

which are summarized as follows: (i) Evidence submitted in response to questionnaires; (ii) evidence submitted in support of allegations; (iii) publicly available information to value factors under 19 CFR 351.408(c) or to measure the adequacy of remuneration under 19 CFR 351.511(a)(2); (iv) evidence placed on the record by the Department; and (v) evidence other than factual information described in (i)–(iv). The final rule requires any party, when submitting factual information, to specify under which subsection of 19 CFR 351.102(b)(21) the information is being submitted and, if the information is submitted to rebut, clarify, or correct factual information already on the record, to provide an explanation identifying the information already on the record that the factual information seeks to rebut, clarify, or correct. The final rule also modified 19 CFR 351.301 so that, rather than providing general time limits, there are specific time limits based on the type of factual information being submitted. These modifications are effective for all proceeding segments initiated on or after May 10, 2013, and thus are applicable to these investigations. Please review the final rule, available at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/2013/1304frn/2013-08227.txt>, prior to submitting factual information for these investigations.

Extension of Time Limits

On September 20, 2013, the Department published *Extension of Time Limits, Final Rule*, 78 FR 57790 (September 20, 2013), which modified one regulation related to AD and CVD proceedings regarding the extension of time limits for submissions in such proceedings (19 CFR 351.302(c)). These modifications are effective for all proceeding segments initiated on or after October 21, 2013, and thus are applicable to this investigation. Please review the final rule, available at <http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2013-09-20/html/2013-22853.htm> prior to requesting an extension.

Certification Requirements

Any party submitting factual information in an AD or CVD proceeding must certify to the accuracy and completeness of that information.²⁴ Parties are hereby reminded that revised certification requirements are in effect for company/government officials as well as their representatives in all AD or CVD investigations or proceedings initiated on or after August 16, 2013,

including these investigations.²⁵ The formats for the revised certifications are provided at the end of the *Final Rule*. The Department intends to reject factual submissions if the submitting party does not comply with the revised certification requirements.

Notification to Interested Parties

Interested parties must submit applications for disclosure under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. On January 22, 2008, the Department published *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Documents Submission Procedures; APO Procedures*, 73 FR 3634 (January 22, 2008). Parties wishing to participate in these investigations should ensure that they meet the requirements of these procedures (e.g., the filing of letters of appearance as discussed at 19 CFR 351.103(d)).

This notice is issued and published pursuant to section 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: October 23, 2013.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Attachment I

Scope of the Investigations

The scope of these investigations covers monosodium glutamate (“MSG”), whether or not blended or in solution with other products. Specifically, MSG that has been blended or is in solution with other product(s) is included in this scope when the resulting mix contains 15% or more of MSG by dry weight. Products with which MSG may be blended include, but are not limited to, salts, sugars, starches, maltodextrins, and various seasonings. Further, MSG is included in these investigations regardless of physical form (including, but not limited to, substrates, solutions, dry powders of any particle size, or unfinished forms such as MSG slurry), end-use application, or packaging.

MSG has a molecular formula of $C_5H_8NO_4Na$, a Chemical Abstract Service (“CAS”) registry number of 6106–04–3, and a Unique Ingredient Identifier (“UNII”) number of W81N5U6R6U.

Merchandise covered by the scope of these investigations is currently classified in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule (“HTS”) of the United States at subheading 2922.42.10.00. Merchandise subject to the investigations may also enter under HTS subheadings 2922.42.50.00, 2103.90.72.00, 2103.90.74.00, 2103.90.78.00, 2103.90.80.00, and 2103.90.90.91. The tariff classifications, CAS registry number, and UNII number are provided for convenience and customs

purposes; however, the written description of the scope is dispositive.

[FR Doc. 2013–25823 Filed 10–30–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–549–502]

Circular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes From Thailand: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2011–2012

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, formerly Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On April 9, 2013, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on circular welded carbon steel pipes and tubes from Thailand. This review covers two producers and/or exporters of the subject merchandise, Saha Thai Steel Pipe (Public) Company, Ltd. (Saha Thai), and Pacific Pipe Company Limited (Pacific Pipe). The period of review (POR) is March 1, 2011, through February 29, 2012. The Department received comments from interested parties. For the final results we continue to find that Saha Thai has not sold subject merchandise at less than normal value (NV), and that Pacific Pipe had no shipments of subject merchandise during the POR.

DATES: *Effective Date:* October 31, 2013.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jun Jack Zhao or Mark Hoadley, AD/CVD Operations, Office 6, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–1396 or (202) 482–3148, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On April 9, 2013, the Department published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on circular welded carbon steel pipes and tubes from Thailand.¹ We invited interested parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results*. Saha Thai, Wheatland Tube Company, and United States Steel

²⁵ See *Certifications of Factual Information To Import Administration During Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings*, 78 FR 42678 (July 17, 2013) (Final Rule).

¹ See *Circular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes from Thailand: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2011–2012*, 78 FR 21105 (April 9, 2013) (*Preliminary Results*).

²⁴ See section 782(b) of the Act.

Corporation each submitted case brief on May 9, 2013, and submitted rebuttal briefs on May 21, 2013,² with respect to the *Preliminary Results*.

As explained in the memorandum from the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, the Department has exercised its discretion to toll deadlines for the duration of the closure of the Federal Government from October 1, through October 16, 2013.³ Therefore, all deadlines in this segment of the proceeding have been extended by 16 days. The revised deadline for the final results of this review is now October 23, 2013.

The Department has conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

Scope of the Order

The products covered by the antidumping order are certain circular welded carbon steel pipes and tubes from Thailand.⁴ The merchandise is classifiable under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) item numbers 7306.30.1000, 7306.30.5025, 7306.30.5032, 7306.30.5040, 7306.30.5055, 7306.30.5085 and 7306.30.5090. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and purposes of U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP), the written description of the merchandise subject to the order is dispositive. A full description of the scope of the order is contained in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, which is hereby adopted by this notice.

Final Determination of No Shipments

For the final results of this review, we continue to find that Pacific Pipe had no shipments during the POR.⁵

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties to this review are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. A list of issues that

parties raised and to which we respond in the Issues and Decision Memorandum is attached to this notice as an Appendix. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (IA ACCESS). IA ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://iaaccess.trade.gov>, and is available to all parties in the Central Records Unit, room 7046 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Internet at <http://trade.gov/enforcement/>. The signed Issues and Decision Memorandum and the electronic versions of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on a review of the record as well as comments received from parties regarding the *Preliminary Results*, we made two revisions to Saha Thai's margin calculation for the final results. We revised the differential pricing analysis to define purchaser based on Saha Thai's reported consolidated customer code in the U.S. market, and we revised Saha Thai's general and administrative expenses.⁶

Final Results of Review

As a result of our review, we determine the following weighted-average dumping margins exist for the period March 1, 2011, through February 29, 2012.

Producer/exporter	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Saha Thai Steel Pipe (Public) Company, Ltd.	0.00
Pacific Pipe Company Limited	*

* No shipments or sales subject to this review. The firm has an individual rate from the last segment of the proceeding in which the firm had shipments or sales.

Assessment Rates

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2) and the *Final Modification for Reviews*,⁷ the Department will

⁶ See Saha Thai's Final Analysis Memorandum and Memorandum to the File, entitled "Final Results of the Administrative Review of Circular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes from Thailand: Analysis Memorandum for Saha Thai Steel Pipe (Public) Company, Ltd.," dated concurrently with this notice.

⁷ See *Antidumping Proceedings: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and*

instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to liquidate appropriate entries for Saha Thai without regard to antidumping duties.

The Department clarified its "automatic assessment" regulation on May 6, 2003.⁸ This clarification applies to entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by Saha Thai for which it did not know its merchandise was destined for the United States. In such instances, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.

Consistent with the *Assessment Policy Notice*, because we continue to find that Pacific Pipe had no shipments of subject merchandise to the United States, we will instruct CBP to liquidate all applicable entries of merchandise produced by Pacific Pipe and exported by other parties at the rate for the intermediate reseller, if available, or at the all-others rate.

We intend to issue instructions to CBP 15 days after publication of the final results of this review.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the notice of final results of administrative review for all shipments of circular welded carbon steel pipes and tubes from Thailand entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication as provided by section 751(a)(2) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for Saha Thai will be 0.00 percent, the weighted-average dumping margin established in the final results of this administrative review; (2) for Pacific Pipe and previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the less than fair value (LTFV) investigation, but the manufacturer is, then the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this or any previous review or the LTFV investigation, then

Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Proceedings: Final Modification, 77 FR 8101, 8102 (February 14, 2012) (*Final Modification for Reviews*).

⁸ For a full discussion of this clarification, see *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003) (*Assessment Policy Notice*).

² Wheatland Tube Company resubmitted its rebuttal brief on July 10, 2013, with certain bracketing of information removed pursuant to the instructions of the Department.

³ See Memorandum for the Record from Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, "Deadlines Affected by the Shutdown of the Federal Government" (October 18, 2013).

⁴ See Memorandum to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, entitled "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Circular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes from Thailand: 2011–2012 Administrative Review," dated concurrently with this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum), for a complete description of the scope of the order.

⁵ See *Preliminary Results*, 78 FR at 21105.

the cash deposit rate will be the “all-others” rate of 15.67 percent established in the LTFV investigation.⁹ These deposit rates, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notifications

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

The Department is issuing and publishing these final results of administrative review in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: October 23, 2013.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- Comment 1: Issues with the Differential Pricing Analysis
- Comment 2: Withdrawal of the Targeted Dumping Regulation
- Comment 3: Use of an Alternative Comparison Method in Administrative Reviews
- Comment 4: Denial of Offsets with the Average-to-Transaction Comparison Method
- Comment 5: Freight Revenue Cap
- Comment 6: Date of Sale for Saha Thai’s U.S. Sales
- Comment 7: Saha Thai’s Grade Distinctions
- Comment 8: “Schedule” as a Model Matching Characteristic
- Comment 9: Warehouse Costs Incurred on Painted Products
- Comment 10: Treatment of Non-Prime Products in Calculating the Cost of Production

⁹ See *Antidumping Duty Order: Circular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes from Thailand*, 51 FR 8341 (March 11, 1986).

Comment 11: Steel Scrap Offset
 Comment 12: General and Administrative Expense Ratio (Warehouse Rental Income and Expense)
 Comment 13: Zinc Scrap Offset
 [FR Doc. 2013–25808 Filed 10–30–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–570–901]

Certain Lined Paper Products From the People’s Republic of China: Notice of Final Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2011–2012

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, formerly Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On June 10, 2013, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the **Federal Register** its preliminary results of the sixth administrative review of the antidumping duty order¹ on certain lined paper products from the People’s Republic of China (PRC).² The period of review (POR) is September 1, 2011, through August 31, 2012. We invited parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results*, however, no party submitted any comments. The current review covers three exporters: Leo’s Quality Products Co., Ltd./Denmax Plastic Stationery Factory (Leo/Denmax), Shanghai Lian Li Paper Products Co., Ltd. (Lian Li), and Hwa Fuh Plastics Co., Ltd./Li Teng Plastics (Shenzhen) Co., Ltd. (Hwa Fuh/Li Teng). For these final results, we made no changes to our preliminary results. We are rescinding the review with respect to Hwa Fuh/Li Teng. We continue to find Leo/Denmax to be part of the PRC-wide entity. In addition, we continue to find that Lian Li made no shipments to the United States during the POR and will retain its separate rate status.

DATES: *Effective Date:* October 31, 2013.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Cindy Robinson, AD/CVD Operations,

¹ See *Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Certain Lined Paper Products from the People’s Republic of China; Notice of Antidumping Duty Orders: Certain Lined Paper Products from India, Indonesia, and the People’s Republic of China; and Notice of Countervailing Duty Orders: Certain Lined Paper Products from India and Indonesia*, 71 FR 56949 (September 28, 2006).

² See *Certain Lined Paper Products from the People’s Republic of China: Preliminary Results and Rescission in Part of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2011–2012*, 78 FR 34640 (June 10, 2013) (*Preliminary Results*).

Office III, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–3797.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On June 10, 2013, the Department published the *Preliminary Results*. We invited interested parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results*, but we received no comments. The Department has conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

In the *Preliminary Results*, the Department found that Lian Li made no shipments to the United States during the POR and preliminarily determined not to rescind the review with respect to Lian Li, but to issue appropriate instructions to U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) based on the final results of the review.³ In addition, the Department preliminarily rescinded this review with respect to Hwa Fuh/Li Teng because the questionnaire sent to this company was returned to the Department because of an undeliverable address. Hwa Fuh/Li Teng has no valid address and could not be contacted. In addition, because Leo/Denmax did not respond to the Department’s questionnaire nor did it submit a proper no shipments notification to the Department, we preliminarily determined that Leo/Denmax failed to demonstrate that it operates free from government control. Thus, we preliminarily determined Leo/Denmax to be part of the PRC-wide entity.⁴ We invited interested parties to submit comments on our *Preliminary Results*, but we received no comments. Therefore, for these final results, we continue to find Leo/Denmax to be part of the PRC-wide entity.

As explained in the memorandum from the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, the Department has exercised its discretion to toll deadlines for the duration of the closure of the Federal Government from October 1, through October 16, 2013.⁵ Therefore, all deadlines in this segment of the proceeding have been extended by 16 days. If the new deadline falls on a non-business day, in accordance with the Department’s practice, the deadline will become the next business day. The

³ *Id.*

⁴ *Id.*

⁵ See Memorandum for the Record from Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, “Deadlines Affected by the Shutdown of the Federal Government” (October 18, 2013).