Operations, Office 2, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–3874.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## Background

On April 10, 2012, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the **Federal Register** a notice of "Opportunity to Request Administrative Review" of the antidumping duty order on solid fertilizer grade ammonium nitrate (ammonium nitrate) from the Russian Federation (Russia) for the period of review (POR) of May 2, 2011, through March 31, 2012.1 The Department received timely-filed requests from ISC Acron (Acron) and MCC EuroChem (EuroChem) (the respondents) in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b), for an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on ammonium nitrate from Russia. On May 29, 2012, the Department published a notice of initiation of an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on ammonium nitrate from Russia for Acron and EuroChem.<sup>2</sup> On the same date, we: (1) Issued the antidumping questionnaire to these companies; and (2) requested information from U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) on imports of subject merchandise from these respondents during the POR, in accordance with our practice. In June 2012, we received the requested CBP information, which showed that neither Acron nor EuroChem had entries of subject merchandise during the POR. On June 5, 2012, we placed a memorandum on the administrative record of this case stating that our review of the CBP database showed no POR entries of subject merchandise by the respondents.3 We released the results of our CBP data query to the respondents and CF Industries, Inc. and El Dorado Chemical Company (collectively, the petitioners). We received no comments on the CBP data.

On June 20, 2012, Acron submitted its response to section A of the Department's antidumping questionnaire, which indicated that Acron had a shipment of subject merchandise to the United States in March 2012; however, the entry documentation submitted with this response showed that the entry associated with this shipment was not made until after the end of the POR. See Acron's June 20, 2012, response at Exhibits 1 and 19.

On June 22, 2012, EuroChem submitted a partial response to section A of the Department's antidumping duty questionnaire, which stated that EuroChem also had a shipment of subject merchandise to the United States in March 2012. Unlike Acron, however, EuroChem provided a CBP 7501 form indicating that the entry associated with this shipment occurred on March 26, 2012. See EuroChem's June 22, 2012, submission at Exhibit 2. Because this information was not consistent with the underlying CBP data, on June 25, 2012, we queried the CBP database as to the status of the particular entry in question. According to the CBP database, although EuroChem submitted its entry documentation on March 26, 2012, the entry was not accepted by CBP as entered until after the end of the POR.

Because neither respondent had an entry of subject merchandise into the United States during the POR, on June 28, 2012, the Department placed a memorandum on the record notifying interested parties of its intent to rescind this administrative review.<sup>4</sup> We invited parties to comment on our Intent to Rescind Memo. On July 9, 2012, we received comments from the respondents, and on July 16, 2012, we received rebuttal comments from the petitioners.

On August 24, 2012, we placed a memorandum on the record regarding EuroChem's entry date, to which EuroChem responded on September 4, 2012.

## Rescission of Review

It is the Department's practice to rescind an administrative review pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3) when there are no reviewable entries of subject merchandise during the POR subject to the antidumping duty order and for which liquidation is suspended.<sup>5</sup> At the end of the

administrative review, the suspended entries are liquidated at the assessment rate computed for the review period. See 19 CFR 351.212(b)(l). Therefore, for an administrative review to be conducted, there must be a reviewable, suspended entry to be liquidated at the newly calculated assessment rate. As discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum (Decision Memo) accompanying this notice, we find that neither respondent made entries of subject merchandise during the POR. Therefore, we are rescinding this review of the antidumping duty order on ammonium nitrate from Russia pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3).

# Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in by parties to this administrative review in their comments are addressed in the Decision Memo, which is adopted by this notice. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum, which is on file electronically via IA ACCESS in the Central Records Unit, room 7046, of the main Department of Commerce building.

In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memo can be accessed directly on the Web at <a href="http://ia.ita.doc.gov/frn/">http://ia.ita.doc.gov/frn/</a>. The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memo are identical in content.

This notice is published in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: October 22, 2012.

#### Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 2012–26531 Filed 10–26–12; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

# **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

Proposed Information Collection; Comment Request; National Estuarine Research Reserve System Science Collaborative Evaluation

**AGENCY:** National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice.

From Turkey; Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 67 FR 21634, 21635 (May 1, 2002), unchanged in Certain Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bars From Turkey; Final Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 67 FR 66110 (Oct. 30, 2002).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Ammonium Nitrate from Russia: Correction to Notice of Opportunity to Request Administrative Review, 77 FR 21527 (Apr. 10, 2012).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocation in Part, 77 FR 31586, 31570 (May 29, 2012).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See the June 5, 2012, memorandum from Elizabeth Eastwood, Senior Analyst, to the file, entitled, "2011–2012 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Solid Fertilizer Grade Ammonium Nitrate (Ammonium Nitrate) from the Russian Federation (Russia): Data Query Request."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See the June 28, 2012, memorandum from Holly Phelps, Analyst, to James Maeder, Director, Office 2, entitled, "Intent to Rescind Administrative Review: 2011–2012 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Solid Fertilizer Grade Ammonium Nitrate from the Russian Federation" (Intent to Rescind Memo).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See, e.g., Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp From Brazil: Notice of Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 77 FR 32498 (June 1, 2012); and Certain Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bars

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed information collection, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted on or before December 31, 2012 December 28, 2012.

