ATTACHMENT 1—GENERAL TARGET SCHEDULE FOR PROCESSING AND RESOLVING REQUESTS FOR ACCESS TO SENSITIVE UNCLASSIFIED NON-SAFEGUARDS INFORMATION IN THIS PROCEEDING

Day	Event/Activity
0	Publication of Federal Register notice of hearing and opportunity to petition for leave to intervene, including order with instructions for access requests.
10	Deadline for submitting requests for access to Sensitive Unclassified Non-Safeguards Information (SUNSI) with information: Supporting the standing of a potential party identified by name and address; describing the need for the information in order for the potential party to participate meaningfully in an adjudicatory proceeding.
60	Deadline for submitting petition for intervention containing: (i) Demonstration of standing; (ii) all contentions whose formulation does not require access to SUNSI (+25 Answers to petition for intervention; +7 petitioner/requestor reply).
20	provides a reasonable basis to believe standing can be established and shows need for SUNSI. (NRC staff also informs any party to the proceeding whose interest independent of the proceeding would be harmed by the release of the information.) If NRC staff makes the finding of need for SUNSI and likelihood of standing, NRC staff begins document processing (preparation of redactions or review of redacted documents).
25	If NRC staff finds no "need" or no likelihood of standing, the deadline for petitioner/requestor to file a motion seeking a ruling to reverse the NRC staff's denial of access; NRC staff files copy of access determination with the presiding officer (or Chief Administrative Judge or other designated officer, as appropriate). If NRC staff finds "need" for SUNSI, the deadline for any party to the proceeding whose interest independent of the proceeding would be harmed by the release of the information to file a motion seeking a ruling to reverse the NRC staff's grant of access.
30	Deadline for NRC staff reply to motions to reverse NRC staff determination(s).
40	(Receipt +30) If NRC staff finds standing and need for SUNSI, deadline for NRC staff to complete information processing and file motion for Protective Order and draft Non-Disclosure Affidavit. Deadline for applicant/licensee to file Non-Disclosure Agreement for SUNSI.
Α	If access granted: Issuance of presiding officer or other designated officer decision on motion for protective order for access to sensitive information (including schedule for providing access and submission of contentions) or decision reversing a final adverse determination by the NRC staff.
A + 3	Deadline for filing executed Non-Disclosure Affidavits. Access provided to SUNSI consistent with decision issuing the protective order.
A + 28	Deadline for submission of contentions whose development depends upon access to SUNSI. However, if more than 25 days remain between the petitioner's receipt of (or access to) the information and the deadline for filing all other contentions (as established in the notice of hearing or opportunity for hearing), the petitioner may file its SUNSI contentions by that later deadline.
A + 53	
A + 60	the state of the s
>A + 60	Decision on contention admission.

[FR Doc. 2011–21214 Filed 8–18–11; 8:45 am]

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[NRC-2011-0054; Docket No. 40-0299]

Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact for License Amendment No. 64 for Umetco Minerals Corporation Gas Hill Reclamation Project, License No. SUA-648

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice of Availability.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Dominick A. Orlando, Senior Project Manager, Special Projects Branch, Decommissioning and Uranium Recovery Licensing Directorate, Division of Waste Management and Environmental Protection, Office of Federal and State Materials and Environmental Management Programs, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555. Telephone: 301–415–6749; e-mail: Dominick.Orlando@nrc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Introduction

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is considering the issuance of a license amendment to Source Materials License SUA-648 issued to Umetco Minerals Corporation (Umetco or the licensee) to authorize repairs to the erosion protection system at its Gas Hills Reclamation Project in Fremont and Natrona Counties, Wyoming. The NRC has prepared an Environmental Assessment (EA) for this proposed action in accordance with the requirements of Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR) part 51. Based on the EA, the NRC has concluded that a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) is appropriate with respect to the proposed action. The amendment will be issued following the publication of this Notice.

The current Umetco site consists of three primary tailings disposal areas on the 1,920 acre parcel—the 170 acre Above-Grade Tailings Impoundment (AGTI), the 55 acre A–9 Repository (A– 9), and the 60 acre Heap Leach Area. In addition, the Gas Hills Pond No. 2 area, adjacent to the Heap Leach Area, also contains waste from heap leach operations.

Umetco submitted a reclamation plan for the AGTI area of the site in 1980. During the mid-1990s, the existing reclamation plan was reevaluated to address potential erosion of the cover design and additional contamination identified adjacent to the existing cover in the area. In addition, given the NRC's position on the acceptance of previously approved reclamation plans and license termination requirements, Umetco reexamined the AGTI reclamation design and the completed work, and concluded that license termination would not be possible with the existing erosion protection design. Umetco submitted an enhanced reclamation plan for the AGTI area in October 1997. The NRC approved this enhanced plan in 1999. In 2000, Umetco requested approval for modification of the erosion protection design to prevent potential disturbance of cultural resources discovered during reclamation activities. The modification was approved by the NRC in April 2001. Umetco completed the work in this area

in 2002. The A–9 is a former open pit uranium mine that was used for tailings disposal. The original reclamation plan was previously approved by the NRC, but the cover was never constructed. Umetco submitted a revised reclamation plan in 1998 to implement modifications to the A–9. The NRC approved the revised reclamation plan in 1999 [NRC 1999]. Umetco completed work in this area in 2006.

