2. In order to achieve resource adequacy goals, should centralized capacity markets be expected to meet specific reliability and operational system needs (i.e., accommodating new and emerging technologies such as variable energy resources, distributed resources, or demand-side resources)? If so, how should capacity markets be designed to procure resources with specific operational attributes and what should those attributes be?

3. Going forward, should centralized capacity markets be designed to meet additional or different goals than those established to date?

Panelists

1. Peter Cramton, University of Maryland
2. Michael Hogan, The Regulatory Assistance Project
3. Susan Kelly, APPA
4. Michael Schnitzer, Northbridge Group, EPSA
5. Sue Tierney, Analysis Group
6. James Wilson, Wilson Energy Economics

Respondents

1. ISO–NE
2. NYISO
3. PJM

4:45 p.m.–5:00 p.m. Wrap up and closing remarks

[FR Doc. 2013–23719 Filed 9–27–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket Nos. EL13–91–000; QF83–142–002; QF83–143–002; QF83–144–002; QF92–64–002]

Clearwater Paper Corporation; Notice of Petition for Enforcement

Take notice that on September 20, 2013, pursuant to section 210(h) of the Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act of 1978 (PURPA) Clearwater Paper Corporation filed a Petition for Enforcement, requesting the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) to initiate enforcement action against the Idaho Public Utilities Commission (IPUC), or in the alternative declare that Final IPUC Order No. 32697 [1] and IPUC Order No. 32802 [2] are inconsistent with PURPA.

Any person desiring to intervene or to protest this filing must file in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211, 385.214). Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a notice of intervention or motion to intervene, as appropriate. Such notices, motions, or protests must be filed on or before the comment date. On or before the comment date, it is not necessary to serve motions to intervene or protests on persons other than the Applicant.

The Commission encourages electronic submission of protests and interventions in lieu of paper using the ''eFiling'' link at *http://www.ferc.gov*. Persons unable to file electronically should submit an original and 5 copies of the protest or intervention to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20426.

This filing is accessible on-line at *http://www.ferc.gov*, using the ''eLibrary'' link and is available for review in the Commission's Public Reference Room in Washington, DC. There is an ''eSubscription'' link on the Web site that enables subscribers to receive email notification when a document is added to a subscribed docket(s). For assistance with any FERC Online service, please email *FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov*, or call (866) 208–3676 (toll free). For TTY, call (202) 502–8659.

Comment Date: 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time on October 11, 2013.

Dated: September 23, 2013.

Nathaniel J. Davis, Sr.,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2013–23750 Filed 9–27–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

[1] *In the Matter of the Commission's Review of PURPA QF Contract Provisions Including the Surrogate Avoided Resource (SAR) and Integrated Resource Planning (IRP) Methodologies for Calculating Avoided Cost Rates,* IPUC Case No. GNR–E–11–03, Order No. 32697 (December 18, 2012).

[2] *In the Matter of the Commission's Review of PURPA QF Contract Provisions Including the Surrogate Avoided Resource (SAR) and Integrated Resource Planning (IRP) Methodologies for Calculating Avoided Cost Rates,* IPUC Case No. GNR–E–11–03, Order No. 32802 (May 6, 2013)

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Project No. 13519–003]

Lock+ Hydro Friends Fund XIX, LLC; Notice of Preliminary Permit Application Accepted for Filing and Soliciting Comments, Motions to Intervene, and Competing Applications

On April 2, 2013, Lock+ Hydro Friends Fund XIX, LLC filed an application for a preliminary permit, pursuant to section 4(f) of the Federal Power Act (FPA), proposing to study the feasibility of a hydropower project to be located at the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' (Corps) Claiborne Lock & Dam on the Alabama River near the town of Monroeville in Monroe County, Alabama. The sole purpose of a preliminary permit, if issued, is to grant the permit holder priority to file a license application during the permit term. A preliminary permit does not authorize the permit holder to perform any land-disturbing activities or otherwise enter upon lands or waters owned by others without the owners' express permission.

The proposed project would consist of the following: (1) a concrete lined intake channel with a trash rack system; (2) a 166.5-foot-long, 165.2-foot-wide powerhouse containing two generating units with a total capacity of 22 megawatts; (3) a 250-foot-long, 165-foot-wide tailrace; (4) a 13.8/115 kilo-Volt (kV) substation; and (5) a 5.5-mile-long, 69kV transmission line. The proposed project would have an average annual generation of 145,850 megawatt-hours, and operate as directed by the Corps.

Applicant Contact: Mr. Wayne Krouse, Lock+ Hydro Friends Fund, LLC, 4900 Woodway, Suite 745 Houston, TX 77056; Phone: (877) 556–6566 ext.709

FERC Contact: Christiane Casey, *christiane.casey@ferc.gov*, (202) 502–8577.

Deadline for filing comments, motions to intervene, competing applications (without notices of intent), or notices of intent to file competing applications: 60 days from the issuance of this notice. Competing applications and notices of intent must meet the requirements of 18 CFR 4.36. Comments, motions to intervene, notices of intent, and competing applications may be filed electronically via the Internet. See 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site *http://www.ferc.gov/docs-filing/efiling.asp*. Commenters can submit brief comments up to 6,000 characters,