

**TEXAS****Harris County**

Hollyfield Laundry and Cleaners, 1731  
Westheimer Rd., Houston, SG100006770

Nomination submitted by Federal  
Preservation Officer:

The State Historic Preservation  
Officer reviewed the following  
nomination and responded to the  
Federal Preservation Officer within 45  
days of receipt of the nomination and  
supports listing the property in the  
National Register of Historic Places.

**MISSOURI****Jackson County**

Federal Office Building, 601 East 12th St.,  
Kansas City, SG100006773

**Authority:** Section 60.13 of 36 CFR part  
60.

Dated: June 23, 2021.

**Sherry A. Frear,**

*Chief, National Register of Historic Places/  
National Historic Landmarks Program.*

[FR Doc. 2021-13825 Filed 6-28-21; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4312-52-P**

**INTERNATIONAL TRADE  
COMMISSION**

[Investigation No. 337-TA-1268]

**Certain Capacitive Touch Sensing  
Systems, Capacitive Touch Sensing  
Controllers, Microcontrollers With  
Capacitive Touch Sensing  
Functionality, and Components  
Thereof; Institution of Investigation**

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade  
Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that a  
complaint was filed with the U.S.  
International Trade Commission on May  
24, 2021, under section 337 of the Tariff  
Act of 1930, as amended, on behalf of  
Neodron Ltd. of Ireland. The complaint  
alleges violations of section 337 based  
upon the importation into the United  
States, the sale for importation, and/or  
the sale within the United States after  
importation of certain capacitive touch  
sensing systems, capacitive touch  
sensing controllers, and  
microcontrollers with capacitive touch  
sensing functionality, and components  
thereof by reason of infringement of  
certain claims of U.S. Patent No.  
8,432,173 (“the ‘173 patent”); U.S.  
Patent No. 8,749,251 (“the ‘251 patent”);  
U.S. Patent No. 9,372,580 (“the ‘580  
patent”); and U.S. Patent No. 9,024,790  
(“the ‘790 patent”). The complaint  
further alleges that an industry in the  
United States exists as required by the

applicable Federal Statute. The  
complainant requests that the  
Commission institute an investigation  
and, after the investigation, issue a  
limited exclusion order and cease and  
desist orders.

**ADDRESSES:** The complaint, except for  
any confidential information contained  
therein, may be viewed on the  
Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS)  
at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help  
accessing EDIS, please email  
[EDIS3Help@usitc.gov](mailto:EDIS3Help@usitc.gov). Hearing impaired  
individuals are advised that information  
on this matter can be obtained by  
contacting the Commission’s TDD  
terminal on (202) 205-1810. Persons  
with mobility impairments who will  
need special assistance in gaining access  
to the Commission should contact the  
Office of the Secretary at (202) 205-  
2000. General information concerning  
the Commission may also be obtained  
by accessing its internet server at  
<https://www.usitc.gov>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**  
Pathenia M. Proctor, The Office of  
Unfair Import Investigations, U.S.  
International Trade Commission,  
telephone (202) 205-2560.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Authority:** The authority for  
institution of this investigation is  
contained in section 337 of the Tariff  
Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C.  
1337, and in section 210.10 of the  
Commission’s Rules of Practice and  
Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2020).

**Scope of Investigation:** Having  
considered the complaint, the U.S.  
International Trade Commission, on  
June 23, 2021, *Ordered that*—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of  
section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as  
amended, an investigation be instituted  
to determine whether there is a  
violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of  
section 337 in the importation into the  
United States, the sale for importation,  
or the sale within the United States after  
importation of certain products  
identified in paragraph (2) by reason of  
infringement of one or more of claims  
1–19 of the ‘173 patent; claims 1–20 of  
the ‘251 patent; claims 1, 2, 4–6, 8–10,  
and 12 of the ‘580 patent; and claims 1,  
4–8, 10–14, and 16–24 of the ‘790  
patent, and whether an industry in the  
United States exists as required by  
subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) Pursuant to section 210.10(b)(1) of  
the Commission’s Rules of Practice and  
Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10(b)(1), the  
plain language description of the  
accused products or category of accused  
products, which defines the scope of the  
investigation, is “capacitive touch  
sensing systems, capacitive touch

sensing controllers, microcontrollers  
with capacitive touch sensing  
functionality and components thereof”;

(3) Pursuant to Commission Rule  
210.50(b)(1), 19 CFR 210.50(b)(1), the  
presiding administrative law judge shall  
take evidence or other information and  
hear arguments from the parties or other  
interested persons with respect to the  
public interest in this investigation, as  
appropriate, and provide the  
Commission with findings of fact and a  
recommended determination on this  
issue, which shall be limited to the  
statutory public interest factors set forth  
in 19 U.S.C. 1337(d)(1), (f)(1), (g)(1);

(4) For the purpose of the  
investigation so instituted, the following  
are hereby named as parties upon which  
this notice of investigation shall be  
served:

(a) The complainant is: Neodron Ltd.,  
Unit 4–5, Burton Hall Road, Sandyford,  
Dublin 18, D18A094, Ireland.