In June 2007, Umetco submitted the Construction Completion Report documenting the completed construction activities at the site inclusive of the AGTI and A–9 reclamation covers. The Construction Completion Report was subsequently approved by License Amendment 60 in September 2008.

Monitoring and inspection activities performed by Umetco on the AGTI and A-9 reclamation covers identified isolated areas of erosion, namely subgrade erosion of the erosion protection layer resulting in isolated, shallow incisions of the underlying cover soils. While this issue has resulted in shallow incisions of the underlying cover soils in isolated locations, the incisions do not extend deeper than 12-18 inches. In addition, the frost protection layer is 54 inches thick on the cover and the radon barrier is located beneath the frost protection layer. Thus, it does not appear that there has been a release of tailings or degradation of the radon attenuation capacities of the completed reclamation covers.

On December 21, 2010, Umetco submitted an evaluation that identified what Umetco believed was the reason for the incisions and requested approval of an enhanced design to repair the cover. The current licensing action is to approve Umetco's request.

Environmental Assessment Summary

The purpose of the proposed amendment is to authorize the repair of the erosion protection system at Umetco's Gas Hills Reclamation Project site, in Fremont and Natrona Counties, Wyoming. By letters dated December 21, 2010, May 10, 2011, June 8, 2011, July 13, 2011, and July 18, 2011, Umetco submitted a request for approval of, and provided additional information supporting, their proposed repair approach for the cover.

Umetco proposes to correct the subgrade erosion by placing a bedding layer under the AGTI and A-9 covers by one of two methods. The first would involve removing the cover, laying down a bedding layer and replacing the cover. The second method would involve laying the bedding layer on the cover and using a mechanical vibrator to move the bedding layer under the cover. Umetco also proposed installing bedding layer on the northeast portion of the AGTI to reduce erosion and repair erosion sink holes and repairing the erosion control apron on the southeast corner of the AGTI by placing riprap around the apron channel.

The NRC staff has prepared the EA in support of the proposed licensing action. The EA evaluates the repair of the AGTI, A–9 and other locations and is limited to these repair impacts as all other impacts were previously evaluated in the approvals of the final cover as described above.

Umetco will perform the proposed activities either on the existing tailings pile or on areas that were disturbed during earlier closure activities and were previously evaluated by NRC. The footprint of the site will not be expanded as a result of the proposed actions. The NRC staff, therefore, does not expect the proposed action to significantly impact land use, geology, soils, water resources, ecological resources, meteorology, climatology, air quality, endangered and threatened species, historic and cultural resources. The staff also does not expect significant environmental impacts to ecological resources, transportation, noise, visual resources, socioeconomic conditions or public and occupational health.

Only one alternative to the proposed action was considered by the staff, the "no action" alternative, because no other alternatives were considered reasonable or technically feasible.

Finding of No Significant Impact

Based on the analysis contained in the EA, the NRC staff concluded that there are no significant environmental impacts from the proposed action and that the preparation of an Environmental Impact Statement is not warranted. Accordingly, the NRC determined that a Finding of No Significant Impact is appropriate.

Further Information

Documents related to this action, including the application for license amendment, are available electronically at the NRC Library at http://www.nrc.gov.reading-rm/adams.html. From this site, you can access the NRC's Agencywide Document Access and Management System (ADAMS), which provides text and image files of NRC's public documents. The ADAMS accession numbers for the documents related to this Notice are:

Document	ADAMS Accession No.
Umetco Above Grade Tailings Impoundment and A–9 Repository Erosion Protection Enhancement Design Report and Request for Approval, December 21, 2010 Umetco Gas Hills Erosion Enhancement Design Report Photos, February 22, 2011 NRC Meeting Summary for March 30, 2011, meeting with Umetco, April 20, 2011 Umetco Response to NRC Staff Request for Additional Information, May 10, 2011 Umetco Clarifications to NRC Questions and Comments, June 8, 2011 Letter from Umetco Regarding Cost Estimate for Repair Methods, July 13, 2011 Letter from Umetco Regarding Cost Estimate for Repair Methods, July 18, 2011 NRC Environmental Assessment	ML103640265 ML111110263 ML111101409 ML11132A154 ML11160A096 ML11196A143 ML11201A304 ML112060216

If you do not have access to ADAMS or if there are problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS, contact the NRC Public Document Room (PDR) Reference staff at 1–800–397–4209, 301–415–4737 or by e-mail to pdr.resource@nrc.gov.

