

patent"). The complaint in the underlying investigation named as respondents SiRF Technology, Inc. ("SiRF"), E-TEN Corp. ("E-TEN"), Pharos Science & Applications, Inc. ("Pharos"), MiTAC International Corporation ("MiTAC"), and Mio Technology Limited ("Mio") (collectively, "Respondents").

On January 15, 2009, the Commission found a violation of section 337 by Respondents by reason of infringement of all six asserted patents. The Commission issued a limited exclusion and cease-and-desist orders against SiRF, Pharos, and Mio. The remedial orders are directed to GPS devices and products containing the same that infringe or are covered by certain claims of the '346, '651, '000, '080, '187, and/or '801 patents. Respondents subsequently appealed the Commission's final determination to the United States Court of Appeals for Federal Circuit ("Federal Circuit"). In a precedential opinion issued April 12, 2010, the Federal Circuit affirmed the Commission's Final Determination in all respects.

On August 16, 2010, the Commission instituted modification proceedings under 19 CFR 210.76 based on a petition for modification filed by Respondents. At the same time, the Commission denied a petition for modification filed by Broadcom. The modification proceedings are currently ongoing.

On October 7, 2010, Broadcom filed a complaint seeking institution of a formal enforcement proceeding to enforce the limited exclusion order and cease-and-desist orders against Respondents under Commission rule 210.75(b), 19 CFR 210.75(b). The enforcement complaint named SiRF, MiTAC, Mio, Pharos, E-TEN, MiTAC Digital Corporation ("MiTAC Digital"), and CSR plc ("CSR") as proposed enforcement respondents. Shortly after the enforcement complaint was filed, Broadcom withdrew its allegations with respect to E-TEN.

On October 22, 2010, the proposed enforcement respondents filed a motion with the Commission requesting sanctions against Broadcom. The motion alleges, among other things, that Broadcom's enforcement complaint does not comply with Commission rule 210.4(c), 19 CFR 210.4(c), regarding representations made to the Commission. On November 3, 2010, Broadcom opposed the motion. On November 9, 2010, the proposed enforcement respondents filed a motion for leave to reply in support of their motion for sanctions. The Commission has denied the motion for sanctions and the motion for leave.

Having examined the complaint seeking a formal enforcement proceeding, and having found that the complaint complies with the requirements for institution of a formal enforcement proceeding contained in Commission rule 210.75, 19 CFR 210.75, the Commission has determined to institute a formal enforcement proceeding to determine whether the respondents are in violation of the Commission's limited exclusion order and cease-and-desist orders issued in the investigation, and what, if any, enforcement measures are appropriate.

The following entities are named as parties to the formal enforcement proceeding: (1) Complainant Broadcom, (2) respondents SiRF, MiTAC, MiTAC Digital, Mio, Pharos, and CSR; and (3) a Commission investigative attorney to be designated by the Director, Office of Unfair Import Investigations.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in section 210.75 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.75).

By order of the Commission.
Issued: December 1, 2010.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2010-30617 Filed 12-6-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 731-TA-376 and 563-564 (Third Review)]

Stainless Steel Butt-Weld Pipe Fittings From Japan, Korea, and Taiwan

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Termination of five-year reviews.

SUMMARY: The subject five-year reviews were initiated in September 2010 to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty orders on stainless steel butt-weld pipe fittings from Japan, Korea, and Taiwan would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury. On November 5, 2010, the Department of Commerce published notice that it was revoking the orders effective October 20, 2010, "because no interested domestic party responded to the sunset review notice of initiation by the applicable deadline * * *" (75 FR 68324). Accordingly, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)), the subject reviews are terminated.

DATES: *Effective Date:* October 20, 2010.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mary Messer (202-205-3193), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server <http://www.usitc.gov>.

Authority: These reviews are being terminated under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.69 of the Commission's rules (19 CFR 207.69).

By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

Issued: December 1, 2010.

[FR Doc. 2010-30611 Filed 12-6-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

Pursuant to Department of Justice policy, notice is hereby given that on December 1, 2010 a proposed Consent Decree with Brown County and the City of Green Bay was lodged with the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Wisconsin in a case captioned *United States and the State of Wisconsin v. NCR Corp., et al.*, Case No. 10-C-910 (E.D. Wis.). The Complaint in that case alleges claims under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. 9601-75, against Brown County, the City of Green Bay, and twelve other defendants concerning polychlorinated biphenyl contamination at the Lower Fox River and Green Bay Superfund Site in northeastern Wisconsin (the "Site").

If approved by the Court after a public comment period, the proposed Consent Decree would resolve Brown County's and the City of Green Bay's potential liability for response costs, response actions, and natural resource damages associated with the Site, on the terms and conditions set forth in the Decree. The proposed Consent Decree also