

Consumer Focus Groups—(OMB Control Number 3041–0136–Extension).

The Commission is authorized, under section 5(a) of the Consumer Product Safety Act (“CPSA”), 15 U.S.C. 2054(a), to collect information, conduct research, and perform studies and investigations relating to the causes and prevention of deaths, accidents, injuries, illnesses, other health impairments, and economic losses associated with consumer products. Section 5(b) of the CPSA, 15 U.S.C. 2054(b), further provides that the Commission may conduct research, studies and investigations on the safety of consumer products or test consumer products and develop product safety test methods and testing devices.

To better identify and evaluate the risks of product-related incidents, the Commission staff invites and obtains direct feedback from consumers on issues related to product safety such as recall effectiveness, product use, and perceptions regarding safety issues. Through participation in certain focus groups, consumers answer questions and provide information regarding their actual experiences, opinions and/or perceptions on the use or pattern of use of a specific product or type of product, including recalled products. The information collected from the Consumer Focus Groups will help inform the Commission’s evaluation of consumer products and product use by providing insight and information into consumer perceptions and usage patterns. Such information also may assist the Commission’s efforts to support voluntary standards activities and help identify areas regarding consumer safety issues that need additional research. In addition, the information will assist with forming new ways of providing user friendly data to consumers through CPSC’s Web site and information and education campaigns.

If this information is not collected, the Commission may not have available certain useful information regarding consumer experiences, opinions, and perceptions related to specific product use in its ongoing efforts to improve the safety of consumer products and safety information on behalf of consumers. Currently, the Commission staff relies on its expert judgment about consumer behavior, perceptions, and similar information related to consumer products and product use. Not conducting the information collection activity, therefore, could reduce the quality of assessments currently completed by Commission staff. The information collection activity would likely provide the Commission staff with information that would focus the

staff’s assessments, or could provide insight into consumer perceptions and usage patterns that could not be anticipated by Commission staff.

In the **Federal Register** of June 7, 2010 (75 FR 32161), the CPSC published a 60-day notice requesting public comment on the proposed collection of information. No comments were received.

We estimate the burden of this collection of information as follows. We anticipate that, over the three year period of this request, we will conduct 40 focus groups and 20 one-on-one interviews for a variety of projects. The total hours of burden to the respondents are: (4 hours per person × 400 participants) + (30 minutes per person × 20 participants) = 1,610 hours (537 hours budgeted per year for three years). The total annual cost is: 1,610 × \$29.40 (U.S. Department of Labor, Employer costs for Employee Compensation, September 2009) = \$47,334 (\$15,778 budgeted per year for three years).

The estimated annual cost of the information collection requirements to the Federal government is approximately \$140,000 per year for three years. Salary and benefits costs for government personnel assigned to this study are estimated at \$127,573 based on 9 months of staff time at an average level of GS–14 step 5 (((\$119,238 ÷ .701) ÷ 12 months) × 21 months), using a 70.1 percent ratio of wages and salary to total compensation from Table 1 of the December 2009 Employer Costs for Employee Compensation, published by the Bureau of Labor Statistics. This sum also includes travel costs expended for meeting with contractors (\$40,000, estimated at \$1,000 per focus group), and contracts for conducting focus groups and/or one-on-one interviews (\$250,000, estimated at \$5,000 per focus group and \$2,500 per one-on-one interview).

Dated: September 14, 2010.

**Todd A. Stevenson,**  
*Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2010–23280 Filed 9–16–10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6355–01–P**

## **DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD**

### **Senior Executive Service Performance Review Board**

**AGENCY:** Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** This notice announces the membership of the Defense Nuclear

Facilities Safety Board (DNFSB) Senior Executive Service (SES) Performance Review Board (PRB).

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* September 17, 2010.

**ADDRESS:** Send comments concerning this notice to: Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board, 625 Indiana Avenue, NW., Suite 700, Washington, DC 20004–2001.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Deborah Bisciegia by telephone at (202) 694–7041 or by e-mail at [debbieb@dnfsb.gov](mailto:debbieb@dnfsb.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** 5 U.S.C. 4314 (c)(1) through (5) requires each agency to establish, in accordance with regulations prescribed by the Office of Personnel Management, one or more performance review boards. The PRB shall review and evaluate the initial summary rating of the senior executive’s performance, the executive’s response, and the higher level official’s comments on the initial summary rating. In addition, the PRB will review and recommend executive performance bonuses and pay increases.

The DNFSB is a small, independent Federal agency; therefore, the members of the DNFSB SES Performance Review Board listed in this notice are drawn from the SES ranks of other agencies. The following persons comprise a standing roster to serve as members of the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board SES Performance Review Board: Christopher E. Aiello, Director of Human Resources, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation  
David M. Capozzi, Director of Technical and Information Services, United States Access Board  
DeDe Greene, Executive Officer, Civil Rights Division, Department of Justice  
Christopher W. Warner, General Counsel, U.S. Chemical Safety and Hazard Investigation Board

Dated: September 10, 2010.

**Brian Grosner,**  
*Chairman, Executive Resources Board.*

[FR Doc. 2010–23180 Filed 9–16–10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3670–01–P**

## **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

### **Department of the Navy**

#### **Meeting of the Independent Panel To Review the Judge Advocate Requirements of the Department of the Navy**

**AGENCY:** Department of the Navy, DoD.

**ACTION:** Notice of Open Meetings.

**SUMMARY:** The Independent Panel to Review the Judge Advocate