

proposes three additional magnet sites (Sites 6–8);

Whereas, notice inviting public comment was given in the **Federal Register** (75 FR 51752–51753, 8/23/2010) and the application has been processed pursuant to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations; and,

Whereas, the Board adopts the findings and recommendation of the examiner's report, and finds that the requirements of the FTZ Act and Board's regulations are satisfied, and that the proposal is in the public interest;

Now, therefore, the Board hereby orders:

The application to reorganize FTZ 72 under the alternative site framework is approved, subject to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations, including Section 400.28, to the Board's standard 2,000-acre activation limit for the overall general-purpose zone project, to a five-year ASF sunset provision for magnet sites that would terminate authority for Sites 2–3, 6–8 and 12–13 if not activated by March 31, 2016, and to a three-year ASF sunset provision for usage-driven sites that would terminate authority for Sites 9–11 if no foreign-status merchandise is admitted for a *bona fide* customs purpose by March 31, 2014.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 3rd day of March, 2011.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.

Elizabeth Whiteman,

Acting Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2011–5389 Filed 3–8–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Order No. 1746]

Reorganization of Foreign-Trade Zone 46 Under Alternative Site Framework; Cincinnati, OH

Pursuant to its authority under the Foreign-Trade Zones Act of June 18, 1934, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a–81u), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) adopts the following Order:

Whereas, the Board adopted the alternative site framework (ASF) (74 FR 1170, 01/12/09; correction 74 FR 3987, 01/22/09; 75 FR 71069–71070, 11/22/10) as an option for the establishment or reorganization of general-purpose zones;

Whereas, the Greater Cincinnati Foreign-Trade Zone Inc., grantee of

Foreign-Trade Zone 46, submitted an application to the Board (FTZ Docket 41–2010, filed 5/21/2010) for authority to reorganize under the ASF with a service area of Hamilton, Butler, Warren, Brown and Clermont Counties, Ohio, in and adjacent to the Cincinnati Customs and Border Protection port of entry, FTZ 46's existing Sites 3, 4 and 5 would be categorized as magnet sites, and the grantee proposes an initial usage-driven site (Site 6);

Whereas, notice inviting public comment was given in the **Federal Register** (75 FR 30774–30775, 6/2/2010) and the application has been processed pursuant to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations; and,

Whereas, the Board adopts the findings and recommendations of the examiner's report, and finds that the requirements of the FTZ Act and Board's regulations are satisfied, and that the proposal is in the public interest;

Now, therefore, the Board hereby orders:

The application to reorganize FTZ 46 under the alternative site framework is approved, subject to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations, including Section 400.28, to the Board's standard 2,000-acre activation limit for the overall general-purpose zone project, to a five-year ASF sunset provision for magnet sites that would terminate authority for Sites 3 and 5 if not activated by March 31, 2016, and to a three-year ASF sunset provision for usage-driven sites that would terminate authority for Site 6 if no foreign-status merchandise is admitted for a *bona fide* customs purpose by March 31, 2014.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 3rd day of March 2011.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.

ATTEST: _____

Elizabeth Whiteman,

Acting Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2011–5391 Filed 3–8–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–533–824]

Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet and Strip From India: Extension of Time Limit for Final Results of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: *Effective Date:* March 9, 2011.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Elfi Blum or Toni Page, AD/CVD Operations, Office 6, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; *telephone:* (202) 482–0197 or (202) 482–1398, respectively.

Background

On December 21, 2010, the Department of Commerce (the Department) issued the preliminary results of the new shipper review under the antidumping duty order on polyethylene terephthalate film, sheet and strip from India for SRF Limited (SRF) covering the period July 1, 2009, through December 31, 2009. *See Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet and Strip from India: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review*, 75 FR 81570 (December 28, 2010) (*Preliminary Results*). The final results of review are currently due March 21, 2011.

