

Federal grant-making agency and is the primary source of Federal support for the Nation's 123,000 libraries and 17,500 museums. IMLS provides a variety of grant programs to assist the Nation's museums and libraries in improving their operations and enhancing their services to the public. IMLS is responsible for identifying national needs for, and trends of, museum and library services funded by IMLS; reporting on the impact and effectiveness of programs conducted with funds made available by IMLS in addressing such needs; and identifying, and disseminating information on, the best practices of such programs. (20 U.S.C. Chapter 72, 20 U.S.C. 9108).

**Abstract:** The purpose of the information collection is to develop a national database of museums for use by museums, museum professionals, IMLS, policy makers, researchers, and the general public. Information such as name, address, phone, email, Web site, staff size, program details, collections care and other institutional will be collected. The proposed information collection, which is the subject of this notice, would establish a comprehensive, reliable database about the size, distribution and scope of the museum sector in the U.S.

**Current Actions:** This notice proposes clearance of the IMLS Museum Web Database: MuseumsCount.gov collection. The 60-day notice for the IMLS Museum Web Database: MuseumsCount.gov collection was published in the **Federal Register** on September 2, 2011, (FR vol. 76, No. 171, pg. 54807). No comments were received.

**Agency:** Institute of Museum and Library Services.

**Title:** IMLS Museum Web Database, MuseumsCount.gov.

**OMB Number:** To be determined.

**Agency Number:** 3137.

**Frequency:** Annually.

**Affected Public:** General public, museums, museum professional associations, museum professionals, and museum organizations associated with Indian tribes (including any Alaska native village, regional corporation, or village corporation), and organizations that primarily serve and represent Native Hawaiians.

**Number of Respondents:** 39,000.

**Estimated Time per Respondent:** 25 minutes.

**Total Burden Hours:** 16,250.

**Total Cost for Respondents:** \$330,524.

**Total Annualized Capital/Startup**

**Costs:** \$350,000.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Comments should be sent to Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs,

**Attn.:** OMB Desk Officer for Education, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503, 202-395-7316.

Dated: June 27, 2012.

**Kim A. Miller,**

*Management Analyst, Institute of Museum & Library Services.*

[FR Doc. 2012-16164 Filed 6-29-12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7036-01-P**

## NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD

### Sunshine Act Meetings: July 2012

**TIME AND DATES:** All meetings are held at 2:30 p.m.

Tuesday, July 3;  
Tuesday, July 10;  
Wednesday, July 11;  
Thursday, July 12;  
Tuesday, July 17;  
Wednesday, July 18;  
Thursday, July 19;  
Tuesday, July 24;  
Wednesday, July 25;  
Thursday, July 26;  
Tuesday, July 31.

**PLACE:** Board Agenda Room, No. 11820, 1099 14th St. NW., Washington DC 20570.

**STATUS:** Closed.

**MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:** Pursuant to § 102.139(a) of the Board's Rules and Regulations, the Board or a panel thereof will consider "the issuance of a subpoena, the Board's participation in a civil action or proceeding or an arbitration, or the initiation, conduct, or disposition \* \* \* of particular representation or unfair labor practice proceedings under section 8, 9, or 10 of the [National Labor Relations] Act, or any court proceedings collateral or ancillary thereto." See also 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(10).

**DATED:** June 28, 2012.

**CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:** Lester A. Heltzer, Executive Secretary, (202) 273-1067.

**Lester A. Heltzer,**  
*Executive Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2012-16275 Filed 6-28-12; 4:15 pm]

**BILLING CODE 7545-01-P**

## NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

### National Science Board; Sunshine Act Meetings; Notice

The National Science Board, pursuant to NSF regulations (45 CFR part 614), the National Science Foundation Act, as amended (42 U.S.C. 1862n-5), and the

Government in the Sunshine Act (5 U.S.C. 552b), hereby gives notice in regard to the scheduling of a teleconference for the transaction of National Science Board business and other matters specified, as follows:

**DATE AND TIME:** Friday, July 6, 2012, 12 p.m.–1 p.m. EDT.

**SUBJECT MATTER:** Discussion of future planning for data policies.

**STATUS:** Closed.

This meeting will be held by teleconference at the National Science Board Office, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Blvd., Arlington, VA 22230.

**UPDATES & POINT OF CONTACT:** Please refer to the National Science Board Web site [www.nsf.gov/nsb](http://www.nsf.gov/nsb) for information and schedule updates, or contact: Ann Ferrante, National Science Board Office, 4201 Wilson Blvd., Arlington, VA 22230. Telephone: (703) 292-7000.

