The meeting will be conducted pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the Commission.

Dated in Washington, DC, April 8, 2003. **Ivy L. Davis**,

Chief, Regional Programs Coordination Unit. [FR Doc. 03–10647 Filed 4–29–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6335–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

[I.D. 042403B]

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Department of Commerce has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Agency: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.

Title: StormReady and TsunamiReady/StormReady Application Forms.

Form Number(s): None.

OMB Approval Number: 0648–0419. Type of Request: Regular submission. Burden Hours: 75.

Number of Respondents: 75. Average Hours Per Response: 1 hour.

Needs and Uses: StormReady and TsunamiReady are voluntary programs offered as a means of providing guidance and incentive to officials interested in improving their respective hazardous weather operations. The StormReady Application Form and TsunamiReady/StormReady Application Form will be used by localities to apply for initial StormReady or TsunamiReady and StormReady recognition and renewal of that recognition every three years. The government will use the information collected by the StormReady or TsunamiReady/ StormReady Application Form to determine whether a community has met all of the criteria to receive StormReady and/or TsunamiReady recognition.

Affected Public: State, Local, or Tribal Government.

Frequency: Every 3 years.
Respondent's Obligation: Voluntary.
OMB Desk Officer: David Rostker,
(202) 395–3897.

Copies of the above information collection proposal can be obtained by calling or writing Diana Hynek, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, (202) 482–0266, Department of Commerce, Room 6625, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230 (or via the Internet at dHynek@doc.gov).

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to David Rostker, OMB Desk Officer, Room 10202, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503.

Dated: April 22, 2003.

Gwellnar Banks,

Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 03–10675 Filed 4–29–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–22–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

[I.D. 042403D]

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Department of Commerce has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Agency: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA).

Title: Designation of Fishery Management Council Members and Application for Reinstatement of State Authority.

Form Number(s): None. OMB Approval Number: 0648–0314. Type of Request: Regular submission. Burden Hours: 4,543.

Number of Respondents: 142.

Average Hours Per Response: 1 hour to designate a principal state fishery official(s); 80 hours for a nomination for a Council appointment; 16 hours for background documentation from nominees; and 1 hour for a request to reinstate state authority.

Needs and Uses: The Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (Magnuson-Stevens Act), as amended in 1996, provides for the nomination for members of Fishery Management Councils by state governors and Indian treaty tribes, for the designation of a principal state fishery official who will perform duties under the Magnuson-Stevens Act, and for a request by a state for reinstatement of state authority over a managed fishery. Nominees for council membership must provide the governor or tribe with background documentation. The State governors and Indian treaty tribes submit written nominations to the Secretary of Commerce, together with recommendations and statements of candidate qualifications. Designations of state officials and requests for

reinstatement of state authority are also made in writing in response to regulations. No forms are used. The information submitted with these actions will be used to ensure that the requirements of the Magnuson-Stevens Act are being met.

Affected Public: State, Local, or Tribal government.

Frequency: Annually and on occasion. Respondent's Obligation: Mandatory. OMB Desk Officer: David Rostker, (202) 395–3897.

Copies of the above information collection proposal can be obtained by calling or writing Diana Hynek, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, (202) 482–0266, Department of Commerce, Room 6625, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230 (or via the Internet at dHynek@doc.gov).

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to David Rostker, OMB Desk Officer, Room 10202, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503.

Dated: April 22, 2003.

Gwellnar Banks,

Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 03–10677 Filed 4–29–03; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 3510–22–S**

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Baylor College of Medicine, et al.; Notice of Consolidated Decision on Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Electron Microscopes

This is a decision consolidated pursuant to section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 a.m. and 5 p.m. in Suite 4100W, Franklin Court Building, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1099 14th Street, NW., Washington, DC.

Docket Number: 03–009. Applicant: Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX 77030. Instrument: Electron Microscope, Model JEM–1230. Manufacturer: JEOL Ltd., Japan. Intended Use: See notice at 68 FR 14197, March 24, 2003. Order Date: September 12, 2002.