Statutory Time Limits

Section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.214(i)(1), provide that the Department will issue the preliminary results of a new shipper review of an antidumping duty order within 180 days after the day on which the review was initiated, and the final results of review within 90 days after the date on which the preliminary results were issued. However, if the Department concludes that a new shipper review is extraordinarily complicated, the Department may extend the 180-day period to 300 days, and the 90-day period to 150 days. *See* 19 CFR 351.214(i)(2).

Extension of Time Limit for Final Results

The Department determines that this new shipper review is extraordinarily complicated because of questions that arose after the *Preliminary Results* concerning the *bona fides* of the new

shipper sale. Due to this issue, the Department had to issue another supplemental questionnaire to SRF, provide SRF with time to respond, and now must analyze SRF's response. Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(i)(2), the Department is extending the time limit for the final results from 90 days to 150 days. Thus, the final results will now be due no later than May 20, 2011.

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: March 3, 2011.

Christian Marsh,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2011-5378 Filed 3-8-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [C-533-825]

Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet and Strip From India: Extension of Time Limit for Final Results of Countervailing Duty New Shipper Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: *Effective Date:* March 9, 2011.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Elfi Blum or Toni Page, AD/CVD Operations, Office 6, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; *telephone:* (202) 482-0197 or (202) 482-1398, respectively.

Background

On December 21, 2010, the Department of Commerce (the Department) issued the preliminary results of the new shipper review under the countervailing duty order on polyethylene terephthalate film, sheet and strip from India for SRF Limited (SRF), covering the period January 1, 2009, through December 31, 2009. *See Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip From India: Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty New Shipper Review*, 75 FR 81574 (December 28, 2010) (*Preliminary Results*). The final results of review are currently due March 21, 2011.

Statutory Time Limits

Section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and

19 CFR 351.214(i)(1), provide that the Department will issue the preliminary results of a new shipper review within 180 days after the day on which the review was initiated, and the final results of review within 90 days after the date on which the preliminary results were issued. However, if the Department concludes that a new shipper review is extraordinarily complicated, the Department may extend the 180-day period to 300 days, and the 90-day period to 150 days. *See* section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act; 19 CFR 351.214(i)(2).

Extension of Time Limit for Final Results

The Department determines that this new shipper review is extraordinarily complicated because of questions that arose after the *Preliminary Results* concerning the *bona fides* of the new shipper's sale. Due to this issue, the Department had to issue another supplemental questionnaire to SRF, provide SRF with time to respond, and now must analyze SRF's response. Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(i)(2), the Department is extending the time limit for the final results from 90 days to 150 days. Thus, the final results will now be due no later than May 20, 2011.

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: March 3, 2011.

Christian Marsh,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2011-5383 Filed 3-8-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [A-821-819]

Magnesium Metal From the Russian Federation: Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: *Effective Date:* March 9, 2011.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Hermes Pinilla, AD/CVD Operations, Office 5, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; *telephone:* (202) 482-3477.

Background

On May 28, 2010, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published a notice of initiation of an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on magnesium metal from the Russian Federation for the period April 1, 2009, through March 31, 2010. *See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 75 FR 29976 (May 28, 2010). On December 17, 2010, the Department extended by 75 days the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on magnesium metal from the Russian Federation. *See Magnesium Metal From the Russian Federation: Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 75 FR 78968 (December 17, 2010). The preliminary results of this administrative review are currently due no later than March 16, 2011.

Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires the Department to make a preliminary determination within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order for which a review is requested and a final determination within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary determination is published in the **Federal Register**. If it is not practicable to complete the review within these time periods, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend the time limit for the preliminary determination to a maximum of 365 days after the last day of the anniversary month.

We determine that it is not practicable to complete the preliminary results of this review by the current deadline of March 16, 2011, because we require additional time to analyze a number of complex corporate-affiliation issues relating to this administrative review. In addition, the numerous extensions we have granted for filing various responses has contributed to us requiring additional time to complete the preliminary results.

Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2), we are extending the time period for issuing the preliminary results of this review by 45 days to April 30, 2011. Because April 30, 2011, falls on a Saturday, it is the Department's practice to issue a determination the next business day when the statutory deadline falls on a weekend, federal holiday, or any other day when the Department is closed. *See Notice of*