

need and outline the proposal that is under consideration to meet it, explain the process by which the Postal Service will solicit and consider input from the affected community, and solicit input from the local officials regarding the proposal.

(2) *Notify the community and arrange for public presentation.* The Postal Service will send an initial news release outlining the proposal to one or more news media serving the community and, if the community has a retail service facility, then the Postal Service also will post a copy of the information given to local officials or the news release in the public lobby of that retail service facility. Additionally, the Postal Service representative will ask the local officials to place a Postal Service presentation of the proposal on the regular agenda of the next scheduled public meeting, or will schedule a separate Postal Service public meeting concerning the proposal. At least 15 days prior to the meeting, the Postal Service will advertise the date, time, and location of the public meeting in a local news medium and, if the community has an existing retail service facility, then the Postal Service also will post in the public lobby of that retail service facility a notice of the date, time, and location of the public meeting.

(3) *Present the proposal to the community.* At the public meeting, the Postal Service will identify the need, e.g., for relocating retail services or adding a retail service facility, outline the proposal to meet the need, invite questions, solicit written input on the proposal, and provide an address to which the community and local officials may send written comments and appeals of the proposal for a period of 30 days following the public meeting. Under exceptional circumstances that would prevent a Postal Service representative from attending or conducting a public meeting to present the proposal within a reasonable time, the Postal Service, in lieu of a public meeting, may give customers within the community written notification of the proposal by mail or by posting a notice of the proposal in the retail service facility that would be affected by the proposal, seeking their written input on the proposal and providing an address to which the community and local officials may send written comments and appeals of the proposal during the 30 days following such notification. An example of exceptional circumstances would be a proposal that would be implemented in a sparsely populated area remote from the seat of local government or any forum where the public meeting reasonably could be held.

(i) If the proposal concerns relocation, then the Postal Service will:

(A) Discuss the reasons for relocating;

(B) Identify the site or area to which the Postal Service anticipates relocating the retail services; and

(C) Describe the anticipated size of the retail service facility for the relocated retail services, and the anticipated services to be offered at such site or in such area. (The Postal Service may identify more than one potential relocation site or area, for example, when the Postal Service has not selected among competing sites.)

(ii) If the proposal concerns adding a new retail service facility for a community, then the Postal Service will:

(A) Discuss the reasons for the addition;

(B) Identify the site or area to which the Postal Service anticipates adding the retail service facility;

(C) Describe the anticipated size of the added retail service facility, and the anticipated services to be offered; and

(D) Outline any anticipated construction (e.g., of a stand-alone building or interior improvements to an existing building (or portion thereof) that will be leased by the Postal Service). (The Postal Service may identify more than one potential site or area, for example, when the Postal Service has not selected among competing sites.)

(4) *Consider comments and appeals.* After the 30 day comment and appeal period, the Postal Service will consider the comments and appeals received that identify reasons why the Postal Service's proposal (e.g., to relocate to the selected site, or to add a new retail service facility) is, or is not, the optimal solution for the identified need. Following such consideration, the Postal Service will make a final decision to proceed with, modify, or cancel the proposal. The Postal Service then will inform local officials in writing of its final decision and send an initial news release announcing the final decision to local news media. If the community has a retail service facility, then the Postal Service also will post a copy of the information given to local officials or the news release in the public lobby of that retail service facility. The Postal Service then will implement the final decision.

(5) *Subsequent site identification.* After the public meeting under paragraph (c)(3) of this section, if the Postal Service decides to use a site or area that it did not identify at the public meeting, and this Section applies with respect to such new site or area, then the Postal Service will undertake the

steps in paragraphs (c)(2) through (4) of this section with regard to the new site or area.

(d) *Effect on other obligations and policies.* Nothing in this section shall add to, reduce, or otherwise modify the Postal Service's legal obligations or policies for compliance with independent policies or obligations of the Postal Service that are not dependent upon a relocation or addition of a retail service facility. These include:

(1) Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act, 16 U.S.C. 470, Executive Order 12072, and Executive Order 13006;

(2) 39 U.S.C. 404(d) and 39 CFR 241.3; and

(3) 39 U.S.C. 409(f).

Stanley F. Mires,

Attorney, Federal Requirements.

[FR Doc. 2014-25403 Filed 10-24-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710-12-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 60

[EPA-HQ-OAR-2013-0602; FRL-9918-49-OAR]

RIN 2060-AR33

Carbon Pollution Emission Guidelines for Existing Stationary Sources: Electric Utility Generating Units

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Proposed rule; correction.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) published in the **Federal Register** on September 25, 2014, an extending the comment period for the proposed rule titled "Carbon Pollution Emission Guidelines for Existing Stationary Sources: Electric Utility Generating Units" by 45 days. Inadvertently, that proposed rule included an incorrect digit in the docket number for the proposed rule. This proposed rule corrects that error.

DATES: This correction is effective on October 27, 2014.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Amy Vasu, Sector Policies and Programs Division (D205-01), U.S. EPA, Research Triangle Park, NC 27711; telephone number (919) 541-0107, facsimile number (919) 541-4991; email address: vasu.amy@epa.gov or Ms. Marguerite McLamb, Sector Policies and Programs Division (D205-01), U.S. EPA, Research Triangle Park, NC 27711; telephone number (919) 541-7858, facsimile number (919) 541-4991; email address: mclamb.marguerite@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The EPA published in the **Federal Register** on September 25, 2014 (79 FR 57492), a proposed rule extending, by 45 days, the comment period for the proposed rule titled “Carbon Pollution Emission Guidelines for Existing Stationary Sources: Electric Utility Generating Units.” This proposed rule corrects an incorrect docket number published on September 25, 2014.

