

comments did not result in the addition of substantive revisions to the Draft EIS and RMP Amendment that was published in August 2019. Responses to all comments are in Appendix H of the Final EIS.

On April 10, 2020, an NOA of the Final EIS and Proposed RMP Amendment for the Project published in the **Federal Register** (84 FR 71455), which initiated a 30-day public protest period and a 60-day Governor's consistency review. The BLM received six (6) protest letters; the BLM considered each protest letter in its decision. The Protest Resolution Report was completed on July 21, 2020 and is available for public inspection at the addresses listed above. On May 14, 2020, the BLM received a written response from the Governor's office with no inconsistencies identified. After environmental analysis, consideration of public comments, and application of pertinent Federal laws, it is the decision of the Department of the Interior to authorize the Project in Catron County, New Mexico, and amend the 2010 Socorro Field Office RMP by selecting Alternative 2A, which was a modification of the agency's Preferred Alternative. Approval of these decisions constitutes the final decision of the Department of the Interior and, in accordance with the regulations at 43 CFR 4.410(a)(3), is not subject to appeal under Departmental regulations at 43 CFR part 4. Any challenge to these decisions, including the BLM Authorized Officer's issuance of the right-of-way as approved by this decision, must be brought to the Federal district court.

Authority: 40 CFR 1506.6, 40 CFR 1506.10.

Timothy R. Spisak,

New Mexico State Director.

[FR Doc. 2020-17431 Filed 8-13-20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[20X.LLAK930100 L510100000.ER0000]

Notice of Availability of the Willow Master Development Plan Final Environmental Impact Statement, Alaska

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended, the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) has prepared a

Final Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the Willow Master Development Plan, and by this notice is announcing its publication.

DATES: The BLM will issue a Record of Decision for the project no earlier than 30 days from the date of the Final EIS Notice of Availability published by the Environmental Protection Agency.

ADDRESSES: To access the Final EIS or to request an electronic or paper copy, please reach out to:

- **Website:** <http://www.blm.gov/alaska/WillowEIS>.
- **Email:** rajones@blm.gov.
- **Mail:** Willow FEIS Comments, BLM Alaska State Office, 222 W 7th Ave. #13, Anchorage AK 99513.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Racheal Jones, Willow EIS Project Manager, telephone: 907-290-0307; address: 222 West 7th Avenue, #13, Anchorage, Alaska 99513. Persons who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Relay Service (FRS) at 1-800-877-8339 to contact the above individual during normal business hours. The FRS is available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, to leave a message or question with the above individual. You will receive a reply during normal business hours.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Willow Master Development Plan Final EIS analyzes an oil and gas development project proposed by ConocoPhillips Alaska, Inc. on federal oil and gas leases it holds in the northeast region of the National Petroleum Reserve in Alaska (NPR-A), as well as alternatives to the proposed project and measures to avoid and mitigate impacts to surface resources and other uses including subsistence use. The BLM has identified Alternative B and Module Delivery Option 3 as its preferred alternative. If the Willow Master Development Plan is approved, ConocoPhillips Alaska, Inc. may submit applications to build up to five drill sites, a central processing facility, an operations center pad, gravel roads, ice roads and ice pads, 1 or 2 airstrips (varies by alternative), a freshwater reservoir, an ice bridge across the Colville River to transfer facility modules into the NPR-A, pipelines, and a gravel mine site. The project would have a peak production in excess of 160,000 barrels of oil per day (with a processing capacity of 200,000 barrels of oil per day) over its approximately 30-year life, producing up to approximately 590 million total barrels of oil. The project would help offset declines in production from the North Slope oil fields and contribute to the local, state, and national economies.

Authority: 40 CFR 1506.6(b).

Chad B. Padgett,

State Director, Alaska.

[FR Doc. 2020-17722 Filed 8-13-20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-JA-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

[NPS-WASO-NRNL-DTS#-30714; PPWOCRADIO, PCU00RP14.R50000]

National Register of Historic Places; Notification of Pending Nominations and Related Actions

AGENCY: National Park Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The National Park Service is soliciting electronic comments on the significance of properties nominated before August 1, 2020, for listing or related actions in the National Register of Historic Places.

DATES: Comments should be submitted electronically by August 31, 2020.

ADDRESSES: Comments are encouraged to be submitted electronically to *National_Register_Submissions@nps.gov* with the subject line "Public Comment on <property or proposed district name, (County) State>." If you have no access to email you may send them via U.S. Postal Service and all other carriers to the National Register of Historic Places, National Park Service, 1849 C Street NW, MS 7228, Washington, DC 20240.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The properties listed in this notice are being considered for listing or related actions in the National Register of Historic Places. Nominations for their consideration were received by the National Park Service before August 1, 2020. Pursuant to Section 60.13 of 36 CFR part 60, comments are being accepted concerning the significance of the nominated properties under the National Register criteria for evaluation.

Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Nominations submitted by State or Tribal Historic Preservation Officers:

ARIZONA**Yavapai County**

Cottonwood Commercial Historic District
(Boundary Increase), North Main St. and
East Pima St., Cottonwood, BC100005549

FLORIDA**Columbia County**

McKeithen Archaeological Site, Address
Restricted, Wellborn vicinity,
SG100005551

IOWA**Scott County**

Davenport Downtown Commercial Historic
District, 2nd St. to 5th St., Perry St. to
Western Ave., Davenport, SG100005546

OHIO**Athens County**

Stedman-Shafer Grocery Warehouse
Building, 21 North Shafer St., Athens,
SG100005540

UTAH**Millard County**

Scipio Cooperative Mercantile Institution
Building, 130 North State St., Scipio,
SG100005544

Salt Lake County

Taylor, Thomas & Margaret, House (Murray
City, Utah MPS), 604 East Taylor Ln.,
Murray, MP100005545

A request for removal has been made for
the following resources:

ARIZONA**Maricopa County**

Steinegger Lodging House (Phoenix
Commercial MRA), 27 East Monroe St.,
Phoenix, OT86001369

UTAH**Cache County**

Holley-Globe Grain and Milling Company
Elevator, 100 North and Center St., Hyrum,
OT85003386

Additional documentation has been
received for the following resources:

ARIZONA**Yavapai County**

Cottonwood Commercial Historic District
(Additional Documentation), Approx. from
712 to 1124 North Main St., Cottonwood,
AD00000497

UTAH**Davis County**

Clark Lane Historic District (Additional
Documentation), 207–399 West State and
33 North 200 West, Farmington,
AD94001208

WISCONSIN**Ozaukee County**

Tennie and Laura (Shipwreck) (Additional
Documentation) (Great Lakes Shipwreck
Sites of Wisconsin MPS), 9 mi. SE, of Port

Washington, Port Washington vicinity,
AD08000288

(Authority: Section 60.13 of 36 CFR part
60)

Dated: August 4, 2020.

Sherry A. Frear,

*Chief, National Register of Historic Places/
National Historic Landmarks Program.*

[FR Doc. 2020–17796 Filed 8–13–20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4312–52–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–1169]

Certain Fish-Handling Pliers and Packaging Thereof; Notice of a Commission Final Determination of Violation of Section 337; Issuance of a General Exclusion Order; Termination of Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade
Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined that there is a violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, in the above-captioned investigation. The Commission has issued a general exclusion order (“GEO”) barring entry of certain fish-handling pliers and packaging thereof that infringe the two trademarks asserted in this investigation. The investigation is terminated.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Robert Needham, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708–5468. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on July 29, 2019, based on a complaint filed by complainant United Plastic Molders, Inc. of Jackson, Mississippi (“UPM”). 84 FR 36620–21 (July 29, 2019). The complaint, as supplemented,

alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain fish-handling pliers and packaging thereof by reason of infringement of claims 1–11 of U.S. Patent No. 6,256,923 (“the ‘923 patent”) and U.S. Trademark Registration Nos. 4,980,923 (“the ‘923 mark”) and 5,435,944 (“the ‘944 mark”). *Id.* The complaint further alleges that a domestic industry exists. *Id.* The Commission’s notice of investigation named as respondents Yixing Five Union Industry & Trade Co., Ltd. of Yixing City, China (“Five Union”); NOEBY Fishing Tackle Co., Ltd. of Weihai, China (“NOEBY”); Weihai iLure Fishing Tackle Co., Ltd. of Weihai, China (“iLure”); SamsFX of Yangzhou City, China (“SamsFX”); and Weihai Lotus Outdoor Co., Ltd. of Weihai, China (“Lotus”) (collectively, “Respondents”). *Id.* The Office of Unfair Import Investigations (“OUI”) is participating in the investigation. *Id.*

All five Respondents defaulted. On December 18, 2019, the Commission found NOEBY, iLure, Weihai Lotus, and Five Union in default for failing to respond to the complaint and notice of investigation. Order No. 11 (Nov. 19, 2019), *not reviewed*, Notice (Dec. 18, 2019). Also on December 18, 2019, the Commission found SamsFX in default for failing to respond to the complaint and notice of investigation. Order No. 12 (Nov. 25, 2019), *not reviewed*, Notice (Dec. 18, 2019).

On December 5, 2019, UPM moved for a summary determination of violation and for a recommendation for the issuance of a general exclusion order (“GEO”). In its motion, UPM dropped its allegations with respect to claims 2–6 and 8–11 of the ‘923 patent, but continued to assert claims 1 and 7 of the ‘923 patent. On January 3, 2020, OUI filed a motion that largely supported UPM’s motion.

On April 10, 2020, the ALJ issued the subject ID, Order No. 14, granting in part UPM’s motion. Specifically, the ALJ issued a summary of determination of violation finding that SamsFX, Lotus, and NOEBY violated section 337 with respect to claims 1 and 7 of the ‘923 patent, as well as the ‘923 and ‘944 marks; that iLure violated section 337 with respect to claims 1 and 7 of the ‘923 patent; and that Five Union violated section 337 with respect to the ‘923 mark. The ALJ also found that UPM failed to show that iLure violated section 337 with respect to the ‘923 and ‘944 marks, as the only evidence of importation predates the registration of