**Ann Bushmiller,**

*Senior Counsel to the National Science Board.*

[FR Doc. 2012-16273 Filed 6-28-12; 4:15 pm]

**BILLING CODE 7555-01-P**

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[NRC-2012-0156; IA-12-014]

### In the Matter of Mr. Timothy Goold; Order Prohibiting Involvement in NRC-Licensed Activities

#### I

Mr. Timothy Goold was employed as a radiographer at JANX Integrity Group, Inc. (JANX), at a temporary jobsite on Spy Island, Alaska during July 2011. JANX is the holder of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC or Commission's) Materials License No. 21-16560-01 which authorized, at the time, specific activities involving byproduct, source, and/or special nuclear material.

#### II

On July 27, 2011, the NRC conducted an unannounced inspection of JANX's radiographic activities on Spy Island. The inspector observed Mr. Goold performing radiography without a second qualified radiographer present. When the inspector approached Mr. Goold to inquire about the location of the second qualified radiographer, Mr. Goold disconnected the camera from the crank and guide tube, placed the camera on a snowmobile used to transport it to the radiography truck and departed, telling the inspector he would return shortly. When the inspector walked to

the radiography truck, Mr. Goold again left, and avoided the inspector. Because the second qualified radiographer also left in order to find Mr. Goold, and did not return for approximately 2 hours, the NRC's ability to inspect all aspects of JANX's radiographic operations was severely impacted. The NRC Office of Investigations (OI) began an investigation on October 31, 2011, to determine, in part, whether Mr. Goold: (1) Willfully performed radiography without a second person present, and (2) willfully impeded the NRC's inspection by avoiding the NRC inspector. In the non-public letter dated March 15, 2012, the NRC informed Mr. Goold that the NRC was considering escalated enforcement action for two apparent violations of Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR) 30.10, the NRC's deliberate misconduct rule. The NRC offered Mr. Goold the opportunity to respond in writing, request a predecisional enforcement conference or request alternative dispute resolution (ADR) with the NRC in an attempt to resolve issues associated with this matter. Mr. Goold provided a written response on April 9, 2012.

Based on the NRC review of the information obtained during the inspection, the investigation, and contained in Mr. Goold's letter of April 9, 2012, the NRC identified two violations of the NRC's rule prohibiting deliberate misconduct, 10 CFR 30.10. First, Mr. Goold engaged in deliberate misconduct in violation of 10 CFR 30.10(a)(1) by performing radiography without a second person present causing JANX to be in violation of 10 CFR 34.41(a). During the investigation, Mr. Goold acknowledged performing radiography without a second qualified radiographer present and that he understood the requirement. A licensee official testified during the investigation that the licensee had previously identified an occasion where Mr. Goold had performed radiography alone and the licensee had informed him of the consequences, should it happen again. The NRC inspector observed the second qualified radiographer collect the radiography films and leave the area after which Mr. Goold proceeded with radiographic operations.

Second, Mr. Goold again engaged in deliberate misconduct in violation of 10 CFR 30.10(a)(1) when he deliberately avoided the inspector by leaving when the inspector questioned him regarding the whereabouts of the second qualified radiographer. In addition, when the inspector walked over to the radiography truck, Mr. Goold again avoided the inspector by leaving the

area. This caused JANX to be in violation of 10 CFR 30.52(a). During the investigation, Mr. Goold admitted to being scared and sitting in the smoke shack during the inspection to avoid the inspector.

### III

Based on the above, the NRC has concluded that Mr. Timothy Goold, a former employee of JANX engaged in deliberate misconduct that caused JANX to be in violation of 10 CFR 34.41(a) and 10 CFR 30.52(a) and constitutes a violation of 10 CFR 30.10(a)(1).

The NRC must be able to rely on the licensees and their employees to comply with NRC requirements in order to ensure public health and safety. Mr. Goold's actions caused JANX to violate 10 CFR 34.41(a) and 10 CFR 30.52(a) and have raised serious doubt as to whether he can be relied upon to comply with NRC requirements and now and in the future.

Consequently, I lack the requisite reasonable assurance that licensed activities can be conducted in compliance with the Commission's requirements and that the health and safety of the public will be protected if Mr. Goold were permitted at this time to be involved in NRC-licensed activities. Therefore, the public health, safety, and interest require that Mr. Goold be prohibited from any involvement in NRC-licensed activities for a period of one year from the effective date of this Order, as defined in Section V.

