

a reasoned explanation for its determination. Specifically, first, the CIT ordered the Department to make a determination as to the practicability of allowing China Kingdom to explain the deficient responses, that is required by section 782(d) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and, in doing so, to afford China Kingdom a reasonable opportunity to explain the deficiency affecting the information on Chaohu Daxin Foodstuff Co., Ltd.'s (Daxin) total production and the calculated data for eight of the eleven factors of production. Second, the CIT specified that once China Kingdom has provided its explanation regarding the deficient information, the Department must make the determinations required by either section 782(d)(1) or (2) of the Act, or both, with respect to the substitute information. Third, the CIT ordered that the Department may use facts otherwise available solely to determine the total amount of Daxin's production of subject merchandise, and to calculate and determine the eight incorrectly reported factors of production during the period of review. Lastly, the CIT instructed that the Department may use adverse inferences only to a limited extent, and must demonstrate that the use of adverse inferences is not punitive, aberrational, or uncorroborated.

On February 1, 2008, the Department released the draft final results of redetermination for comment. No party submitted comments by the February 11, 2008, deadline. On March 4, 2008, the Department filed its final results of redetermination pursuant to the CIT's order. *See Results of Redetermination on Remand Pursuant to China Kingdom Import & Export Co., Ltd. v. United States*. In the remand results, pursuant to the CIT's order, the Department recalculated China Kingdom's margin using adverse facts available only to a limited extent. Specifically, the Department only applied adverse facts available to those factors which China Kingdom incorrectly reported in its responses. Therefore, for the remand results, the Department utilized China Kingdom's correctly reported factors in its margin calculation. On September 12, 2008, the CIT issued its judgment affirming the Department's remand results.

On October 17, 2008, consistent with the decision in *Timken Co. v. United States*, 893 F.2d 337 (Fed. Cir. 1990), the Department notified the public that the CIT's decision was not in harmony with Department's final results. *See Crawfish Tail Meat from the People's Republic of China: Notice of Court Decision Not in Harmony with Final Results of Administrative Review*, 73 FR 61782

(October 17, 2008). There was no appeal of the CIT's decision to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit filed within the appeal period. Therefore, the CIT's decision is now final and conclusive.

Amended Final Results of the Review

As the litigation in this case has concluded, the Department is amending the *Final Results* to reflect the results of our remand redetermination. The revised dumping margin in the amended final results is as follows:

Exporter/Manufacturer	Weighted-Average Margin (Percent)
China Kingdom Import & Export Co. Ltd.	90.66

The Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to liquidate entries of freshwater crawfish tail meat from the People's Republic of China during the review period at the assessment rate the Department calculated for the final results of review as amended. We intend to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of these amended final results of review.

This notice is published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act.

November 24, 2008.

David M. Spooner,
Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E8-29015 Filed 12-5-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Exporters' Textile Advisory Committee

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Renewal of the Exporters' Textile Advisory Committee (ETAC): The ETAC is renewed for the period October 1, 2008 - September 30, 2010.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Janet E. Heinzen, Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Textiles and Apparel, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW, Room 3100, Washington, DC 20230 telephone: (202) 482-3737, e-mail: matt.priest@mail.doc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In accordance with the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, 5 U.S.C. App. 2, and the General Services Administration (GSA) rule on Federal Advisory Committee Management, 41

CFR 102-3.65, and after consultation with GSA, the Secretary of Commerce has determined that the renewal of the Exporters' Textile Advisory Committee is in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Department by law.

The Committee shall provide advice and guidance to Department officials on the identification and surmounting of barriers to the expansion of textile exports, and on methods of encouraging textile firms to participate in export expansion.

The Committee shall consist of approximately 40 members appointed by the Secretary of Commerce to ensure a balanced representation of textile and apparel products. Representatives of small, medium and large firms with broad geographical distribution in exporting shall be included on the Committee.

The Committee shall function solely as an advisory body in compliance with the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act.

Dated: December 2, 2008.

Janet E. Heinzen,
Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Textiles and Apparel.

[FR Doc. E8-28980 Filed 12-5-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-549-813]

Canned Pineapple Fruit From Thailand: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On August 6, 2008, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of its administrative review of the antidumping duty order on canned pineapple fruit (CPF) from Thailand. *See Canned Pineapple Fruit from Thailand: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 73 FR 45695 (August 6, 2008) (Preliminary Results). This review covers one producer/exporter of the subject merchandise to the United States, Vita Food Factory (1989) Co., Ltd. (Vita). The period of review (POR) is July 1, 2006 through June 30, 2007. Subsequent to the *Preliminary Results*, we provided parties with an opportunity to comment. No parties submitted any comments. Therefore, the final results do not differ from those