

page 76611, in the second column under Appendix II, make the following corrections: (1) revise the company name “Punjab Steel Works” to “Punjab Steel Works (PSW)”; (2) revise the company name “Raaj Sagar Steels” to “Raaj Sagar Steel”; and (3) revise the company name “Tirupati Forge Pvt. Ltd.” to “Tirupati Forge.”

### Background

On December 15, 2022, Commerce published in the **Federal Register** the final results of the administrative review of the CVD order on flanges covering the period January 1, 2020, through December 31, 2020.<sup>1</sup> In the notice, Commerce inadvertently included incorrect names of three companies not selected for individual examination. In Appendix II, we incorrectly listed the companies “Punjab Steel Works (PSW),” “Raaj Sagar Steel,” and “Tirupati Forge” as “Punjab Steel Works,” “Raaj Sagar Steels,” and “Tirupati Forge Pvt. Ltd.,” respectively.<sup>2</sup>

### Notification to Interested Parties

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended.

Dated: December 23, 2022.

**Lisa W. Wang,**

*Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

[FR Doc. 2022–28405 Filed 12–28–22; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A–580–876]

### Welded Line Pipe From the Republic of Korea: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Preliminary Determination of No Shipments; 2020–2021

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) preliminarily determines that certain producers/exporters subject to this administrative review made sales of subject merchandise at less than normal value (NV). Interested parties are invited to

comment on these preliminary results of review.

**DATES:** Applicable December 29, 2022.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Adam Simons or Paul Gill, AD/CVD Operations, Office II, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–6172 or (202) 482–5673, respectively.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

On February 4, 2022, based on timely requests for review, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i), we initiated an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on welded line pipe from the Republic of Korea (Korea).<sup>1</sup> The period of review (POR) is December 1, 2020, through November 30, 2021. On August 17, 2022, we extended the preliminary results of this review to no later than December 23, 2022.<sup>2</sup> For a complete description of the events that followed the initiation of this review, *see* the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.<sup>3</sup>

#### Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to the *Order* is welded line pipe.<sup>4</sup> The product is currently classified under the following Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) item numbers: 7305.11.1030, 7305.11.1060, 7305.11.5000, 7305.12.1030, 7305.12.1060, 7305.12.5000, 7305.19.1030, 7305.19.5000, 7306.19.1010, 7306.19.1050, 7306.19.5110, and 7306.19.5150. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and for customs purposes, the written product description remains dispositive.

#### Methodology

Commerce is conducting this review in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

<sup>1</sup> *See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 87 FR 6487 (February 4, 2022); *see also Welded Line Pipe from the Republic of Korea and the Republic of Turkey: Antidumping Duty Orders*, 80 FR 75056, 75057 (December 1, 2015) (*Order*).

<sup>2</sup> *See* Memorandum, “Extension of Deadline for Preliminary Results of 2020–2021 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review,” dated August 17, 2022.

<sup>3</sup> *See* Memorandum, “Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Results of the 2020–2021 Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Welded Line Pipe from Korea,” dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

<sup>4</sup> For a complete description of the scope of the *Order*, *see* the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Export price and constructed export price are calculated in accordance with section 772 of the Act. NV is calculated in accordance with section 773 of the Act.

For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, *see* the Preliminary Decision Memorandum. A list of the topics discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is attached as Appendix I to this notice. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <https://access.trade.gov/public/FRNoticesListLayout.aspx>.

### Preliminary Determination of No Shipments

Among the companies under review, HiSteel Co., Ltd. (HiSteel) properly filed a statement that it made no shipments of subject merchandise to the United States during the POR.<sup>5</sup> Based on its certification and our analysis of U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) information, we preliminarily determine that HiSteel had no reviewable transactions during the POR.<sup>6</sup> Consistent with our practice, we are not preliminarily rescinding the review with respect to HiSteel. Instead, we will complete the review for HiSteel and issue appropriate instructions to CBP based on the final results of this review.<sup>7</sup>

### Preliminary Results of Review

As a result of this review, we preliminarily determine the following weighted-average dumping margins for the period December 1, 2020, through November 30, 2021:

Producer or exporter	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
NEXTEEL Co., Ltd. ....	2.56

<sup>5</sup> *See* HiSteel’s Letter, “No Shipments Letter,” dated March 7, 2022.

<sup>6</sup> *See* Memorandum, “Results of No Shipments Inquiry for HiSteel Co., Ltd.,” dated March 7, 2022.

<sup>7</sup> *See, e.g., Welded Line Pipe from the Republic of Korea: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Preliminary Determination of No Shipments; 2019–2020*, 87 FR 928 (January 7, 2022), unchanged in *Welded Line Pipe from the Republic of Korea: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2019–2020*, 87 FR 38061 (June 27, 2022).

<sup>1</sup> *See Finished Carbon Steel Flanges from India: Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; 2020*, 87 FR 76610 (December 15, 2022).