Additionally Mr. Goold is required to notify the NRC of his first employment in NRC-licensed activities for a period of one year following the prohibition period.

### IV

Accordingly, pursuant to Sections 81, 161b, 161i, 161o, 182 and 186 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 2.202, 10 CFR 30.10, 10 CFR Parts 20, 34, and 10 CFR 150.20, *it is hereby ordered that*:

1. Mr. Timothy Goold is prohibited for one year from the effective date of this Order, as defined in Section V, from involvement in the performance of NRC licensed activities. This includes, but is not limited to:

(a) Industrial radiography for an NRC licensee, including, but not limited to, radiography conducted under the authority of a license issued pursuant to 10 CFR Part 34; and

(b) industrial radiography for an Agreement State licensee that is conducted in non-Agreement States, in areas of exclusive federal jurisdiction

within Agreement States, or in offshore waters under an NRC general license granted pursuant to 10 CFR 150.20.

2. If, as of the effective date of this Order, Mr. Goold is currently involved in NRC-licensed activities, he must immediately cease those activities, and inform the NRC of the name, address and telephone number of the employer, and provide a copy of this Order to the employer.

3. For a period of one year after the one year period of prohibition has expired, Mr. Goold shall, within 30 days of acceptance of his first employment offer involving NRC-licensed activities or his becoming involved in NRC-licensed activities, provide notice to the Director, Office of Enforcement, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, of the name, address and telephone number of the employer or the entity where he is, or will be, involved in the NRC-licensed activities. In the notification, Mr. Goold shall include a statement of his commitment to compliance with NRC regulatory requirements and the basis for why the Commission should have confidence that he will now comply with applicable NRC requirements.

The Director, Office of Enforcement, may, in writing, relax or rescind any of the above conditions upon demonstration by Mr. Goold of good cause.

### V

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.202, Mr. Goold must, and any other person adversely affected by this Order may, submit an answer to this Order within 20 days of its publication in the **Federal Register**. In addition, Mr. Goold and any other person adversely affected by this Order may request a hearing on this Order within 20 days of its publication in the **Federal Register**. Where good cause is shown, consideration will be given to extending the time to answer or request a hearing. A request for extension of time must be directed to the Director, Office of Enforcement, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, and include a statement of good cause for the extension.

All documents filed in NRC adjudicatory proceedings, including a request for hearing, a petition for leave to intervene, any motion or other document filed in the proceeding prior to the submission of a request for hearing or petition to intervene, and documents filed by interested governmental entities participating under 10 CFR 2.315(c), must be filed in accordance with the NRC E-Filing rule (72 FR 49139; August 28, 2007). The E-Filing process requires participants to

submit and serve all adjudicatory documents over the internet, or in some cases to mail copies on electronic storage media. Participants may not submit paper copies of their filings unless they seek an exemption in accordance with the procedures described below.

To comply with the procedural requirements of E-Filing, at least 10 days prior to the filing deadline, the participant should contact the Office of the Secretary by email at [hearing.docket@nrc.gov](mailto:hearing.docket@nrc.gov), or by telephone at 301-415-1677, to request (1) a digital identification (ID) certificate, which allows the participant (or its counsel or representative) to digitally sign documents and access the E-Submittal server for any proceeding in which it is participating; and (2) advise the Secretary that the participant will be submitting a request or petition for hearing (even in instances in which the participant, or its counsel or representative, already holds an NRC-issued digital ID certificate). Based upon this information, the Secretary will establish an electronic docket for the hearing in this proceeding if the Secretary has not already established an electronic docket.

Information about applying for a digital ID certificate is available on the NRC's public Web site at <http://www.nrc.gov/site-help/e-submittals/apply-certificates.html>. System requirements for accessing the E-Submittal server are detailed in the NRC's "Guidance for Electronic Submission," which is available on the agency's public Web site at <http://www.nrc.gov/site-help/e-submittals.html>. Participants may attempt to use other software not listed on the Web site, but should note that the NRC's E-Filing system does not support unlisted software, and the NRC Meta System Help Desk will not be able to offer assistance in using unlisted software.

If a participant is electronically submitting a document to the NRC in accordance with the E-Filing rule, the participant must file the document using the NRC's online, web-based submission form. In order to serve documents through the Electronic Information Exchange System, users will be required to install a web browser plug-in from the NRC's Web site.

Further information on the web-based submission form, including the installation of the Web browser plug-in, is available on the NRC's public Web site at <http://www.nrc.gov/site-help/e-submittals.html>.

