

appropriate RED, and in order to be reregistered, the risk concerns identified in the RED must be adequately addressed, including appropriate labeling changes. Further, the registrants must comply with product specific label requirements pending Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approval of the endosulfan Data-Call-In.

The reregistration program is being conducted under Congressionally mandated timeframes, and EPA recognizes the need both to make timely reregistration decisions and to involve the public. Therefore, EPA is issuing the endosulfan RED as a final document with a 60-day comment period. Although the 60-day public comment period does not affect the registrant's response due date, it is intended to provide an opportunity for public input and a mechanism for identifying any necessary amendments to the RED. All comments will be carefully considered by the Agency. If any comment significantly affects the endosulfan RED, EPA will amend the RED by publishing the amendment in the **Federal Register**.

*B. What is the Agency's Authority for Taking this Action?*

The legal authority for this RED falls under FIFRA, as amended in 1988 and 1996. Section 4(g)(2)(A) of FIFRA directs that, after submission of all data concerning a pesticide active ingredient, "the Administrator shall determine whether pesticides containing such active ingredient are eligible for reregistration," before calling in product specific data on individual end-use products, and either reregistering products or taking "other appropriate regulatory action."

**List of Subjects**

Environmental protection, Chemicals, Pesticides and pests.

Dated: October 30, 2002.

**Betty Shackelford,**

*Acting Director, Special Review and Reregistration Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.*

[FR Doc. 02-28216 Filed 11-5-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-S**

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

[FRL-7404-9]

**Koppers Charleston Superfund Site; Notice To Rescind Federal Register Notice Dated October 1, 2002**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency.

**ACTION:** Notice to rescind previous Federal Register notice.

**SUMMARY:** On October 1, 2002 at 67 FR 61624, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) published a Notice of Proposed Settlement for response costs incurred by EPA at the Koppers Charleston Superfund Site located in Charleston, Charleston County, South Carolina. That notice was published prematurely. The purpose of this notice is to rescind EPA's October 1, 2002 **Federal Register** Notice regarding the settlement of response costs at the Site. The Notice of Proposed Settlement for the Site may be republished in the future following final approval of the settlement.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Paula Batchelor at 404-562-8887.

Dated: October 23, 2002.

**Anita L. Davis,**

*Acting Chief, CERCLA Program Services Branch, Waste Management Division.*

[FR Doc. 02-28214 Filed 11-5-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION**

[WC Docket No. 02-214; FCC 02-297]

**Application by Verizon Virginia Inc., Verizon Long Distance Virginia, Inc., Verizon Enterprise Solutions Virginia Inc., Verizon Global Networks Inc., and Verizon Select Services of Virginia Inc., Pursuant to Section 271 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, For Provision of In-Region, InterLATA Services in the State of Virginia**

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** In this document, the Federal Communications Commission grants the section 271 application of Verizon Virginia Inc., *et al.* (Verizon) for authority to enter the interLATA telecommunications market in the state of Virginia. The Commission grants Verizon's application based on its conclusion that Verizon has satisfied all of the statutory requirements for entry, and opened its local exchange markets to full competition.

**DATES:** Effective November 8, 2002.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Uzoma Onyeije, Attorney-Advisor, Wireline Competition Bureau, at (202) 418-7827 or via the Internet at [uonyeije@fcc.gov](mailto:uonyeije@fcc.gov). The complete text of this Memorandum Opinion and Order is available for inspection and copying

during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Information Center, Portals II, 445 12th Street, SW, Room CY-A257, Washington, DC 20554. Further information may also be obtained by calling the Common Carrier Bureau's TTY number: (202) 418-0484.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This is a summary of the Commission's Memorandum Opinion and Order (MO&O) in WC Docket No. 02-214, FCC 02-297, adopted October 30, 2002, and released October 30, 2002. This full text may be purchased from the Commission's duplicating contractor, Qualex International, Portals II, 445 12th Street, SW, Room CY-B402, Washington, DC 20554, telephone 202-863-2893, facsimile 202-863-2898, or via e-mail [qualexint@aol.com](mailto:qualexint@aol.com). It is also available on the Commission's website at [http://www.fcc.gov/Bureaus/Wireline\\_Competition/in-region-applications](http://www.fcc.gov/Bureaus/Wireline_Competition/in-region-applications).

**Synopsis of the Order**

1. *History of the Application.* On August 1, 2002, Verizon filed an application pursuant to section 271 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, with the Commission to provide in-region, interLATA service originating in the state of Virginia. Interested parties filed comments on August 21, 2002, and reply comments on September 12, 2002.

2. *The State Commission's Evaluation.* On March 15, 2002, Verizon made a compliance filing for section 271 approval with the Virginia Commission. On July 12, 2002, the Virginia Hearing Examiner issued a report recommending that the Virginia Commission "advise the FCC that this Commission supports granting Verizon authority to provide in-region interLATA services in Virginia." On August 1, 2002, the Virginia Commission forwarded the Virginia Hearing Examiner's Report to this Commission, reporting on the Virginia Hearing Examiner's section 271 proceeding and urging the Commission to consider his recommendations and findings.

3. *The Department of Justice's Evaluation.* The Department of Justice filed its evaluation on September 5, 2002, concluding that Verizon has generally succeeded in opening its markets to competition in most respects. Accordingly, the Department of Justice recommends approval of Verizon's application for section 271 authority in Virginia.

4. *Compliance with Section 271(c)(1)(A).* The Commission concludes that Verizon demonstrates that it satisfies the requirements of section 271(c)(1)(A) based on the interconnection agreements it has