<sup>2</sup> *See* Memorandum, “Phone Conversation with an Interested Party,” dated September 13, 2022.

Producer or exporter	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
SeAH Steel Corporation .....	4.14
Companies Not Selected for Individual Review <sup>8</sup> .....	3.32

### Review-Specific Average Rate for Companies Not Selected for Individual Review

The exporters or producers not selected for individual review are listed in Appendix II.

### Assessment Rates

Upon issuing the final results, Commerce shall determine, and CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), where NEXTEEL Co., Ltd. (NEXTEEL) reported the entered value of its U.S. sales, we calculated importer-specific *ad valorem* duty assessment rates based on the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for the examined sales to the total entered value of the sales for which entered value was reported. SeAH Steel Corporation (SeAH) did not report actual entered value for all of its U.S. sales; in such instances, we calculated importer-specific per-unit duty assessment rates by aggregating the total amount of antidumping duties calculated for the examined sales and dividing this amount by the total quantity of those sales. Where either the respondent's weighted-average dumping margin is zero or *de minimis* within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1), or an importer-specific rate is zero or *de minimis*, we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.

For the companies which were not selected for individual review, we will assign an assessment rate based on the weighted average of the cash deposit rates calculated for NEXTEEL and SeAH excluding any which are zero, *de minimis*, or determined entirely on adverse facts available. The final results of this review shall be the basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on

entries of merchandise covered by the final results of this review and for future deposits of estimated duties, where applicable.<sup>9</sup>

Commerce's "automatic assessment" practice will apply to entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by NEXTEEL or SeAH for which the reviewed companies did not know that the merchandise they sold to the intermediary (e.g., a reseller, trading company, or exporter) was destined for the United States. In such instances, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.

Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after the date of publication of the final results of this review in the **Federal Register**. If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International Trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired (i.e., within 90 days of publication).

### Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) the cash deposit rate for the companies listed above will be that established in the final results of this review, except if the rate is less than 0.50 percent and, therefore, *de minimis* within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1), in which case the cash deposit rate will be zero; (2) for previously investigated or reviewed companies not covered in this review, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific cash deposit rate published for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding in which the company participated; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, or the less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, but the manufacturer is, then the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent segment for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 4.38 percent, the all-others rate established in the LTFV investigation.<sup>10</sup> These deposit

requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

### Disclosure and Public Comment

Commerce intends to disclose the calculations performed in connection with these preliminary results to interested parties within five days after the date of publication of this notice.<sup>11</sup> Interested parties may submit case briefs to Commerce no later than 30 days after the date of publication of this notice.<sup>12</sup> Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed no later than seven days after the time limit for filing case briefs.<sup>13</sup> Parties who submit case briefs or rebuttal briefs in this proceeding are encouraged to submit with each argument: (1) a statement of the issue; (2) a brief summary of the argument; and (3) a table of authorities.<sup>14</sup> Case and rebuttal briefs should be filed using ACCESS.<sup>15</sup> Note that Commerce has temporarily modified certain of its requirements for serving documents containing business proprietary information, until further notice.<sup>16</sup>

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310(c), interested parties who wish to request a hearing must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, filed electronically via ACCESS within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice.<sup>17</sup> Hearing requests should contain: (1) the party's name, address, and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; and (3) a list of issues to be discussed. Oral presentations at the hearing will be limited to issues raised in the briefs. If a request for a hearing is made, parties will be notified of the time and date for the hearing.<sup>18</sup>

Commerce intends to issue the final results of this administrative review, including the results of its analysis raised in any written briefs, not later than 120 days after the publication of these preliminary results in the **Federal Register**, unless otherwise extended.<sup>19</sup>

### Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding

<sup>8</sup> Under section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act, the all-others rate is normally "an amount equal to the weighted average of the estimated weighted-average dumping margins established for exporters and producers individually examined, excluding any margins that are zero or *de minimis* margins, and any margins determined entirely {on the basis of facts available}." For these preliminary results, we have preliminarily calculated a weighted-average dumping margin for these companies using the calculated rates of the mandatory respondents, NEXTEEL and SeAH, which are not zero or *de minimis*, or determined entirely on the basis of facts available.

<sup>9</sup> See section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act.

<sup>10</sup> See Order.

<sup>11</sup> See 19 CFR 351.224(b).

<sup>12</sup> See 19 CFR 351.309(c).

<sup>13</sup> Commerce is exercising its discretion, under 19 CFR 351.309(d)(1), to alter the time limit for filing of rebuttal briefs.

<sup>14</sup> See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2) and (d)(2).

<sup>15</sup> See 19 CFR 351.303.

<sup>16</sup> See Temporary Rule Modifying AD/CVD Service Requirements Due to COVID-19; Extension of Effective Period, 85 FR 41363 (July 10, 2020).