Once a participant has obtained a digital ID certificate and a docket has

been created, the participant can then submit a request for hearing or petition for leave to intervene. Submissions should be in Portable Document Format (PDF) in accordance with the NRC guidance available on the NRC's public Web site at <http://www.nrc.gov/site-help/e-submittals.html>. A filing is considered complete at the time the documents are submitted through the NRC's E-Filing system. To be timely, an electronic filing must be submitted to the E-Filing system no later than 11:59 p.m. Eastern Time on the due date. Upon receipt of a transmission, the E-Filing system time-stamps the document and sends the submitter an email notice confirming receipt of the document. The E-Filing system also distributes an email notice that provides access to the document to the NRC's Office of the General Counsel and any others who have advised the Office of the Secretary that they wish to participate in the proceeding, so that the filer need not serve the documents on those participants separately. Therefore, applicants and other participants (or their counsel or representative) must apply for and receive a digital ID certificate before a hearing request/petition to intervene is filed so that they can obtain access to the document via the E-Filing system.

A person filing electronically using the agency's adjudicatory E-Filing system may seek assistance by contacting the NRC Meta System Help Desk through the "Contact Us" link located on the NRC's Web site at <http://www.nrc.gov/site-help/e-submittals.html>, by email at [MSHD.Resource@nrc.gov](mailto:MSHD.Resource@nrc.gov), or by a toll-free call at 1-866-672-7640. The NRC Meta System Help Desk is available between 8 a.m. and 8 p.m., Eastern Time, Monday through Friday, excluding government holidays.

Participants who believe that they have a good cause for not submitting documents electronically must file an exemption request, in accordance with 10 CFR 2.302(g), with their initial paper filing requesting authorization to continue to submit documents in paper format. Such filings must be submitted by: (1) First class mail addressed to the Office of the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, Attention: Rulemaking and Adjudications Staff; or (2) courier, express mail, or expedited delivery service to the Office of the Secretary, Sixteenth Floor, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland, 20852, Attention: Rulemaking and Adjudications Staff. Participants filing a document in this

manner are responsible for serving the document on all other participants. Filing is considered complete by first-class mail as of the time of deposit in the mail, or by courier, express mail, or expedited delivery service upon depositing the document with the provider of the service. A presiding officer, having granted an exemption request from using E-Filing, may require a participant or party to use E-Filing if the presiding officer subsequently determines that the reason for granting the exemption from use of E-Filing no longer exists.

Documents submitted in adjudicatory proceedings will appear in the NRC's electronic hearing docket, which is available to the public at <http://ehd1.nrc.gov/ehd>, unless excluded pursuant to an order of the Commission, or the presiding officer. Participants are requested not to include personal privacy information, such as social security numbers, home addresses, or home phone numbers in their filings, unless an NRC regulation or other law requires submission of such information. With respect to copyrighted works, except for limited excerpts that serve the purpose of the adjudicatory filings and would constitute a Fair Use application, participants are requested not to include copyrighted materials in their submission.

If a person other than Mr. Goold requests a hearing, that person shall set forth with particularity the manner in which his interest is adversely affected by this Order and shall address the criteria set forth in 10 CFR 2.309(d) and (f).

If a hearing is requested by the recipient or a person whose interest is adversely affected, the Commission will issue an Order designating the time and place of any hearings. If a hearing is held, the issue to be considered at such hearing shall be whether this Order should be sustained. In the absence of any request for hearing, or written approval of an extension of time in which to request a hearing, the provisions specified in Section IV above shall be final 20 days from the date this Order is published in the **Federal Register** without further order or proceedings. If an extension of time for requesting a hearing has been approved, the provisions specified in Section IV shall be final when the extension expires if a hearing request has not been received.

Dated this 25th day of June 2012.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.  
**Roy P. Zimmerman,**  
*Director, Office of Enforcement.*  
 [FR Doc. 2012-16172 Filed 6-29-12; 8:45 am]  
 BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

## OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH REVIEW COMMISSION

### Proposed Information Collection; Comment Request

**AGENCY:** Occupational Safety and Health Review Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice of request for public comment and submission to OMB of proposed collection of information.

**SUMMARY:** In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA) (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), on April 4, 2012 (77 FR 20442), the Occupational Safety and Health Review Commission (OSHRC) published a 60-day notice in the **Federal Register** soliciting public comment on the proposed information collection described below.