(b) The respondents are the following  
entities alleged to be in violation of  
section 337, and are the parties upon  
which the complaint is to be served:

STMicroelectronics N.V., 39 Chemin du  
Champ-des-Filles, Plan-Les-Ouates,  
Geneva, CH 1228, Switzerland

STMicroelectronics, Inc., 39 Chemin du  
Champ-des-Filles, Plan-Les-Ouates,  
Geneva, CH 1228, Switzerland

STMicroelectronics (North America),  
Holding, Inc., 39 Chemin du Champ-  
des-Filles, Plan-Les-Ouates, Geneva,  
CH 1228, Switzerland

Cypress Semiconductor Corp., 198  
Champion Court, San Jose, California  
95134

Renesas Electronics Corp., Toyosu  
Foresia, 3–2–24 Toyosu, Koto-ku,  
Tokyo 135-0061, Japan

Renesas Electronics America Inc., 1001  
Murphy Ranch Road, Milpitas, CA  
95035

Renesas Technology America, Inc., 1001  
Murphy Ranch Road, Milpitas, CA  
95035

(c) The Office of Unfair Import  
Investigations, U.S. International Trade  
Commission, 500 E Street SW, Suite  
401, Washington, DC 20436; and

(5) For the investigation so instituted,  
the Chief Administrative Law Judge,  
U.S. International Trade Commission,  
shall designate the presiding  
Administrative Law Judge.

Responses to the complaint and the  
notice of investigation must be  
submitted by the named respondents in  
accordance with section 210.13 of the  
Commission’s Rules of Practice and  
Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to  
19 CFR 201.16(e) and 210.13(a), as  
amended in 85 FR 15798 (March 19,  
2020), such responses will be

considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: June 23, 2021.

**Lisa Barton,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2021-13789 Filed 6-28-21; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-1175]

### Certain Bone Cements and Bone Cement Accessories; Notice of Commission Determination Finding No Violation of Section 337; Termination of the Investigation

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission ("Commission") has determined to affirm a final initial determination ("FID") issued by the presiding administrative law judge ("ALJ") finding that no violation of section 337 has occurred. The investigation is terminated.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Lynde Herzbach, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-3228. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email [EDIS3Help@usitc.gov](mailto:EDIS3Help@usitc.gov). General

information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal, telephone (202) 205-1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On September 23, 2019, the Commission instituted this investigation based on a complaint filed on behalf of Zimmer, Inc. and Zimmer US, Inc. both of Warsaw, Indiana (collectively, "Complainants"). 84 FR 49764 (Sept. 23, 2019). The complaint alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337 ("section 337"), based on the importation or the sale within the United States of certain bone cements and bone cement accessories by reason of the misappropriation of trade secrets, false advertising, and tortious interference, the threat or effect of which is to destroy or substantially injure an industry in the United States. The complaint also alleges the existence of a domestic industry. The Commission's notice of investigation names the following as respondents: Heraeus Medical GmbH of Wehrheim, Germany and Heraeus Medical LLC of Yardley, Pennsylvania (collectively, "Respondents"). *Id.* The Office of Unfair Import Investigations ("OUII") is named as a party in this investigation. *Id.*

On February 11, 2021, the ALJ issued the FID finding no violation of section 337. More particularly, the FID finds, *inter alia*, that: (1) The Commission has subject matter and personal jurisdiction; (2) Respondents imported or sold within the United States accused bone cements and bone cement accessories; (3) a domestic industry exists under section 337(a)(1)(A)(i) (19 U.S.C. 1337(a)(1)(A)(i)); (4) Complainants own the asserted trade secrets; (5) trade secrets ("TS") 10, 15, and 28 are protectable, but TS 11 is not protectable; (6) Respondents did not misappropriate any asserted TS; (7) Respondents did not engage in false advertising; (8) Respondents did not tortiously interfere with Complainants' contracts or prospective business relationships; and (9) Complainants failed to show a substantial injury or threat of injury to their domestic industry.

On February 23, 2021, Complainants filed a petition for review seeking review of most of the FID's findings. On March 3, 2021, Respondents and OUII filed responses to Complainants' petition.

On March 15, 2021, Respondents filed a submission on the public interest

pursuant to Commission Rule 210.50(a)(4) (19 CFR 210.50(a)(4)). Complainants and OUII did not file a statement on the public interest. The Commission received no submissions in response to its **Federal Register** notice calling for public interest comments. *See* 86 FR 12029-30 (Mar. 1, 2021).

On April 12, 2021, the Commission determined to review the FID in part and requested briefing from the parties on certain issues under review. 86 FR 20200-02 (Apr. 16, 2021). Specifically, the Commission determined to review the FID's findings and conclusions as to: (1) The alleged misappropriation of TS 10, 15, and 28, including the finding that Respondents independently developed their own data compilation; (2) Respondents' alleged tortious interference with Complainants' prospective business advantages; and (3) domestic industry and injury. *Id.* The Commission also sought briefing from the parties, interested government agencies, and any other interested parties on remedy, bonding, and the public interest.

Having examined the record of this investigation, including the FID, Complainants' petition for review, the responses thereto, and the written submissions in response to the Commission's request for briefing, the Commission finds that no violation of section 337 has occurred. Specifically, the Commission affirms, with modified reasoning, the FID's findings that Respondents did not misappropriate any trade secret, did not engage in false advertising, and did not tortiously interfere with Complainants' prospective business relationships. The Commission has also determined to take no position regarding the FID's domestic industry and injury findings. The investigation is hereby terminated.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on June 23, 2021.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: June 23, 2021.

**Lisa Barton,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2021-13791 Filed 6-28-21; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**