

Secretary, and should be received not later than 5:15 p.m., January 21, 2014. All written submissions must conform to the provisions of section 201.8 of the Commission's *Rules of Practice and Procedure* (19 CFR 201.8). Section 201.8 and the Commission's Handbook on Filing Procedures require that interested parties file documents electronically on or before the filing deadline and submit eight (8) true paper copies by 12:00 noon eastern time on the next business day. In the event that confidential treatment of a document is requested, interested parties must file, at the same time as the eight paper copies, at least four (4) additional true paper copies in which the confidential information must be deleted (see the following paragraph for further information regarding confidential business information). Persons with questions regarding electronic filing should contact the Secretary (202–205–2000).

Any submissions that contain confidential business information (CBI) must also conform with the requirements of section 201.6 of the Commission's *Rules of Practice and Procedure* (19 CFR 201.6). Section 201.6 of the rules requires that the cover of the document and the individual pages be clearly marked as to whether they are the "confidential" or "non-confidential" version, and that the confidential business information be clearly identified by means of brackets. All written submissions, except for confidential business information, will be made available for inspection by interested parties. In his request letter the USTR said that it is the intent of his office to make the Commission's report in the first investigation, No. 332–542 *AGOA: Trade and Investment Performance Overview*, available to the public in its entirety, and asked that the Commission not include any confidential business information or national security classified information in the report that it sends to the USTR. Any confidential business information received by the Commission in this investigation and used in preparing this report will not be published in a manner that would reveal the operations of the firm supplying the information. The Commission may include some or all of the confidential business information submitted in the course of investigation Nos. 332–544, 332–545, and 332–546 in the reports it sends to the USTR in those investigations. The Commission will not otherwise publish any confidential business information in a manner that would reveal the operations of the firm supplying the information.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: November 13, 2013.

Lisa R. Barton,

Acting Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2013–27575 Filed 11–18–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–850]

Certain Electronic Imaging Devices; Notice of Request for Statements on the Public Interest

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the presiding administrative law judge has issued a Final Initial Determination and Recommended Determination on Remedy and Bonding in the above-captioned investigation. The Commission is soliciting comments on public interest issues raised by the recommended relief, specifically the limited exclusion order ("LEO") recommended by the ALJ. This notice is soliciting public interest comments from the public only. Parties are to file public interest submissions pursuant to 19 CFR 210.50(a)(4).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jia Chen, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708–4737. The public version of the complaint can be accessed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>, and will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000.

General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 provides that if the Commission finds a violation it shall exclude the articles concerned from the United States:

unless, after considering the effect of such exclusion upon the public health and

welfare, competitive conditions in the United States economy, the production of like or directly competitive articles in the United States, and United States consumers, it finds that such articles should not be excluded from entry.

19 U.S.C. 1337(d)(1). A similar provision applies to cease and desist orders. 19 U.S.C. 1337(f)(1).

The Commission is interested in further development of the record on the public interest in these investigations. Accordingly, members of the public are invited to file submissions of no more than five (5) pages, inclusive of attachments, concerning the public interest in light of the administrative law judge's Recommended Determination on Remedy and Bonding issued in this investigation on September 30, 2013. Comments should address whether issuance of a LEO in this investigation would affect the public health and welfare in the United States, competitive conditions in the United States economy, the production of like or directly competitive articles in the United States, or United States consumers.

In particular, the Commission is interested in comments that:

(i) Explain how the articles potentially subject to the recommended orders are used in the United States;

(ii) identify any public health, safety, or welfare concerns in the United States relating to the recommended orders;

(iii) identify like or directly competitive articles that complainant, its licensees, or third parties make in the United States which could replace the subject articles if they were to be excluded;

(iv) indicate whether complainant, complainant's licensees, and/or third party suppliers have the capacity to replace the volume of articles potentially subject to the recommended exclusion order and/or a cease and desist order within a commercially reasonable time; and

(v) explain how the LEO would impact consumers in the United States. Written submissions must be filed no later than by close of business on November 21, 2013.

Persons filing written submissions must file the original document electronically on or before the deadlines stated above and submit 8 true paper copies to the Office of the Secretary by noon the next day pursuant to section 210.4(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.4(f)). Submissions should refer to the investigation number ("Inv. No. 337–TA–850") in a prominent place on the cover page and/or the first page.

(See Handbook for Electronic Filing Procedures, http://www.usitc.gov/secretary/fed_reg_notices/rules/handbook_on_electronic_filing.pdf). Persons with questions regarding filing should contact the Secretary (202–205–2000).

Any person desiring to submit a document to the Commission in confidence must request confidential treatment. All such requests should be directed to the Secretary to the Commission and must include a full statement of the reasons why the Commission should grant such treatment. See 19 CFR 201.6. Documents for which confidential treatment by the Commission is properly sought will be treated accordingly. A redacted non-confidential version of the document must also be filed simultaneously with the any confidential filing. All non-confidential written submissions will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Secretary and on EDIS.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and of sections 201.10 and 210.50 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 201.10, 210.50).