Docket Number: 03–011. Applicant: Rice University, Houston, TX 77251– 1892. Instrument: Electron Microscope, Model JEM–2010. Manufacturer: JEOL Ltd., Japan. Intended Use: See notice at 68 FR 14197, March 24, 2003. *Order Date:* August 30, 2002.

Docket Number: 03–012. Applicant: Beckman Research Institute of the City of Hope National Medical Center, Duarte, CA 91010. Instrument: Electron Microscope, Model Tecnai G² 12 TWIN. Manufacturer: FEI Company, The Netherlands. Intended Use: See notice at 68 FR 14197, March 24, 2003. Order Date: December 20, 2002.

Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as these instruments are intended to be used, was being manufactured in the United States at the time the instruments were ordered. Reasons: Each foreign instrument is a conventional transmission electron microscope (CTEM) and is intended for research or scientific educational uses requiring a CTEM. We know of no CTEM, or any other instrument suited to these purposes, which was being manufactured in the United States at the time of order of each instrument.

Gerald A. Zerdy,

Program Manager, Statutory Import Programs Staff.

[FR Doc. 03–10672 Filed 4–29–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

The University of Louisiana at Lafayette; Notice of Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instrument

This decision is made pursuant to section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 a.m. and 5 p.m. in Suite 4100W, Franklin Court Building, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1099 14th Street, NW., Washington, DC.

Docket Number: 03–013. Applicant: The University of Louisiana at Lafayette, Lafayette, CA 70504. Instrument: Nuclear Microprobe System Accessories. Manufacturer: Oxford Microbeams Limited, United Kingdom. Intended Use: See notice at 68 FR 14197, March 24, 2003.

Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as it is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States. Reasons: These are compatible

accessories for an existing instrument purchased for the use of the applicant.

The accessories are pertinent to the intended uses and we know of no domestic accessories which can be readily adapted to the previously imported instrument.

Gerald A. Zerdy,

Program Manager, Statutory Import Programs Staff.

[FR Doc. 03–10673 Filed 4–29–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.

[I.D. 042403C]

Proposed Information Collection; Comment Request; Gulf of Mexico Reef Fish and Coastal Pelagics Economic Data Collection.

AGENCY: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA). **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)).

DATES: Written comments must be submitted on or before June 30, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Direct all written comments to Diana Hynek, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, Department of Commerce, Room 6625, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230 (or via the Internet at dHynek@doc.gov).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument and instructions should be directed to Jim Waters, Department of Commerce, NOAA, National Marine Fisheries Service, 101 Pivers Island Road, Beaufort, NC 28516–9722, (252–728–8710).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Abstract

The National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) proposes to collect information about vessel expenses and earnings in the Gulf of Mexico reef fish and coastal pelagics (mackerels) fisheries. The information will be used to conduct economic analyses that will improve

fishery management in those fisheries; satisfy NMFS' legal mandates under Executive Order 12866, the Magnuson-Steven Fishery Conservation and Management Act (U.S.C. 1801 et seq.), the Regulatory Flexibility Act, the Endangered Species Act, and the National Environmental Policy Act; and quantify achievement of the performances measures in the NMFS Strategic Operating Plans. These data will be collected in conjunction with catch and effort data already being collected in this fishery as part of its logbook program, and will be used to assess how fishermen will be impacted by and respond to regulations likely to be considered by fishery managers.

II. Method of Collection

Owners of selected vessels with Federal commercial permits in the Gulf of Mexico reef fish and coastal pelagics fisheries will be required to report information about trip costs, input usage, input prices, and dockside prices as part of the logbook reporting requirements in this fishery. In addition, these vessel owners will be required to complete and submit by mail a separate form about annual fixed cost information such as expenditures for repair and maintenance, gear purchase and repair, fishing licenses and permits, insurance, dock fees, repayment on boat and business loans, office expenses, etc.

III. Data

OMB Number: 0648–0016. Form Number: None. Type of Review: Regular submission. Affected Public: Business and other

for-profit organizations.

Estimated Number of Respondents:

350.

Estimated Time Per Response: 10 minutes per trip report, and 30 minutes for an annual fixed-cost survey.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 1,400.

Estimated Total Annual Cost to Public: \$0.

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques