

Information collection	Number of respondents	Frequency of response	Responses per annum	Burden hours per response	Annual burden hours	Hourly cost per response	Annual cost
Appendix A: Data License Application	15	1	15	1	15	\$47.31	\$709.65
Appendix B: Data License Agreement	15	1	15	1	15	57.63	864.45
Appendix C: Affidavit of Nondisclosure	45	1	45	0.25	11.25	23.41	263.36
Annual Report	40	1	40	1	40	57.63	2,305.20
Appendix D: Data File Destruction Form	6	1	6	0.25	1.5	48.85	73.28
Total Burden Hours					82.75		4,215.94

B. Solicitation of Public Comment

This notice is soliciting comments from members of the public and affected parties concerning the collection of information described in Section A on the following:

(1) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) The accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information;

(3) Ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected, and

(4) Ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond; including through the use of appropriate automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.*, permitting electronic submission of responses.

HUD encourages interested parties to submit comments in response to these questions.

C. Authority

Section 3507 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C. 3507.

Todd M. Richardson,

General Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy, Development and Research.

[FR Doc. 2024-05157 Filed 3-11-24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4210-67-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Fish and Wildlife Service

[FWS-HQ-NWRS-2024-N008;
FXRS126109HD000-245-FF09R23000; OMB
Control Number 1018-New]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Submission to the Office of Management and Budget; Programmatic Clearance for U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Social Science Research

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service,
Interior.

ACTION: Notice of information collection;
request for comment.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, we, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service), are proposing a new information collection.

DATES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before April 11, 2024.

ADDRESSES: Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be submitted within 30 days of publication of this notice at <https://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain>. Find this particular information collection by selecting “Currently under Review—Open for Public Comments” or by using the search function. Please provide a copy of your comments to the Service Information Collection Clearance Officer, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, MS: PRB (JAO/3W), 5275 Leesburg Pike, Falls Church, VA 22041-3803 (mail); or by email to Info_Coll@fws.gov. Please reference “1018-USFWS Programmatic” in the subject line of your comments.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Madonna Baucum, Service Information Collection Clearance Officer, by email at Info_Coll@fws.gov, or by telephone at (703) 358-2503. Individuals in the United States who are deaf, deafblind, hard of hearing, or have a speech disability may dial 711 (TTY, TDD, or TeleBraille) to access telecommunications relay services. Individuals outside the United States should use the relay services offered within their country to make international calls to the point-of-contact in the United States.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA, 44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*) and 5 CFR 1320.8(d)(1), we provide the general public and other Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on new, proposed, revised, and continuing collections of information. This helps us assess the impact of our information collection requirements and minimize the public's reporting burden. It also helps the public understand our information collection requirements and provide the requested data in the desired format.

On October 2, 2023, we published in the **Federal Register** (88 FR 67792) a notice of our intent to request that OMB approve this information collection. In that notice, we solicited comments for 60 days, ending on December 1, 2023. In an effort to increase public awareness of, and participation in, our public commenting processes associated with information collection requests (ICRs), the Service also published the **Federal Register** notice on *Regulations.gov* (Docket No. FWS-HQ-NWRS-2023-0126). We received five comments (described below) in response to that notice:

Comments 1 and 2: Two comments objected to collecting information from specific populations and the effectiveness of survey's information collection tools.

Agency Response to Comments 1 and 2: Input from a variety of populations and recreation groups is critical to a robust understanding of potential impacts from management, planning, and policy decisions. Information collection and understanding social landscapes help the Service better meet its mission of working with others to conserve, protect, and enhance fish, wildlife, and plants and their habitats for the continuing benefit of the American People. The agency took no action to unilaterally exclude public input from certain recreation groups or prevent stakeholder input as a tool to inform decisions.

Comment 3: One comment was about the appropriate use of animals for hunting, fishing, and trapping.

Agency Response to Comment 3: The agency took no action from this comment, as it is unrelated to the information collection.

Comments 4 and 5: Two comments were supportive the use of information collection to better understand customers. One of these comments also discussed methods to improve inclusivity and reduce agency burden with the use of technology.

Agency Response to Comments 4 and 5: The agency appreciates support of its efforts and suggestions to improve information collection. When appropriate, individual information collections may include methods such

as online data collection or QR code recruitment. As noted in comment 5, it may be more difficult to reach certain populations, and reaching these populations may necessitate the use of sampling methods such as in-person recruitment. The agency took no action, as each information collection submitted through this clearance will be evaluated if sampling methods appropriately reach the population of interest.