By order of the Commission.
Issued: November 14, 2013.

Lisa R. Barton,

Acting Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2013–27666 Filed 11–18–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Proposed Consent Decree Under the Clean Water Act

On November 13, 2013, the Department of Justice lodged a proposed Consent Decree with the United States District Court for the Western District of Louisiana in the lawsuit entitled *The United States and The State of Louisiana v. The City of Shreveport, Louisiana*, Case No: 5:13–cv–03065. The Consent Decree resolves the claims of Plaintiffs in the complaint against The City of Shreveport, for Shreveport's sanitary sewer overflows in violation of Sections 301 and 309 of the Clean Water Act, 42 U.S.C. 1311 and 1319, and the terms and conditions of Louisiana Pollutant Discharge Elimination permits issued to the City under Section 402 of the Clean Water Act, 42 U.S.C. 1342. Under the proposed Consent Decree, Shreveport has agreed to pay a civil penalty of \$650,000 and perform remediation of its wastewater collection treatment system, including the Lucas

and North Regional treatment plants, estimated to cost approximately \$141 million.

The publication of this notice opens a period for public comment on the Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and should refer to *The United States and the State of Louisiana v. The City of Shreveport, Louisiana*, DJ#: 90–5–1–1–2767/1. All comments must be submitted no later than thirty (30) days after the publication date of this notice. Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail:

<i>To submit comments:</i>	<i>Send them to:</i>
By email	pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov .
By mail	Assistant Attorney General, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, D.C. 20044–7611.

During the public comment period, the Consent Decree may be examined and downloaded at this Justice Department Web site: <http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/Consent DECREES.html>. We will provide a paper copy of the Consent Decree upon written request and payment of reproduction costs. Please mail your request and payment to: Consent Decree Library, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044–7611.

Please enclose a check or money order for \$36.50 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the United States Treasury.

Thomas P. Carroll,

Assistant Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 2013–27674 Filed 11–18–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–15–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

Wheatland Pharmacy; Decision and Order

On July 17, 2012, the Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration, issued an Order to Show Cause to Wheatland Pharmacy (Applicant), of Dallas, Texas. The Show Cause Order proposed the denial of Applicant's pending application for a DEA Certificate of Registration as a retail pharmacy on the ground that its registration "would be inconsistent with

the public interest," as defined in 21 U.S.C. 823(f). GX 7, at 1.

The Show Cause Order alleged that on September 29, 2010, the Administrator issued an Order to Show Cause and Immediate Suspension of Registration to Applicant, and that, on January 18, 2011, Applicant voluntarily surrendered its previous registration. *Id.* at 1–2. Specifically, the Show Cause Order alleged that Lynn Michelle Clark, Applicant's owner/pharmacist, "unlawfully filled numerous fraudulent controlled substance prescriptions for individuals known to divert these drugs," and that she "knew or should have known that these prescriptions were fraudulent." *Id.* at 1. The Show Cause Order further alleged that "Ms. Clark failed to fulfill her responsibility to dispense controlled substances only pursuant to a prescription issued for a legitimate medical purpose in the usual course of professional practice" and that she "also violated federal law by delivering prescriptions for controlled substances to persons who were not the ultimate users of the controlled substances." *Id.* at 1–2 (citing 21 U.S.C. 829, 841(a)(1), 842(a) and 802(10) & (27)). Finally, the Order alleged that on July 7, 2011, Ms. Clark submitted an application for a new registration on Applicant's behalf.¹ *Id.* at 1.

Thereafter, Applicant apparently requested a hearing on the allegations and the matter was placed on the docket of the Office of Administrative Law Judges. However, on October 4, 2012, Applicant moved for a stay of the proceeding pending action on its request to withdraw its application, and on October 5, 2012, the ALJ granted the motion. GX 14, at 1.

On November 7, 2012, the Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, denied Applicant's request to withdraw. GX 13, at 1. Thereafter, on November 26, 2012, Applicant filed with the ALJ a letter waiving its right to a hearing, citing 21 CFR 1301.43(e). GX 13, at 3. The next day, the ALJ found that Applicant had waived its right to a hearing; the ALJ thus lifted the stay of the proceeding and ordered that the proceeding be terminated. GX 14.

On June 12, 2013, the Government filed a Request for Final Agency Action and the Investigative Record with this Office. Req. for Final Agency Action, at 14. Therein, the Government requests that I deny Applicant's pending

¹ The Show Cause Order also notified Applicant of its right to request a hearing on the allegations or to submit a written statement in lieu of a hearing, the procedure for electing either option, and the consequences for failing to do so. See 21 CFR 1301.43.