In further compliance with the PRA, OSHRC now publishes this second notice announcing the submission of its proposed collection to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and notifying the public about how to submit comments on the proposed collection to OMB during the 30-day comment period.

**DATES:** Comments must be submitted to OMB on or before August 1, 2012.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit all comments to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: OMB Desk Officer for the Occupational Safety and Health Review Commission, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503, Telephone: (202) 395-6929/Fax: (202) 395-6881 (these are not toll-free numbers), email: [OIRA\\_submission@omb.eop.gov](mailto:OIRA_submission@omb.eop.gov).

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Requests for information or copies of the proposed information collection instrument should be directed to John X. Cerveney, Deputy Executive Secretary, Occupational Safety and Health Review Commission, 1120 20th Street NW., Ninth Floor, Washington, DC 20036-3457; Telephone (202) 606-5706; email address: [pracomment@oshrc.gov](mailto:pracomment@oshrc.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** OSHRC's Settlement Part program, codified at 29 CFR 2200.120, is designed to encourage settlements on contested citations issued by the U.S. Department of Labor's Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) and to reduce litigation costs. The program requires employers who receive job safety or

health citations that include proposed penalties of \$100,000 or more in total to participate in formal settlement talks presided over by an OSHRC Administrative Law Judge. If settlement efforts fail, the case would continue under OSHRC's conventional proceedings, usually before a judge other than the one who presided over the settlement proceedings.

OSHRC previously submitted for OMB review a proposed information collection from participants in the Settlement Part program. A copy of that information collection request (ICR), reference number 201202-3202-001, with applicable supporting documentation, may be obtained from the RegInfo.gov Web site, <http://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain>, or by contacting John X. Cerveney, Deputy Executive Secretary, Occupational Safety and Health Review Commission, 1120 20th Street NW., Ninth Floor, Washington, DC 20036-3457; Telephone (202) 606-5706; email address: [pracomment@oshrc.gov](mailto:pracomment@oshrc.gov).

OSHRC proposes to conduct a second voluntary survey of employer, Department of Labor (OSHA) personnel (decision makers), Authorized Employee Representatives, and their representatives, including attorneys, who personally participated in OSHRC cases between February 15, 2011 and June 30, 2012, where a total proposed penalty between \$50,000 and \$99,999 was involved and where OSHRC Settlement Part Process procedures were not used. The cases would include those settled by the parties without an OSHRC judge conducting a face-to-face settlement proceeding, as well as any cases within the above dollar range that went to a trial on the merits. These cases would be considered part of a control group. Participant responses will be used for comparative purposes and to facilitate our understanding of the efficacy of the Settlement Part program. The proposed information collection instrument is a written survey consisting of a series of questions to determine participants' level of satisfaction with OSHRC processes and outcomes. They are intended to take a respondent no more than 30 minutes to complete. The respondents may skip any questions that they do not feel comfortable answering, and are permitted to comment further on their experiences at the end of the questionnaire.

OSHRC has submitted this second proposed information collection to OMB for review, as required by the PRA. OSHRC invites comments to be submitted to OMB on: (1) Whether the proposed collection of information is

necessary for the proper performance of the agency's functions, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of the information collection on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques, when appropriate, and other forms of information technology.

**OMB Control Number:** Not applicable, new request.

**Form Number:** Not applicable.

**Type of Review:** Regular submission (new information collection).

**Title:** Survey of Participants in OSHRC Conventional Proceedings where between \$50,000 and \$99,999 is at issue.

**Description:** Information collection required to evaluate the Review Commission's Settlement Part process.

**Affected Public:** Employer and Department of Labor (OSHA) personnel (decision makers), Authorized Employee Representatives, and their representatives, including attorneys, who have personally participated in OSHRC cases between February 15, 2011 and June 30, 2012, where a total proposed penalty between \$50,000 and \$99,999 was involved and where OSHRC Settlement Part Process procedures were not used.

**Estimated Number of Respondents:** 300.

**Estimated Time per Response:** 30 minutes.

**Estimated Total Reporting Burden:** 150 hours.

**Obligation to respond:** Voluntary.

Dated: June 26, 2012.

**Debra Hall,**

*Executive Director.*

[FR Doc. 2012-16158 Filed 6-29-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7600-01-P

## POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. CP2012-39; Order No. 1382]

### Express Mail Rates

**AGENCY:** Postal Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission is noticing a recently-filed Postal Service request to implement prices for a new Express Mail Padded Flat Rate Envelope. This notice addresses procedural steps associated with these filings.