Directives (AD's) to address a specific problem.

Respondents: Approximately 60,000 operators.

Frequency: Information is collected on occasion.

Estimated Average Burden per Response: 9 minutes.

Estimated Total Annual Burden: 9,000 hours.

Public Comments Invited: You are asked to comment on any aspect of this information collection, including (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for FAA's performance; (b) the accuracy of the estimated burden; (c) ways for FAA to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information collection; and (d) ways that the burden could be minimized without reducing the quality of the collected information. The agency will summarize and/or include your comments in the request for OMB's clearance of this information collection.

Issued in Washington, DC, on August 27, 2014.

### Albert R. Spence,

FAA Assistant Information Collection Clearance Officer, IT Enterprises Business Services Division, ASP–110.

[FR Doc. 2014-20989 Filed 9-2-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

# **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

Federal Highway Administration [Docket No. FHWA-2014-0034]

Agency Information Collection Activities: Request for Comments for a New Information Collection

**AGENCY:** Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** The FHWA invites public comments about our intention to request the Office of Management and Budget's (OMB) approval for a new information collection, which is summarized below under **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**. We are required to publish this notice in the **Federal Register** by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

**DATES:** Please submit comments by November 3, 2014.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments identified by DOT Docket ID Number 2014–0034 by any of the following methods:

Web site: For access to the docket to read background documents or comments received go to the Federal eRulemaking Portal: Go to http://

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments. \end{tabular}$ 

Fax: 1-202-493-2251.

Mail: Docket Management Facility, U.S. Department of Transportation, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590–0001.

Hand Delivery or Courier: U.S.
Department of Transportation, West
Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140,
1200 New Jersey Avenue SE.,
Washington, DC 20590, between 9 a.m.
and 5 p.m. ET, Monday through Friday,
except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jim Sinnette, Office of Innovative Program Delivery, 202–366–1561, james.sinnette@dot.gov, Federal Highway Administration, Department of Transportation, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590. Office hours are from 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

*Title:* FHWA Major Project Financial Plans and Project Management Plans.

Background: Major projects are defined in section 106(h) of title 23, United States Code (U.S.C.), as projects receiving Federal financial assistance with an estimated total cost of \$500,000,000, or other projects as may be identified by the Secretary. Major projects are typically large, complex projects designed to address major highway needs and require the investment of significant financial resources. Project sponsors of major projects are required to submit a project management plan and an annual financial plan to FHWA.

The preparation of the project management plan, as required by 23 U.S.C. 106(h)(2), ensures that clearly defined roles, responsibilities, procedures and processes are in effect to provide timely information to the project decisionmakers to effectively manage the scope, costs, schedules, quality of, and the Federal requirements applicable to, the project. The project management plan serves as a guide for implementing the major project and documents assumptions and decisions regarding communication, management processes, execution and overall project control.

The preparation of the annual financial plan, as required by 23 U.S.C. 106(h)(3), ensures that the necessary financial resources are identified, available, and monitored throughout the life of the project. An annual financial plan is a comprehensive document that reflects the project's scope, schedule, cost estimate, and funding structure to

provide reasonable assurance that there will be sufficient funding available to implement and complete the entire project, or a fundable phase of the project, as planned.

Respondents: Approximately 100 project sponsors per year.

Frequency: The financial plan is submitted annually. The first financial plan is submitted prior to the authorization of Federal funds for construction and updates are submitted each year until construction completion.

The project management plan is first submitted prior to the start of construction and then updated as significant changes to the project occur during construction.

Estimated Average Burden per Response: Approximately 40 hours for the initial submittal of each plan and 20 hours for each update.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: Approximately 20 initial plans and 80 plan updates are submitted each year. For a total of approximately, 2,400 hours each year.

Public Comments Invited: You are asked to comment on any aspect of this information collection, including: (1) Whether the proposed collection is necessary for the FHWA's performance; (2) the accuracy of the estimated burdens; (3) ways for the FHWA to enhance the quality, usefulness, and clarity of the collected information; and (4) ways that the burden could be minimized, including the use of electronic technology, without reducing the quality of the collected information. The agency will summarize and/or include your comments in the request for OMB's clearance of this information collection.

**Authority:** The Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995; 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35, as amended; and 49 CFR 1.48.

Issued on August 28, 2014.

## Michael Howell,

Information Collection Officer. [FR Doc. 2014–20909 Filed 9–2–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-22-P

# **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

# **Federal Highway Administration**

Notice of Final Federal Agency Actions on Proposed Highway in West Virginia and Maryland

**AGENCY:** Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of limitations on Claims for Judicial Review of Actions by FHWA and Other Federal Agencies.

**SUMMARY:** This notice announces actions taken by the FHWA and other Federal Agencies that are final within the meaning of 23 U.S.C. 139(l)(1). The actions relate to a proposed highway project, US 220 NHS Corridor between Interstate 68 (I–68) and Corridor H in Allegany County, Maryland and Grant, Hardy, Hampshire and Mineral Counties, West Virginia. The Federal actions, taken as a result of a tiered environmental review process under the National Environmental Policy Act, 42 U.S.C. 4321-4351 (NEPA), and implementing regulations on tiering, 40 CFR 1502.20, 40 CFR 1508.28, and 23 CFR Part 771, determined certain issues relating to the proposed projects. Those Tier 1 decisions will be used by Federal agencies in subsequent proceedings, including decisions whether to grant licenses, permits, and approvals for highway and transit projects. Tier 1 decisions also may be relied upon by State and local agencies in proceedings on the proposed projects.

DATES: By this notice, the FHWA is advising the public that it has made decisions that are subject to 23 U.S.C. 139(l)(1) and are final within the meaning of that law. A claim seeking judicial review of the Tier 1 Federal agency decisions on the proposed highway will be barred unless the claim is filed on or before February 2, 2015. If the Federal law that authorizes judicial review of a claim provides a time period of less than 150 days for filing such claim, then that shorter time period still applies.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Jason Workman, Director, Office of Program Development, Federal Highway Administration, 700 Washington Street E., Charleston, WV 25301; telephone: (304) 347–5928; email: jason.workman@dot.gov or Ben Hark, Environmental Section Head, Engineering Division, West Virginia Department of Transportation, Division of Highways (WVDOH), State Capitol Complex, Building 5, 1900 Kanawha Boulevard, East, Charleston, WV 26305; telephone: (304) 558–2885; email: ben.l.hark@wv.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Notice is hereby given that the FHWA has approved a Tier 1 Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) and issued a Record of Decision (ROD) in connection with a proposed highway project in Maryland and West Virginia: US 220 NHS Corridor between I–68 and Corridor H in Allegany County, Maryland and Grant, Hardy, Hampshire and Mineral Counties, West Virginia. The project will provide a four-lane, partially controlled highway that begins

south of I–68 near LaVale, Maryland and extends southwest to connect with Corridor H near Scherr, West Virginia. It would connect the communities of LaVale, Cresaptown and McCoole in Maryland and Keyser and New Creek in West Virginia. The proposed highway will be on both new and existing alignment.

Decisions in the FHWA Tier 1 ROD that have final approval include, but are not limited to, the following:

- a. Project Purpose and Need— Discussed in FEIS Chapter 1.0, Sections 1.3 and 1.4.
- b. Range of Alternatives for Analysis—Discussed in FEIS Chapter 2.0, Section 2.2.
- c. Selection of the Preferred Corridor to be Carried Forward into Tier 2-Corridor B with the possibility of using the northern spur of Corridor D that connects I-68 in Maryland has been identified as the Preferred Corridor that will be carried forward into Tier 2. The northern spur of Corridor D begins on US 220 just south of Maryland State Route 53 (MD 53) and terminates at I-68. Both these termini will be carried forward into Tier 2 to determine which would best meet the project's purpose and need, be the least environmentally damaging and operate most efficiently. Advancing the northern spur of Corridor D in Maryland as part of the Preferred Corridor's possible connection to I-68 will allow flexibility in developing a new I-68 Interchange while providing additional opportunities for avoiding socioeconomic, natural and cultural resources and minimizing the potential impacts of future alignments (see FEIS Chapter 2.0, Section 2.11; FEIS Chapter 6.0, Section 6.1; and ROD Sections 1.0, 1.3, and 2.7).
- d. Alternatives Eliminated from Further Study—The alternatives that will not be considered any further include, but are not limited to, those identified in the Tier 1 FEIS as Corridors A, C, D, E and the Crossover Corridors which combined portions of Corridors B, C and D (see FEIS Chapter 2.0, Sections 2.9 and 2.10; and ROD Section 2.0).
- e. The project may result in a program of individual transportation improvement projects throughout the US 220 Preferred Corridor, with several projects having independent utility and serving different logical termini. However, the design criteria to be carried forward into Tier 2 will be based on a four-lane, partially controlled highway. The WVDOH and Maryland State Highway Administration (MDSHA) will independently advance Tier 2 NEPA within their respective states. In West Virginia, a typical section

will require a minimum width of 136 feet and, in Maryland, the typical section will require a minimum width of 140.5 feet. The highway width may increase to approximately 300 feet to accommodate construction of the fourlane facility in mountainous terrain (see ROD Section 1.3).

Interested parties may consult the ROD and FEIS for further information on each of the decisions described above.

The Tier 1 actions by the Federal agencies, and the laws under which such actions were taken, are described in the FEIS approved April 2, 2014, the ROD approved July 21, 2014, and in other documents in the FHWA project records. The scope and purpose of the Tier 1 FEIS are described in Chapter 1.0 of the FEIS. The FEIS, ROD, and other documents in the FHWA project file are available by contacting the FHWA or the WVDOH at the addresses provided above. The FEIS and ROD also are available online at http://www. transportation.wv.gov/highways/ engineering/comment/us220/Pages/ default.aspx. OR http://apps.roads. maryland.gov/WebProjectLifeCycle/ ProjectInformation.aspx?projectno= AL613111#.

This notice applies to all Federal agency Tier 1 decisions that are final within the meaning of 23 U.S.C. 139(l)(1) as of the issuance date of this notice and all laws under which such actions were taken, including but not limited to:

- 1. National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) [42 U.S.C. 4321–4351].
- 2. Federal-Aid Highway Act [23 U.S.C. 109 and 23 U.S.C. 128].
- 3. Endangered Species Act [16 U.S.C. 1531–1544].
- 4. National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended [16 U.S.C. 470(f) et seq].
- 5. Section 4(f) of the Department of Transportation Act of 1966 [49 U.S.C. 303 and 23 U.S.C. 138].
- 6. Clean Air Act [42 U.S.C. 7401–7671(q)].

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Number 20.205, Highway Planning and Construction. The regulations implementing Executive Order 12372 regarding intergovernmental consultation on Federal programs and activities apply to this program.)

Authority: 23 U.S.C. 139(l)(1), as amended by Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act (MAP–21), Public Law 112–141, § 1308, 126 Stat. 405 (2012).

Issued on August 27, 2014.

#### Thomas J. Smith,

Division Administrator, Federal Highway Administration, Charleston, West Virginia.

[FR Doc. 2014–20919 Filed 9–2–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-22-P

### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

Federal Railroad Administration [Docket No. FRA-2014-0011-N-16]

Proposed Agency Information Collection Activities; Comment Request

**AGENCY:** Federal Railroad Administration (FRA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

**ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 and its implementing regulations, the Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) hereby announces that it is seeking renewal of the following currently approved information collection activities. Before submitting the information collection requests (ICRs) below for clearance by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), FRA is soliciting public comment on specific aspects of the activities identified below.

**DATES:** Comments must be received no later than November 3, 2014.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit written comments on any or all of the following proposed activities by mail to either: Mr. Robert Brogan, Office of Safety, Planning and Evaluation Division, RRS-21, Federal Railroad Administration, 1200 New Jersey Ave. SE., Mail Stop 17, Washington, DC 20590, or Ms. Kimberly Toone, Office of Information Technology, RAD-20, Federal Railroad Administration, 1200 New Jersey Ave. SE., Mail Stop 35, Washington, DC 20590. Commenters requesting FRA to acknowledge receipt of their respective comments must include a self-addressed stamped postcard stating, "Comments on OMB control number 2130-Alternatively, comments may be transmitted via facsimile to (202) 493-6216 or (202) 493-6497, or via email to Mr. Brogan at Robert.Brogan@dot.gov, or to Ms. Toone at Kim. Toone@dot.gov.

