. Our decision to issue the permit will be based on the results of this consultation, as well as on the above findings, our regulations, and public comments.

The proposed CCAA also provides regulatory assurances to the applicant that, in the event of changed and/or unforeseen circumstances, we would not require additional conservation measures, or commitment of additional land, water, or resource use restrictions, beyond the level obligated in this agreement, without the consent of the applicant provided certain conditions are met.

We will evaluate this permit application, associated documents, and comments submitted thereon to determine whether the permit application meets the requirements of section 10(a)(1) of the Act, our regulations, and NEPA regulations at 40 CFR 1506.6. If we determine that the requirements are met, we will enter into the CCAA and issue a permit under section 10(a)(1)(A) of the Act to the applicant for take of the spring pygmy sunfish in accordance with the terms of the agreement. We will not make a final decision in this matter until after the end of the 30-day comment period, and we will fully consider all comments received during the comment period.

#### Authority

We provide this notice under both section 10(c) of the Act (16 U.S.C. 1531. et seq.) and its implementing regulations (50 CFR 17.22 and 17.32), and the National Environmental Policy Act (42 U.S.C 4371 et seq.) and its implementing regulations (40 CFR 1506.6).

#### Public Availability of Comments

All comments we receive become part of our administrative record in this matter. Requests for copies of comments will be handled in accordance with the Freedom of Information Act, Privacy Act, NEPA, and Service and Department of the Interior policies and procedures. Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including personal identifying information—may be made available to the public at any

time. While you may ask us to withhold your personal identifying information from public disclosure, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Dated: February 14, 2012.

**Stephen M. Ricks,**

*Field Supervisor, Jackson, Mississippi, Field Office, Southeast Region.*

[FR Doc. 2012–3880 Filed 2–17–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–55–P

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Bureau of Land Management

[CACA 50123, LLCA920000 L1310000 F10000]

#### Notice of Proposed Reinstatement of Terminated Oil and Gas Lease CACA 50123, California

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of Proposed Reinstatement of Terminated Oil and Gas Lease.

**SUMMARY:** Under the provisions of 30 U.S.C. 188(d) and (e), and 43 CFR 3108.2–3(a) and (b)(1), the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) received a petition for reinstatement of oil and gas lease CACA 50123 from West Coast Land Service. The petition was filed on time and was accompanied by all required rentals and royalties accruing from November 1, 2010, the date of termination.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Rita Altamira, Land Law Examiner, Branch of Adjudication, Division of Energy and Minerals, BLM California State Office, 2800 Cottage Way, W–1623, Sacramento, California 95825, (916) 978–4378.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** No valid lease has been issued affecting the lands. The lessee has agreed to new lease terms for rentals and royalties at rates of \$10 per acre or fraction thereof and 16⅔ percent, respectively. The lessee has paid the required \$500 administrative fee and has reimbursed the BLM for the cost of this **Federal Register** notice. The Lessee has met all the requirements for reinstatement of the lease as set out in Sections 31(d) and (e) of the Mineral Leasing Act of 1920 (30 U.S.C. 188), and the Bureau of Land Management is proposing to reinstate the lease effective November 1, 2010, subject to the original terms and

condition of the lease and the increased rental and royalty rates cited above.

**Debra Marsh,**

*Supervisor, Branch of Adjudication, Division of Energy & Minerals.*

[FR Doc. 2012-3897 Filed 2-17-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-40-P

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### National Park Service

[NPS-PWR-PWRO-1103-8840; 2051-P580-579]

#### Final Environmental Impact Statement for Extension of F-Line Streetcar Service to Fort Mason Center, San Francisco, CA

**AGENCY:** National Park Service, Department of the Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of Availability of the Final Environmental Impact Statement for the Extension of F-Line Streetcar Service to Fort Mason Center, San Francisco, California.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, 42 U.S.C. 4332(2)(C), the National Park Service announces availability of the Final Environmental Impact Statement (Final EIS) for the extension of the historic streetcar F-line from Fisherman's Wharf to the Fort Mason Center, in San Francisco, California.

**DATES:** The National Park Service (NPS) will execute a Record of Decision (ROD) not sooner than 30 days after publication in the **Federal Register** by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) of its notice of filing of the Final EIS.