On April 17, 2020, we published in the **Federal Register** (85 FR 21450) a notice of our intent to request that OMB approve this information collection. In that notice, we solicited comments for 60 days, ending on June 16, 2020. We did not receive any comments in response to that notice.

On October 12, 2016, we published in the **Federal Register** (81 FR 70437) a notice of our intent to request that OMB approve this information collection. In that notice, we solicited comments for 60 days, ending on December 12, 2016. We received three nonsubstantive comments in response to that notice which did not address the information collection comments. No responses were required to those comments.

As part of our continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burdens, we invite the public and other Federal agencies to comment on new, proposed, revised, and continuing collections of information. This helps us assess the impact of our information collection requirements and minimize the public's reporting burden. It also helps the public understand our information collection requirements and provide the requested data in the desired format.

We are especially interested in public comment addressing the following:

- (1) Whether or not the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether or not the information will have practical utility;
- (2) The accuracy of our estimate of the burden for this collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- (3) Ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- (4) How might the agency minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of response.

Comments that you submit in response to this notice are a matter of

public record. We will include or summarize each comment in our request to OMB to approve this ICR. Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Abstract: Monitoring and evaluating U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) activities, including the activities of the National Wildlife Refuge System (Refuge System), is an essential component of strategic and adaptive management. The collection of information is necessary to enable the Service to garner customer and stakeholder feedback in an efficient, timely manner, in accordance with our commitment to improved service delivery and customer experience. In particular, collection of information and rigorous social science inquiries are necessary for the Service to fulfil the goals of the President's Executive Order (E.O.) 14008, Tackling the Climate Crisis at Home and Abroad; the principles of the Service's community-focused Urban Wildlife Conservation Program; a commitment to serving a broader and more diverse public; and a better understanding of the needs and perspectives of Tribal Nations and Native communities.

The proposed programmatic clearance would cover social science surveys, interviews, and focus groups designed to provide information to Service managers and practitioners to improve quality and utility of agency programs, services, and planning efforts. To ensure continuous improvement, Service activities and projects require ongoing systematic assessment of their design, implementation, and outcomes. Data from collections undertaken through the proposed programmatic clearance would provide information for planning, monitoring, and evaluating Refuge System efforts, as well as efforts of other Service programs. The scope of this programmatic clearance includes individual surveys; focus groups; and interviews of refuge visitors, potential visitors, residents of communities near Service-managed units, and stakeholders and partners, including Tribal interests.

The President's E.O. 14008 sets the goal of conserving "at least 30 percent of our lands and waters by 2030," through the Conserving and Restoring

America the Beautiful campaign. A collaborative approach is needed to achieve the principles for locally led efforts and better understand the patterns and trends occurring across public lands and waters. The Service's national visitor survey is one approach to collecting information from the public related to visitation across the Refuge System. The national visitor survey seeks to understand the recreation trends and experiences of visitors at refuges to better manage for future visitation that aligns with national conservation goals. One of the recommendations for early focus and progress in the America the Beautiful campaign is the increase of access to outdoor recreation, a management objective that the monitoring data from the visitor survey can help to inform.

The Service's Urban Wildlife Conservation program (Urban program) was established as a means to engage with urban communities more meaningfully in fish and wildlife conservation. It enumerates designation criteria for urban wildlife refuges (urban refuges), partnerships, and bird treaty cities, and describes how the standards of excellence apply to urban refuges and other urban activities. The Urban program aligns particularly well with the Department of the Interior's focus on equity and environmental justice, work that helps to achieve one of the President's Four Pillars (Racial Equity). Another recommendation outlined in the Conserving and Restoring America the Beautiful campaign includes creating safe outdoor opportunities in nature-deprived communities, a goal of which the Urban program is helping to achieve.

The Service is required to "evaluate and adapt" the practices of the Urban program through internal review of the urban entities by the Division of Visitor Services and Communications every 5 years, including an expanded visitor services review for the Urban Refuges as per Policy 110 FW 1. The Division "must analyze the people they are reaching and conduct approved visitor use surveys to monitor the changes and track audience engagement." In addition, the Service is committed to evaluating progress and measuring success of the Urban Program's standards of excellence, such as "know and relate to the community; connect urban residents with nature through the steppingstones of engagement; and ensure visitors feel safe and welcome."

The Service's Human Dimensions (HD) Branch, programmatically aligned within the National Wildlife Refuge System, will serve as the office of control for the programmatic clearance.

The role of the HD Branch is to build conservation social science understanding, capacity, and integration within the Service. A suite of questions will serve as the basis for all information collections under this programmatic clearance. The suite of questions will be used to develop surveys to respond to the above-named Presidential Priorities as well as adaptively ensure improved customer experience and satisfaction. As the office of control, the HD branch ICR Coordinator will conduct the necessary quality control, including assuring that each survey instrument comports with the guidelines of the programmatic clearance.

We developed the following topic areas within the suite of questions to streamline the ICR process:

(1) *Respondent Characteristics* (e.g., demographics, land and property characteristics, and visits to other public lands). This topic area allows us to understand customer demographic profiles and track visitation trends more holistically over time.

(2) *Communication* (e.g., languages spoken, sources of information used, and use of social media and other web-based outlets). This topic area allows us to understand customer preferences for finding information.

(3) *Trip Planning and Logistics* (e.g., purpose of trip, information on wayfinding used, and various trip characteristics). This topic area allows us to understand the logistics and information involved with a customer's trip planning experience and make strategic transportation decisions.

(4) *Recreation Activities, Experiences, and Preferences* (e.g., recreation activity preferences, experience, and satisfaction). This topic area allows us to better why customers visit, understand preferences for wildlife-dependent recreation, and provide a

quality customer experiences at specific sites.

(5) *Knowledge, Attitudes, and Beliefs* (e.g., understanding and opinions around nature, the outdoors, climate change, and the agency). This topic area allows us to improve future programming and communications with customers.

(6) *Resource Management Perceptions and Preferences* (e.g., attitudes around resource protection, transportation needs, and other management decisions). This topic area allows us to understand current customer perceptions and anticipate how customers would most likely react to future management actions.

(7) *Visitor Expenditures and Economic Inputs* (e.g., trip expenses, information on local businesses, and landowner contributions). This topic area allows us to gather economic data related to conservation goals of the agency.

(8) *Public, Stakeholder, and Partner Engagement* (e.g., participation in programs, partnerships, and various conservation actions). This topic area allows us to understand if and how the customer dedicates their time to conservation-related actions.

(9) *Program Evaluation* (e.g., learning outcomes, program experience rating, and satisfaction). This topic area allows us to better assess overall program outcomes and performance to improve future programming.

To qualify for the generic programmatic review process, each individual collection under this programmatic clearance must be well defined in terms of its sample or respondent pool and research methodology, it should clearly fit within the overall plan and scope of the approved ICR, and the survey questions must show a clear tie to Service management needs. Individual collections may not raise any

controversial policy issues, include topics of significant public interest, or go beyond the methods specified and approved by OMB in this programmatic ICR. Any individual collection that requests nonagency goal-related data or information on controversial topics would be inappropriate for expedited review under this programmatic clearance and must go through the full PRA clearance process to solicit public feedback. In instances where HD Branch staff are involved with the development of the individual information collection, other uninvolved staff in the HD Branch or a member of the ICR review team would review the ICR.

We will obtain OMB approval of all individual survey submissions developed using the pre-approved suite of questions before the survey can be initiated. If, after consultation with the principal investigator, the ICR coordinator recommends a proposed survey for approval, both the Service and Departmental Information Collection Clearance Officers will review the ICR before it is formally transmitted to OMB for review and approval.

Title of Collection: Programmatic Clearance for U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Social Science Research.

OMB Control Number: 1018–New.

Form Number: None.

Type of Review: New.

Respondents/Affected Public: Persons visiting units managed by the Service; potential visitors, including “virtual visitors” who access content from a Service website; local community members; educators taking part in programs both on and off Service lands; government officials representing the local area; landowners; partners; stakeholders; and Tribal interests.

Respondent's Obligation: Voluntary.

Frequency of Collection: On occasion.

Total Estimated Annual Nonhour

Burden Cost: None.

Mode	Annual estimates		
	Number of respondents	Completion time per response (avg. minutes)	Burden hours **
On-site, mail, internet surveys *	20,333	20	6,778
Telephone surveys	833	25	347
All nonresponse surveys	784	5	65
Focus groups/in-person interviews	59	60	59
Annual Total	22,009	7,249
3-Year Total	66,027	21,747

* Includes 2-minute contact time for some surveys, interviews, and focus groups, and approximately 2,500 electronic surveys.