ADDRESSES: Direct all written comments to Sarah Brabson, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, Department of Commerce, Room 6616, 14th and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230 (or via the Internet at *IJessup@doc.gov*).

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument and instructions should be directed to Dwight Trueblood, (603) 862–3580 or

Dwight.Trueblood@noaa.gov.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### I. Abstract

The National Estuarine Research Reserve System (NERRS) Science Collaborative was created in 2009 to put Reserve-based science to work for coastal communities coping with the impacts of land use change, pollution, and habitat degradation in the context of a changing climate. The program operates on the belief that for science to be applied to solve coastal management problems, the people who need to use the science must be involved in its generation.

The projects funded by the NERRS Science Collaborative are designed to bring the intended users of the science into the research process so that their perspectives can inform problem definition, research design and implementation, and ultimately, application of the project results. This is what is meant by "collaboration," and it is the program's goal to use this process to ensure that the good science happening in and around the Reserves gets put to good use.

To help evaluate the efficacy of the NERRS Science Collaborative, NOAA is conducting a survey of the NERRS staff located in the 28 Reserves around the country to solicit their perspective about the program and how it has been implemented.

# II. Method of Collection

Respondents will be surveyed electronically and the submission of results will be online. If requested, a paper copy of the survey will be provided to the survey respondents.

### III. Data

*OMB Control Number:* None. *Form Number:* None.

Type of Review: Regular submission (request for a new information collection).

Affected Public: Non-profit institutions; State, local, or tribal government.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 140.

Estimated Time per Response: 20 minutes.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 47.

Estimated Total Annual Cost to Public: \$0 in recordkeeping/reporting costs.

#### **IV. Request for Comments**

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public

Dated: October 23, 2012.

#### Gwellnar Banks,

Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2012–26465 Filed 10–26–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-08-P

## **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

## National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

[0648-XC242]

Magnuson-Stevens Act Provisions; General Provisions for Domestic Fisheries; Application for Exempted Fishing Permit

**AGENCY:** National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce. **ACTION:** Notice; request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** The Assistant Regional Administrator for Sustainable Fisheries, Northeast Region, NMFS, has made a preliminary determination that the subject exempted fishing permit (EFP) application contains all the required information and warrants further consideration. The subject EFP would allow a commercial fishing vessel to conduct fishing operations that are otherwise restricted by the regulations governing the fisheries of the Northeastern United States. Regulations under the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act require publication of this notification to provide interested parties the opportunity to comment on applications for proposed EFPs.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before November 13, 2012.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit written comments by any of the following methods:

- Email: nero.efp@noaa.gov. Include in the subject line "Comments on REDNET EFP."
- Mail: John K. Bullard, Regional Administrator, NMFS, NE Regional Office, 55 Great Republic Drive, Gloucester, MA 01930. Mark the outside of the envelope "Comments on REDNET EFP."
  - Fax: (978) 281-9135.

# **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Brett Alger, Fisheries Management

Brett Alger, Fisheries Management Specialist, 978–675–2153, Brett.Alger@noaa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The School for Marine Science and Technology, University of Massachusetts, Dartmouth (SMAST), submitted a complete EFP application on September 5, 2012, to conduct a redfish trawl codend selectivity experiment. This is the third of six components for "REDNET: A Network to Redevelop a Sustainable Redfish (Sebastes fasciatus) Trawl Fishery in the Gulf of Maine", which is funded by the Northeast Fisheries Science Center's (NEFSC) Cooperative Research Program. The overall objective of REDNET is to develop gear type(s), seasons, and/or area combinations to efficiently harvest the redfish resource in the Gulf of Maine (GOM) to maximize the long-term benefits while minimizing negative impacts, thereby providing a means to achieve the annual catch limit (ACL) for a rebuilt, but largely inaccessible, redfish resource. The REDNET project includes the following components: (1) Network development; (2) baseline catch and bycatch evaluation; (3) codend selectivity; (4) conservation