**ADDRESSES:** The Final EIS is available for public inspection as follows: at the Office of the Superintendent, Golden Gate National Recreation Area (Bldg. 201 Fort Mason, San Francisco, California), and at local public libraries as noted on the Project Web site <http://parkplanning.nps.gov/goga>. An electronic version may also be accessed at the Project Web site. For further information, please contact Mr. Steve Ortega, Bldg. 201 Fort Mason, San Francisco, CA 94123-0022 (415) 561-2841 or [steve\\_ortega@nps.gov](mailto:steve_ortega@nps.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The proposed action would extend the historic streetcar F-line from Fisherman's Wharf to the San Francisco Maritime National Historical Park (SF Maritime NHP) and to the Fort Mason Center in the Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA). The intended effect of the proposed action is to provide park visitors and transit-

dependent residents with high-quality rail transit that improves transportation access and mobility between existing streetcar service at Fisherman's Wharf and SF Maritime NHP and the Fort Mason Center in GGNRA, with connection to the regional transit rail services. The Final EIS evaluates potential environmental consequences of implementing the alternatives. Impact topics include the cultural, natural, and socioeconomic environments.

The proposed action is the culmination of a cooperative effort by the National Park Service, the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA), and the Federal Transit Administration. Studies from these agencies identified a need for improved regional and local transit connectivity between the identified urban national parks and existing transit infrastructure. Transit improvements between these parks would help accommodate existing and future visitor demand and enhance operational effectiveness. Based on the agency studies, conceptual approaches to address alternative transportation needs were identified and evaluated against the purpose and need of the project, park management objectives, and operability constraints.

Through an intensive public review process, two action alternatives were identified in addition to the No Action Alternative (Alternative 1)—the Preferred Action Alternative has two options for the track turnaround configuration (Alternatives 2A and 2B). Common elements of the Preferred Action Alternatives include the extension of approximately 0.85 mile of new rail track; associated features such as signals, crossings, wires and poles; approximately 8–9 new platforms; new designated stops; retrofitting of the historic State Belt Railroad tunnel/Fort Mason Tunnel; tunnel (Fort Mason Tunnel). The primary difference between Alternatives 2A and 2B involves the location in which the streetcar would turn around at the terminus of the proposed track extension. Under Alternative 2A, the streetcar would turn around via a loop in the Fort Mason Center parking lot (North Loop). Under Alternative 2B, the streetcar would turn around via a loop in the Great Meadow (South Loop).

The Draft EIS was made available for public review for 60 days (March 18–May 23, 2011); the full text and graphics were also posted on the NPS Planning, Environment and Public Comment Web site. A public open house on the proposed action was held on April 20, 2011, and attended by a total of 81 people, during which the Project team

collected oral and written comments. In addition, throughout the review period, NPS received a total of 98 comment letters on the proposed action. The majority of those that commented on the Draft EIS supported the proposed action. The public's primary concerns about the preferred alternative included mitigating the loss of parking, displacement of street artist sales spaces, increased traffic congestion, noise and congestion near the Marina neighborhood, conflicts with other planned projects, and mitigating impacts to National Historic Landmark resources. Many also suggested various design ideas and other measures to help reduce these impacts.

In coordination with other affected agencies, and after considering all oral and written comments, the NPS prepared the Final EIS. The analysis revealed Alternative 1 (No Action) to be the Environmentally Preferred Alternative. Alternative 2 was found to be the superior alternative with Alternative 2A (North Loop) the preferred option for the Turnaround, and thus NPS's Final Preferred Action Alternative.

**Decision Process:** The NPS will prepare a Record of Decision no sooner than 30 days following EPA's notice in the **Federal Register** of filing of the Final EIS. As a delegated EIS, the official responsible for approval of the extension of F-Line streetcar service from Fisherman's Wharf to the Fort Mason Center is the Regional Director; subsequently the officials responsible for implementation will be the Superintendents of San Francisco Maritime National Historical Park and Golden Gate National Recreation Area.

Dated: December 6, 2012.

**Martha J. Lee,**

*Acting Regional Director, Pacific West Region.*

[FR Doc. 2012-3959 Filed 2-17-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4312-FN-P

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### National Park Service

[NPS-WASO-NRNL-0112-9383; 2200-3200-665]

#### National Register of Historic Places; Notification of Pending Nominations and Related Actions

Nominations for the following properties being considered for listing or related actions in the National Register were received by the National Park Service before January 21, 2012. Pursuant to section 60.13 of 36 CFR part 60, written comments are being