

promulgate a proposed rule on the issue of whether the GHG Reporting Rule should be amended by the inclusion of proposed amendments of the same substance as set forth in Attachment A to the proposed settlement agreement. Under the proposed settlement agreement, Petitioners would dismiss their claims if EPA promulgates in final form an amendment to the GHG Reporting Rule that includes changes that are substantially the same substance as set forth in Attachment A to the proposed settlement agreement. The proposed settlement agreement further states that in the event not all such changes are made that are substantially the same substance as set forth in Attachment A to the proposed settlement agreement, the Petitioners would file a stipulation of dismissal for the issues that correspond to such changes that were made, and for those issues that were not resolved, with the creation of a new docket for those issues that were not resolved.

Nothing in the proposed settlement agreement limits or modifies EPA's discretion under the Clean Air Act in either the related notice and comment rulemaking process or otherwise. The EPA reserves the discretion to promulgate a final rule relating to any amendment to or revision of the GHG Reporting Rule and by this proposed settlement agreement has not obligated itself to issue any final rule which includes the proposed changes contained in Attachment A to the proposed settlement agreement.

For a period of thirty (30) days following the date of publication of this notice, the Agency will accept written comments relating to the proposed settlement agreement from persons who were not named as parties or intervenors to the litigation in question. EPA or the Department of Justice may withdraw or withhold consent to the proposed settlement agreement if the comments disclose facts or considerations that indicate that such consent is inappropriate, improper, inadequate, or inconsistent with the requirements of the Act. Unless EPA or the Department of Justice determines that consent to this settlement agreement should be withdrawn, the terms of the agreement will be affirmed.

## II. Additional Information About Commenting on the Proposed Settlement Agreement

### A. How can I get a copy of the settlement agreement?

The official public docket for this action (identified by Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OGC-2013-0181) contains a

copy of the proposed settlement agreement. The official public docket is available for public viewing at the Office of Environmental Information (OEI) Docket in the EPA Docket Center, EPA West, Room 3334, 1301 Constitution Ave. NW., Washington, DC. The EPA Docket Center Public Reading Room is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The telephone number for the Public Reading Room is (202) 566-1744, and the telephone number for the OEI Docket is (202) 566-1752.

An electronic version of the public docket is available through [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov). You may use the [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) to submit or view public comments, access the index listing of the contents of the official public docket, and to access those documents in the public docket that are available electronically. Once in the system, key in the appropriate docket identification number then select "search".

It is important to note that EPA's policy is that public comments, whether submitted electronically or in paper, will be made available for public viewing online at [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) without change, unless the comment contains copyrighted material, CBI, or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Information claimed as CBI and other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute is not included in the official public docket or in the electronic public docket. EPA's policy is that copyrighted material, including copyrighted material contained in a public comment, will not be placed in EPA's electronic public docket but will be available only in printed, paper form in the official public docket. Although not all docket materials may be available electronically, you may still access any of the publicly available docket materials through the EPA Docket Center.

### B. How and to whom do I submit comments?

You may submit comments as provided in the **ADDRESSES** section. Please ensure that your comments are submitted within the specified comment period. Comments received after the close of the comment period will be marked "late." EPA is not required to consider these late comments.

If you submit an electronic comment, EPA recommends that you include your name, mailing address, and an email address or other contact information in the body of your comment and with any disk or CD ROM you submit. This

ensures that you can be identified as the submitter of the comment and allows EPA to contact you in case EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties or needs further information on the substance of your comment. Any identifying or contact information provided in the body of a comment will be included as part of the comment that is placed in the official public docket, and made available in EPA's electronic public docket. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment.

Use of the [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) Web site to submit comments to EPA electronically is EPA's preferred method for receiving comments. The electronic public docket system is an "anonymous access" system, which means EPA will not know your identity, email address, or other contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. In contrast to EPA's electronic public docket, EPA's electronic mail (email) system is not an "anonymous access" system. If you send an email comment directly to the Docket without going through [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov), your email address is automatically captured and included as part of the comment that is placed in the official public docket, and made available in EPA's electronic public docket.

Dated: September 20, 2013.

**Lorie J. Schmidt,**

*Associate General Counsel.*

[FR Doc. 2013-23690 Filed 9-26-13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA-HQ-OPP-2013-0152; FRL-9399-2]

### Registration Review; Draft Human Health and Ecological Risk Assessment; Notice of Availability

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** This notice announces the availability of EPA's draft human health and ecological risk assessments for the registration review for 2-(decylthio) ethanamine hydrochloride (DTEA-HCl), flumetsulam, paclobutrazol, and trinexapac-ethyl, and opens a public comment period on these documents. Registration review is EPA's periodic review of pesticide registrations to ensure that each pesticide continues to satisfy the statutory standard for registration, that is, the pesticide can

perform its intended function without unreasonable adverse effects on human health or the environment. As part of the registration review process, the Agency has completed comprehensive draft risk assessments for each of the subject chemicals and is making them available for public comment. After reviewing comments received during the public comment period, EPA will issue revised risk assessments, if appropriate, explain any changes to the draft risk assessments, and respond to comments and may request public input on risk mitigation before completing a proposed registration review decision for each of the subject chemicals. Through this program, EPA is ensuring that each pesticide's registration is based on current scientific and other knowledge, including its effects on human health and the environment.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before November 26, 2013.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit your comments, identified by docket identification (ID) number for the specific pesticide of interest provided in Table 1 in Unit III.A., by one of the following methods:

- **Federal eRulemaking Portal:** <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute.

- **Mail:** OPP Docket, Environmental Protection Agency Docket Center (EPA/DC), (28221T), 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW., Washington, DC 20460-0001.

- **Hand Delivery:** To make special arrangements for hand delivery or delivery of boxed information, please follow the instructions at <http://www.epa.gov/dockets/contacts.html>.

Additional instructions on commenting or visiting the docket, along with more information about dockets generally, is available at <http://www.epa.gov/dockets>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For pesticide specific information contact: The Chemical Review Manager listed in Table 1 in Unit III.A. for the pesticide of interest.

For general questions on the registration review program, contact: Jane Robbins, Pesticide Re-evaluation Division (7508P), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW., Washington, DC 20460-0001; telephone number: (703) 308-0048; fax number: (703) 305-8005; email address: [robbins.jane@epa.gov](mailto:robbins.jane@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

## I. General Information

### A. Does this action apply to me?

This action is directed to the public in general, and may be of interest to a wide range of stakeholders including environmental, human health, farm worker, and agricultural advocates; the chemical industry; pesticide users; and members of the public interested in the sale, distribution, or use of pesticides. Since others also may be interested, the Agency has not attempted to describe all the specific entities that may be affected by this action. If you have any questions regarding the applicability of this action to a particular entity, consult the Chemical Review Manager listed in Table 1 in Unit III.A. for the pesticide of interest.

### B. What should I consider as I prepare my comments for EPA?

1. **Submitting CBI.** Do not submit this information to EPA through [regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) or email. Clearly mark the part or all of the information that you claim to be CBI. For CBI in a disk or CD-ROM that you mail to EPA, mark the outside of the disk or CD-ROM as CBI and then identify electronically within the disk or CD-ROM the specific information that is claimed as CBI. In addition to one complete version of the comment that includes information claimed as CBI, a copy of the comment that does not contain the information claimed as CBI must be submitted for inclusion in the public docket. Information so marked will not be disclosed except in accordance with procedures set forth in 40 CFR part 2.

2. **Tips for preparing your comments.** When submitting comments, remember to:

- i. Identify the document by docket ID number and other identifying information (subject heading, **Federal Register** date and page number).

- ii. Follow directions. The Agency may ask you to respond to specific questions or organize comments by referencing a Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) part or section number.

- iii. Explain why you agree or disagree; suggest alternatives and substitute language for your requested changes.

- iv. Describe any assumptions and provide any technical information and/or data that you used.

- v. If you estimate potential costs or burdens, explain how you arrived at your estimate in sufficient detail to allow for it to be reproduced.

- vi. Provide specific examples to illustrate your concerns and suggest alternatives.

- vii. Explain your views as clearly as possible, avoiding the use of profanity or personal threats.

- viii. Make sure to submit your comments by the comment period deadline identified.

3. **Environmental justice.** EPA seeks to achieve environmental justice, the fair treatment and meaningful involvement of any group, including minority and/or low income populations, in the development, implementation, and enforcement of environmental laws, regulations, and policies. To help address potential environmental justice issues, the Agency seeks information on any groups or segments of the population who, as a result of their location, cultural practices, or other factors, may have a typical or disproportionately high and adverse human health impacts or environmental effects from exposure to the pesticides discussed in this document, compared to the general population.

## II. Authority

EPA is conducting its registration review of the pesticides identified in this document pursuant to section 3(g) of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) and the Procedural Regulations for Registration Review at 40 CFR part 155, subpart C. Section 3(g) of FIFRA provides, among other things, that the registrations of pesticides are to be reviewed every 15 years. Under FIFRA, a pesticide product may be registered or remain registered only if it meets the statutory standard for registration given in FIFRA section 3(c)(5). When used in accordance with widespread and commonly recognized practice, the pesticide product must perform its intended function without unreasonable adverse effects on the environment; that is, without any unreasonable risk to man or the environment, or a human dietary risk from residues that result from the use of a pesticide in or on food.

## III. Registration Reviews

### A. What action is the agency taking?

As directed by FIFRA section 3(g), EPA is reviewing the pesticide registrations for 2-(decylthio) ethanamine hydrochloride (DTEA-HCl), flumetsulam, paclobutrazol, and trinexapac-ethyl to ensure they continue to satisfy the FIFRA standard for registration—that is, that these pesticides can still be used without unreasonable adverse effects on human health or the environment.

At this stage in the registration review process, consistent with the changes to the registration review process

announced on March 27, 2013, jointly developed with the U.S. Department of Agriculture, the National Marine Fisheries Service, and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (“the Services”) to enhance opportunities for stakeholder input during pesticide registration reviews and endangered species consultations, draft environmental risk assessments include an evaluation of the potential risks to federally listed endangered and threatened species (hereafter referred to as “listed species”). EPA intends to complete refined assessments of potential risks to individual listed species, as needed. The refined listed species assessments will be based on the recommendations of the National Research Council (NRC), which has been tasked with providing advice on ecological risk assessment tools and scientific approaches in developing listed species risk assessments that are compliant with both FIFRA and the Endangered Species Act (ESA). The NRC report, issued April 30, 2013, provides recommendations to ensure scientific soundness and maximize the utility of risk assessment refinements for listed species. Additional information can be found at the following Web site: <http://www8.nationalacademies.org/cp/projectview.aspx?key=49396>.

Revisions to risk assessments will likely reflect Agency review of the report and any associated methodology

and science policy based on the report’s recommendations. Refinements to the listed species assessments may include, but not be limited to, the following:

- More detailed, species-specific ecological and biological data.
  - More detailed and accurate information on chemical use patterns.
  - Sub-county level spatial proximity data depicting the co-occurrence of potential effects areas and listed species and any designated critical habitat.
- In the event that a draft risk assessment shows risks of concern to human health or the environment for a specific chemical, EPA reserves the right to initiate mitigation at this stage of registration review. This effort to mitigate a chemical’s risks early in the registration review process is consistent with the Agency’s approach for registration review. Where risks are identified early in the registration review process and opportunities for early mitigation exist, the Agency may pursue those opportunities as they arise, rather than waiting for completion of a chemical’s registration review in order to mitigate risks. The public comment period for the draft risk assessments allows members of the public to provide comments and suggestions for revising the draft risk assessments and for reducing risks.

Pursuant to 40 CFR 155.53(c), EPA is providing an opportunity, through this notice of availability, for interested

parties to provide comments and input concerning the Agency’s draft human health and ecological risk assessments for 2-(decylthio) ethanamine hydrochloride (DTEA-HCl), flumetsulam, paclobutrazol, and trinexapac-ethyl. Such comments and input could address, among other things, the Agency’s risk assessment methodologies and assumptions, as applied in these draft risk assessments. The Agency will consider all comments received during the public comment period and make changes, as appropriate, to the draft human health and ecological risk assessments. EPA will then issue revised risk assessments, if appropriate, explain any changes to the draft risk assessment, and respond to comments. In the **Federal Register** notice announcing the availability of the revised risk assessments, if the revised risk assessments indicate risks of concern, the Agency may provide a comment period for the public to submit suggestions for mitigating the risks identified in those revised risk assessments before developing proposed registration review decisions on flumetsulam, paclobutrazol, and 2-(decylthio) ethanamine hydrochloride (DTEA-HCl) trinexapac-ethyl. At present, EPA is releasing registration review draft risk assessments for the pesticide cases identified in the following table and further described in this unit.

TABLE 1—REGISTRATION REVIEW DRAFT RISK ASSESSMENTS

Registration review case name and No.	Pesticide docket identification (ID) No.	Chemical review manager, telephone number, and email address
2-(Decylthio) ethanamine hydrochloride (DTEA-HCl), Case No. 5029.	EPA-HQ-OPP-2009-0336	Seiichi Murasaki, (703) 347-0163, <a href="mailto:murasaki.seiichi@epa.gov">murasaki.seiichi@epa.gov</a> .
Flumetsulam, Case No. 7229 .....	EPA-HQ-2008-0625 .....	Katherine St. Clair, (703) 347-8778, <a href="mailto:StClair@epa.gov">Katherine.StClair@epa.gov</a> .
Paclobutrazol, Case No. 7002 .....	EPA-HQ-OPP-2006-0109	Khue Nguyen, (703) 347-0248, <a href="mailto:khue@epa.gov">Nguyen.khue@epa.gov</a> .
Trinexapac-ethyl, Case No. 7228 .....	EPA-HQ-OPP-2008-0657	Kaitlin Keller, (703) 308-8172, <a href="mailto:Keller.kaitlin@epa.gov">Keller.kaitlin@epa.gov</a> .

• *2-(decylthio) ethanamine hydrochloride (DTEA-HCl)*. The registration review docket for 2-(decylthio) ethanamine hydrochloride (DTEA-HCl) (EPA-HQ-OPP-2009-0336) opened in the **Federal Register** issue of June 24, 2009 (74 FR 30070) (FRL-8421-8). DTEA-HCl is registered for use in recirculating cooling water systems to control bacterial, fungal and algal slimes. Examples of DTEA-HCl use sites include evaporative condenser water systems, heat exchange water systems, commercial and industrial cooling towers, influent systems such as flow-through filters and lagoons, industrial scrubbing systems, and

brewery pasteurizer water systems. The Agency has conducted a qualitative human health risk assessment for the dietary (food and drinking water) pathway. The residential and occupational exposure pathways were not assessed because these exposures are not expected to occur. The Agency has conducted a quantitative ecological risk assessment, which includes a screening-level listed species assessment. EPA acknowledges that further refinements to the listed species assessment will be completed in future revisions and requests public comment on specific areas that will reduce the uncertainties associated with the

characterization of risk to listed species identified in the current assessment.

• *Flumetsulam*. The registration review docket for flumetsulam (EPA-HQ-OPP-2008-0625) opened in the **Federal Register** issue of September 15, 2008 (73 FR 53244) (FRL-8381-3). Flumetsulam is a sulfonanilide herbicide belonging to the triazolopyrimidine chemical class. Flumetsulam is marketed in water dispersible granule (WDG), emulsifiable concentrate (EC), and wettable powder (WP) products intended for use in agriculture to control broadleaf weeds in field corn and soybeans. There are no residential or public recreational uses of

flumetsulam. The Agency has conducted a human health risk assessment for both dietary (food and drinking water) and occupational exposure pathways. The Agency also has conducted a quantitative ecological risk assessment, which includes a screening-level listed species assessment. EPA acknowledges that further refinements to the listed species assessment will be completed in future revisions and requests public comment on specific areas that will reduce the uncertainties associated with the characterization of risk to listed species identified in the current assessment.

- **Paclobutrazol.** The registration review docket for paclobutrazol (EPA-HQ-OPP-2006-0109) opened in the **Federal Register** issue of March 28, 2007 (72 FR 14548) (FRL-8118-3). Paclobutrazol is a plant growth regulator and is registered for use on a variety of ornamental flowers and trees, golf course turf, ornamental turf, outdoor residential areas, and rights-of-way. The Agency has conducted a human health risk assessment for dietary (drinking water only), residential, and occupational exposure pathways. The Agency has conducted a quantitative ecological risk assessment, which includes a screening-level listed species assessment. EPA acknowledges that further refinements to the listed species assessment will be completed in future revisions and requests public comment on specific areas that will reduce the uncertainties associated with the characterization of risk to listed species identified in the current assessment.

- **Trinexapac-ethyl.** The registration review docket for trinexapac-ethyl (EPA-HQ-OPP-2008-0657) opened in the **Federal Register** issue of September 15, 2008 (73 FR 53244) (FRL-8381-3). Trinexapac-ethyl is a plant growth regulator and is registered for use by homeowners and professional applicators to manage growth of warm and cool season turfgrass on golf courses, sod farms, residential lawns, and other areas. It is also registered for use on cereals grains (barley, oats, triticale, and wheat), and grasses grown for seed (forage and hay) for yield protection and lodging prevention, and on sugarcane for internode shortening and harvest extension. The Agency has conducted a human health risk assessment for dietary (drinking water only), residential, and occupational exposure pathways. The Agency has conducted a quantitative ecological risk assessment, which includes a screening-level listed species assessment.

EPA acknowledges that further refinements to the listed species assessment will be completed in future

revisions and requests public comment on specific areas that will reduce the uncertainties associated with the characterization of risk to listed species identified in the current assessment.

1. **Other related information.** Additional information for 2-(decylthio) ethanamine hydrochloride (DTEA-HCl), flumetsulam, paclobutrazol, and trinexapac-ethyl are available on the chemical pages for these pesticides in Chemical Search, <http://www.epa.gov/pesticides/chemicalsearch>, and in each chemical's individual docket listed in Table 1 in Unit III.A. Information on the Agency's registration review program and its implementing regulation is available at [http://www.epa.gov/oppsrrd1/registration\\_review](http://www.epa.gov/oppsrrd1/registration_review).

2. **Information submission requirements.** Anyone may submit data or information in response to this document. To be considered during a pesticide's registration review, the submitted data or information must meet the following requirements:

- i. To ensure that EPA will consider data or information submitted, interested persons must submit the data or information during the comment period. The Agency may, at its discretion, consider data or information submitted at a later date.

- ii. The data or information submitted must be presented in a legible and useable form. For example, an English translation must accompany any material that is not in English and a written transcript must accompany any information submitted as an audiographic or videographic record. Written material may be submitted in paper or electronic form.

- iii. Submitters must clearly identify the source of any submitted data or information.

- iv. Submitters may request the Agency to reconsider data or information that the Agency rejected in a previous review. However, submitters must explain why they believe the Agency should reconsider the data or information in the pesticide's registration review.

As provided in 40 CFR 155.58, the registration review docket for each pesticide case will remain publicly accessible through the duration of the registration review process; that is, until all actions required in the final decision on the registration review case have been completed.

#### List of Subjects

Environmental protection, 2-(decylthio) ethanamine hydrochloride (DTEA-HCl), Flumetsulam, Paclobutrazol, Pesticides and pest, and Trinexapac-ethyl.

Dated: September 19, 2013.

**Richard P. Keigwin, Jr.,**

*Director, Pesticide Re-Evaluation Division,  
Office of Pesticide Programs.*

[FR Doc. 2013-23689 Filed 9-26-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## EXPORT-IMPORT BANK OF THE UNITED STATES

[Public Notice: 2013-0049]

### Application for Final Commitment for a Long-Term Loan or Financial Guarantee in Excess of \$100 Million: AP088292XX & AP088292XA

**AGENCY:** Export-Import Bank of the United States.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** This Notice is to inform the public, in accordance with Section 3(c)(10) of the Charter of the Export-Import Bank of the United States ("Ex-Im Bank"), that Ex-Im Bank has received an application for final commitment for a long-term loan or financial guarantee in excess of \$100 million (as calculated in accordance with Section 3(c)(10) of the Charter). Comments received within the comment period specified below will be presented to the Ex-Im Bank Board of Directors prior to final action on this Transaction.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before October 22, 2013 to be assured of consideration before final consideration of the transaction by the Board of Directors of Ex-Im Bank.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments may be submitted through Regulations.gov at [WWW.REGULATIONS.GOV](http://WWW.REGULATIONS.GOV). To submit a comment, enter EIB-2013-0049 under the heading "Enter Keyword or ID" and select Search. Follow the instructions provided at the Submit a Comment screen. Please include your name, company name (if any) and EIB-2013-0049 on any attached document.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

*Reference:* AP088292XX & AP088292XA.

#### Purpose and Use

*Brief description of the purpose of the transaction:*

To support the export of U.S.-manufactured cargo aircraft to the Republic of Korea.

*Brief non-proprietary description of the anticipated use of the items being exported:*

To be used for the transportation of air cargo between the Republic of Korea and other countries.

To the extent that Ex-Im Bank is reasonably aware, the item(s) being