In FR Doc. 2014–22832, published on September 25, 2014 (79 FR 57492), in the first column of page 57492, correct the docket number listed in the notice to read:

Docket: The EPA has established the official public docket for this rulemaking under Docket ID No. EPA–HQ–OAR–2013–0602.

Dated: October 20, 2014.

Mary E. Henigin,

Acting Director for Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards.

[FR Doc. 2014–25486 Filed 10–24–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Parts 0, 1, 2, 15, 27, 73, and 74

[GN Docket No. 12–268; Report No. 3011]

Petitions for Reconsideration of Action in Rulemaking Proceeding

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Petition for reconsideration.

SUMMARY: In this document, Petitions for Reconsideration (Petitions) have been filed in the Commission’s Rulemaking proceeding by Benjamin Perez, on behalf of Abacus Television, Stephen G. Perlman, on behalf of Artemis Networks, LLC, Ari Q. Fitzgerald, Esq., on behalf of GE Healthcare, Steven K. Berry, on behalf of Competitive Carriers Association, Louis Libin, on behalf of Advanced Television Broadcasting Alliance, Andrew W. Levin, on behalf of T-Mobile USA, Inc., Melodie A. Virtue, Esq., and Garvey Schubert Barer, on behalf of Beach TV Properties, Inc., and Free Access & Broadcast Telemedia, LLC, Jennifer Johnson, Esq., on behalf of Bonton Media Group, Inc., and Raycom Media, Inc., John R. Feore, Esq., on behalf of Block Communications, Inc. and FBC Television Affiliates Association, Eve Pogoriler, Esq., on behalf of Gannett Co., Inc., Graham Media Group, ICA Broadcasting, and the Dispatching Printing Company, Dean R. Brenner, on behalf of Qualcomm Incorporated,

Gerard J. Waldron, Esq., on behalf of CBS Television Network Affiliates Association, and NBC Television Affiliates, Wade H. Hargrove, Esq., on behalf ABC Television Affiliates Associates Association, Donald G. Everist, on behalf of Cohen, Dippell and Everist, P.C., Dale Woodin, on behalf of the American Society for Healthcare Engineering of the American Hospital Association, Sally A. Buckman, Esq., on behalf Journal Broadcast Corporation, Margaret L. Tobey, on behalf NBC Telemundo License LLC, Mike Cavender, on behalf of Radio Television Digital News Association, Michael Gravino, on behalf of LPTV Spectrum Rights Coalition, Mitchell Lazarus, Esq., on behalf of Sennheiser Electronic Corporation, M. Anne Swanson, Esq., on behalf of Media General, Inc., Mace Rosenstein, Esq., on behalf of the Videohouse and Public Broadcasting Service, Inc., Lonna Thompson, on behalf of Association of Public Television Stations, J. Westwood Smithers, on behalf of Corporation for Public Broadcasting, Katherine Lauderdale, on behalf Public Broadcasting Service, A. Wray Fitch III, Esq., on behalf of American Legacy Foundation and Signal Above, LLC, Tom W. Davidson, Esq., on behalf of the Walt Disney Company, Paul J. Broyles, on behalf of International Broadcasting Network, Dean M. Mosely, on behalf U.S. Television, LLC, William H. Shawn, Esq., on behalf of Mako Communications, LLC., and Preston Padden, on behalf of Expanding Opportunities for Broadcasters Coalition.

DATES: Oppositions to the Petitions must be filed on or before November 12, 2014. Replies to an opposition must be filed on or before November 21, 2014.

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: A.J. Glusman, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau, (202) 418–1425, email AJ.Glusman@fcc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of Commission’s document, Report No. 3011, released October 2, 2014. The full text of Report No. 3011 is available for viewing and copying in Room CY–B402, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC or may be purchased from the Commission’s copy contractor, Best Copy and Printing, Inc. (BCPI) (1–800–378–3160). The Commission will not send a copy of this *Notice* pursuant to the Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A) because this notice does not have an impact on any rules of particular applicability.

Subject: Expanding the Economic and Innovation Opportunities of Spectrum Through Incentive Auctions, published at 79 FR 48442, August 15, 2014, in GN Docket No. 12–268, and published pursuant to 47 CFR 1.429(e). *See also* 1.4(b)(1) if the Commission’s rules.

Number of Petitions Filed: 31.

Federal Communications Commission.

Marlene H. Dortch,

Secretary, Office of the Secretary, Office of Managing Director.

[FR Doc. 2014–25456 Filed 10–24–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 1

[MD Docket Nos. 12–201; 13–140; 14–92; FCC 14–129]

Assessment and Collection of Regulatory Fees for Fiscal Year 2014; Assessment and Collection of Regulatory Fees for Fiscal Year 2013; and Procedures for Assessment and Collection of Regulatory Fees

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rule.

SUMMARY: In this document, the Federal Communications Commission (Commission) seeks comment on two regulatory fee issues. First, the Commission seeks comment on methods to ensure and encourage compliance with a new toll free regulatory fee requirement, and the appropriate procedures necessary to enforce non-payment of toll free regulatory fees. And second, the Commission seeks comment on a proposal to adopt a new direct broadcast satellite (DBS) regulatory fee category based on Media Bureau FTEs (Full-Time Equivalents) who perform work related to DBS regulatees.

DATES: Submit comments on November 26, 2014, and reply comments on December 26, 2014.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments, identified by MD Docket No. 14–92, by any of the following methods:

- *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

- *Federal Communications Commission’s Web site:* <http://www.fcc.gov/cgb/ecfs>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

- *People with Disabilities:* Contact the FCC to request reasonable accommodations (accessible format documents, sign language interpreters, CART, etc.) by email: FCC504@fcc.gov