These documents may also be viewed electronically on the public computers located at the NRC's PDR, O 1 F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852. The PDR reproduction contractor will copy documents for a fee.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 11th day of August 2011.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. **Paul Michalak**,

Acting Deputy Director, Decommissioning and Uranium Recovery Licensing Directorate, Division of Waste Management and Environmental Protection, Office of Federal and State Materials and Environmental Management Programs.

[FR Doc. 2011–21215 Filed 8–18–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. A2011-46; Order No. 803]

Post Office Closing

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission. **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: This document informs the public that an appeal of the closing of the Star Tannery, Virginia post office has been filed. It identifies preliminary steps and provides a procedural schedule. Publication of this document will allow the Postal Service, petitioners, and others to take appropriate action.

Postal Service): August 29, 2011; deadline for notices to intervene: September 9, 2011. See the Procedural Schedule in the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section for other dates of interest.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments electronically by accessing the "Filing Online" link in the banner at the top of the Commission's Web site (http://www.prc.gov) or by directly accessing the Commission's Filing Online system at https://www.prc.gov/prc-pages/filing-online/login.aspx. Commenters who cannot submit their views electronically should contact the person identified in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section as the source for case-related information for advice on alternatives to electronic filing.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stephen L. Sharfman, General Counsel,

at 202–789–6820 (case-related information) or *DocketAdmins@prc.gov* (electronic filing assistance).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 404(d), on August 12, 2011, the Commission received a petition for review of the Postal Service's determination to close the post office in Star Tannery, Virginia. The petition was filed by Robert Engle (Petitioner) and is postmarked August 8, 2011. The Commission hereby institutes a proceeding under 39 U.S.C. 404(d)(5) and establishes Docket No. A2011-46 to consider Petitioner's appeal. If Petitioner would like to further explain his position with supplemental information or facts, Petitioner may either file a Participant Statement on PRC Form 61 or file a brief with the Commission no later than September 15, 2011.

Categories of issues apparently raised. Petitioner contends that the Postal Service failed to consider the effect of the closing on the community. See 39 U.S.C. 404(d)(2)(A)(i).

After the Postal Service files the administrative record and the Commission reviews it, the Commission may find that there are more legal issues than the one set forth above, or that the Postal Service's determination disposes of one or more of those issues. The deadline for the Postal Service to file the applicable administrative record with the Commission is August 29, 2011. See 39 CFR 3001.113. In addition, the due date for any responsive pleading by the Postal Service to this notice is August 29, 2011.

Availability; Web site posting. The Commission has posted the appeal and supporting material on its Web site at http://www.prc.gov. Additional filings in this case and participants' submissions also will be posted on the Commission's Web site, if provided in electronic format or amenable to conversion, and not subject to a valid protective order. Information on how to use the Commission's Web site is available online or by contacting the Commission's webmaster via telephone at 202–789–6873 or via electronic mail at prc-webmaster@prc.gov.

The appeal and all related documents are also available for public inspection in the Commission's docket section. Docket section hours are 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., eastern time, Monday through Friday, except on Federal government holidays. Docket section personnel may be contacted via electronic mail at prcdockets@prc.gov or via telephone at 202–789–6846.

Filing of documents. All filings of documents in this case shall be made

using the Internet (Filing Online) pursuant to Commission rules 9(a) and 10(a) at the Commission's Web site, http://www.prc.gov, unless a waiver is obtained. See 39 CFR 3001.9(a) and 3001.10(a). Instructions for obtaining an account to file documents online may be found on the Commission's Web site or by contacting the Commission's docket section at prc-dockets@prc.gov or via telephone at 202–789–6846.

The Commission reserves the right to redact personal information which may infringe on an individual's privacy rights from documents filed in this proceeding.

Intervention. Persons, other than Petitioner and respondent, wishing to be heard in this matter are directed to file a notice of intervention. See 39 CFR 3001.111(b). Notices of intervention in this case are to be filed on or before September 9, 2011. A notice of intervention shall be filed using the Internet (Filing Online) at the Commission's Web site unless a waiver is obtained for hardcopy filing. See 39 CFR 3001.9(a) and 3001.10(a).

Further procedures. By statute, the Commission is required to issue its decision within 120 days from the date it receives the appeal. See 39 U.S.C. 404(d)(5). A procedural schedule has been developed to accommodate this statutory deadline. In the interest of expedition, in light of the 120-day decision schedule, the Commission may request the Postal Service or other participants to submit information or memoranda of law on any appropriate issue. As required by the Commission rules, if any motions are filed, responses are due 7 days after any such motion is filed. See 39 CFR 3001.21.

It is ordered:

- 1. The Postal Service shall file the applicable administrative record regarding this appeal no later than August 29, 2011.
- 2. Any responsive pleading by the Postal Service to this notice is due no later than August 29, 2011.
- 3. The procedural schedule listed below is hereby adopted.
- 4. Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505, Malin Moench is the designated officer of the Commission (Public Representative) to represent the interests of the general public.
- 5. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this notice and order in the **Federal Register**.