<sup>17</sup> See 19 CFR 351.310(c).

<sup>18</sup> See 19 CFR 351.310(d).

<sup>19</sup> See section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

#### Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: December 22, 2022.

**Lisa W. Wang,**

*Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

#### Appendix I

##### List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the *Order*
- IV. Preliminary Determination of No Shipments
- V. Discussion of the Methodology
- VI. Recommendation

#### Appendix II

##### Review-Specific Average Rate Applicable to Companies Not Selected for Individual Review

1. AJU Besteel Co., Ltd.
2. BDP International, Inc.
3. Daewoo International Corporation
4. Dongbu Incheon Steel Co.
5. Dongbu Steel Co., Ltd.
6. Dongkuk Steel Mill
7. Dong Yang Steel Pipe
8. EEW Korea Co., Ltd.
9. Husteel Co., Ltd.
10. Hyundai RB Co. Ltd.
11. Hyundai Steel Company/Hyundai HYSCO
12. Kelly Pipe Co., LLC
13. Keonwoo Metals Co., Ltd.
14. Kolon Global Corp.
15. Korea Cast Iron Pipe Ind. Co., Ltd.
16. Kurvers Piping Italy S.R.L.
17. Miju Steel MFG Co., Ltd.
18. MSTEEL Co., Ltd.
19. Poongsan Valinox (Valtimet Division)
20. POSCO
21. POSCO Daewoo
22. R&R Trading Co. Ltd.
23. Sam Kang M&T Co., Ltd.
24. Sin Sung Metal Co., Ltd.
25. SK Networks
26. Soon-Hong Trading Company
27. Steel Flower Co., Ltd.
28. TGS Pipe
29. Tokyo Engineering Korea Ltd.

[FR Doc. 2022-28388 Filed 12-28-22; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-520-804]

#### Certain Steel Nails From the United Arab Emirates: Final Results of the Expedited Second Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) finds that revocation of the antidumping duty (AD) order on certain steel nails (steel nails) from the United Arab Emirates (UAE) would be likely to lead to the continuation or recurrence of dumping at the levels indicated in the "Final Results of Sunset Review" section of this notice.

**DATES:** Applicable December 29, 2022.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Kelsie Hohenberger, AD/CVD Operations, Office V, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-2517.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On May 10, 2012, Commerce published in the **Federal Register** the AD order on steel nails from the UAE.<sup>1</sup> On September 1, 2022, Commerce published the notice of initiation of the second sunset review of the *Order*, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).<sup>2</sup> On September 13, 2022, Commerce received a notice of intent to participate in this review from Mid Continent Steel & Wire, Inc. (Mid Continent) within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i).<sup>3</sup> Mid Continent claimed interested party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act as a producer of the domestic like product in the United States.

On October 3, 2022, Commerce received an adequate substantive response from Mid Continent within the 30-day deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i).<sup>4</sup> We received no

substantive responses from respondent interested parties. On October 25, 2022, Commerce notified the U.S. International Trade Commission that it did not receive an adequate substantive response from respondent interested parties.<sup>5</sup> As a result, pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), Commerce conducted an expedited (120-day) sunset review of the *Order*.

#### Scope of the Order

The products covered by this *Order* are steel nails from the UAE. For a full description of the scope, *see* the Issues and Decision Memorandum.<sup>6</sup>

#### Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in this sunset review are addressed in the accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum.<sup>7</sup> A list of topics discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum is included as an appendix to this notice. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <http://access.trade.gov/public/FRNoticesListLayout.aspx>.

#### Final Results of Sunset Review

Pursuant to sections 751(c)(1) and 752(c)(1) and (3) of the Act, Commerce determines that revocation of the *Order* would likely lead to the continuation or recurrence of dumping and that the magnitude of the margins likely to prevail if the *Order* were revoked is up to 184.41 percent.<sup>8</sup>

#### Administrative Protective Order (APO)

This notice serves as the only reminder to interested parties subject to an APO of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials

<sup>5</sup> See Commerce's Letter, "Sunset Reviews Initiated on September 1, 2022," dated October 25, 2022.

<sup>6</sup> See Memorandum, "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Expedited Second Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Certain Steel Nails from the United Arab Emirates," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

<sup>7</sup> See, generally, Issues and Decision Memorandum.

<sup>8</sup> *Id.*

<sup>1</sup> See *Certain Steel Nails from the United Arab Emirates: Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order*, 77 FR 27421 (May 10, 2012) (*Order*).

<sup>2</sup> See *Initiation of Five-Year (Sunset) Reviews*, 87 FR 53727 (September 1, 2022).

<sup>3</sup> See Mid Continent's Letter, "Notice of Intent to Participate in Sunset Review," dated September 13, 2022.

<sup>4</sup> See Mid Continent's Letter, "Substantive Response to Notice of Initiation," dated October 3, 2022.