Please refer to the assigned OMB control number in any correspondence submitted. FRA will summarize comments received in response to this notice in a subsequent notice and include them in its information collection submission to OMB for approval.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Robert Brogan, Office of Planning and Evaluation Division, RRS–21, Federal Railroad Administration, 1200 New Jersey Ave. SE., Mail Stop 17, Washington, DC 20590 (telephone: (202) 493–6292) or Ms. Kimberly Toone, Office of Information Technology, RAD–20, Federal Railroad Administration, 1200 New Jersey Ave. SE., Mail Stop 35, Washington, DC 20590 (telephone: (202) 493–6132). (These telephone numbers are not toll-free.)

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA), Public Law 104-13, sec. 2, 109 Stat. 163 (1995) (codified as revised at 44 U.S.C. 3501-3520), and its implementing regulations, 5 CFR part 1320, require Federal agencies to provide 60-days notice to the public for comment on information collection activities before seeking approval for reinstatement or renewal by OMB. 44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A); 5 CFR 1320.8(d)(1), 1320.10(e)(1), 1320.12(a). Specifically, FRA invites interested respondents to comment on the following summary of proposed information collection activities regarding (i) whether the information collection activities are necessary for FRA to properly execute its functions, including whether the activities will have practical utility; (ii) the accuracy of FRA's estimates of the burden of the information collection activities, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used to determine the estimates; (iii) ways for FRA to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information being collected; and (iv) ways for FRA to minimize the burden of information collection activities on the public by automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology (e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses). See 44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)(i)–(iv); 5 CFR 1320.8(d)(1)(i)-(iv). FRA believes that

soliciting public comment will promote its efforts to reduce the administrative and paperwork burdens associated with the collection of information mandated by Federal regulations. In summary, FRA reasons that comments received will advance three objectives: (i) Reduce reporting burdens; (ii) ensure that it organizes information collection requirements in a "user friendly" format to improve the use of such information; and (iii) accurately assess the resources expended to retrieve and produce information requested. See 44 U.S.C. 3501.

Below is a brief summary of currently approved information collection activities that FRA will submit for clearance by OMB as required under the PRA:

Title: Roadway Worker Protection. OMB Control Number: 2130–0539.

Abstract: This rule establishes regulations governing the protection of railroad employees working on or near railroad tracks. The regulation requires that each railroad devise and adopt a program of on-track safety to provide employees working along the railroad with protection from the hazards of being struck by a train or other on-track equipment. Elements of this on-track safety program include an on-track safety manual; a clear delineation of employers' responsibilities, as well as employees' rights and responsibilities thereto; well-defined procedures for communication and protection; and annual on-track safety training. The program adopted by each railroad is subject to review and approval by FRA. Part 214 regulations have been deemed different enough from the part 213 regulations as to require a separate and distinct reporting form (new Form FRA F 6180.119). Regardless of discipline, the FRA inspector will complete the new Roadway Workplace Safety Violation Report Form (FRA F 6180.119) when recommending civil penalties for part 214 infractions.

Form Number(s): FRA F 6180.119.
Affected Public: Businesses/
Individuals.

Respondent Universe: 718 Railroads; 50,000 Roadway Workers.

Frequency of Submission: On occasion.

Reporting Burden:

CFR Section	Respondent universe	Total annual responses	Average time per response	Total annual burden hours
Form FRA F 6180.119—Part 214 Railroad Workplace Safety Violation Report.	350 Safety Inspectors	150 forms	4 hours	600
214.303—Railroad On-Track Safety Programs: —Amendments to Programs	60 Railroads	20 amend. + 584 amend	20 hours; 4 hrs	2,736