** All figures are rounded.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor and a person is not required to

respond to a collection of information

unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

The authority for this action is the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

Madonna Baucum,

Information Collection Clearance Officer, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

[FR Doc. 2024–05184 Filed 3–11–24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4333–15–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Fish and Wildlife Service

[Docket No. FWS–HQ–JAO–2024–0029; FXGO16621010070–245–FF10G13100; OMB Control Number 1018–New]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Improving Our Understanding of How Trout Anglers Differ in Their Valuations Between Wild and Hatchery Trout

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of information collection; request for comment.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, we, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service), are proposing a new information collection.

DATES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before May 13, 2024.

ADDRESSES: Send your comments on the information collection request (ICR) by one of the following methods (please reference “1018-Trout Angler Survey” in the subject line of your comments):

- *Internet (preferred):* <https://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments on Docket No. FWS–HQ–JAO–2024–0029.

- *U.S. mail:* Service Information Collection Clearance Officer, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 5275 Leesburg Pike, MS: PRB (JAO/3W), Falls Church, VA 22041–3803.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: To request additional information about this ICR, contact Madonna L. Baucum, Service Information Collection Clearance Officer, by email at Info_Coll@fws.gov, or by telephone at (703) 358–2503. Individuals in the United States who are deaf, deafblind, hard of hearing, or have a speech disability may dial 711 (TTY, TDD, or TeleBraille) to access telecommunications relay services. Individuals outside the United States should use the relay services offered within their country to make international calls to the point-of-contact in the United States.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA, 44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*) and its implementing regulations at 5 CFR 1320.8(d)(1), all information collections require approval under the PRA. We may not conduct or sponsor and you are not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

As part of our continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burdens, we invite the public and other Federal agencies to comment on new, proposed, revised, and continuing collections of information. This helps us assess the impact of our information collection requirements and minimize the public’s reporting burden. It also helps the public understand our information collection requirements and provide the requested data in the desired format.

We are especially interested in public comment addressing the following:

- (1) Whether or not the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether or not the information will have practical utility;

- (2) The accuracy of our estimate of the burden for this collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

- (3) Ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

- (4) How might the agency minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.*, permitting electronic submission of response.

Comments that you submit in response to this notice are a matter of public record. We will include or summarize each comment in our request to OMB to approve this ICR. Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Abstract: The Fish and Wildlife Act of 1956 (16 U.S.C. 742(a)–754) establishes a comprehensive national fish and wildlife policy and authorizes the Secretary of the Interior to take steps

required for the development, management, advancement, conservation, and protection of fisheries resources and wildlife resources through research, acquisition of refuge lands, development of existing facilities, and other means. The Service, working with others, is responsible for conserving, protecting, and enhancing fish and wildlife and their habitats for the continuing benefit of the American people through Federal programs relating to migratory birds, endangered species, interjurisdictional fish and marine mammals, and inland sport fisheries.

Pursuant to this mission, the Service acts as a trustee for injured natural resources when oil or hazardous substances are spilled or released into the environment. Through data collected, scientific assessment techniques, and extrapolated through economic analyses, trustees seek to identify the natural resources injured from oil or hazardous substances, determine the extent of the injuries, recover damages from those responsible, and plan and carry out restoration activities. The primary benefit of the Service’s Natural Resource Damage Assessment and Response (NRDAR) program is to achieve restoration of injured resources for the benefit of the American people, and at no cost. This program seeks compensation from responsible parties to restore natural resources for all and allows all Americans to enjoy clean and safe public rivers and lands.

One aspect of the NRDAR program relates to releases of oil or hazardous substances that result in the loss of wild trout populations. When wild trout populations are killed or injured during a release event, one remedy includes using hatchery trout to replace wild trout populations. The potential problem with this approach is that there is substantial anecdotal evidence that trout anglers value and value catching wild trout and hatchery trout differently. If anglers value wild trout lost in a spill or release more highly than hatchery trout, then they may not have been made fully whole by a restoration action that substitutes hatchery trout for wild trout.¹ An examination of existing trout angler valuation studies found that, in the

¹ Department of the Interior regulations at 43 CFR 11.83 state that trustees may recover the replacement and/or acquisition of equivalent natural resources capable of providing such services (as injured) along with the compensable value of the services lost to the public through the completion of the baseline restoration, rehabilitation, replacement, and/or acquisition of equivalent natural resources.