do not use a submitter's contact information for purposes other than verification of a report of harm? By what means can the CPSC enforce such provision?

Workshop 4—Additional Database Content 1 p.m.–2:20 p.m.

The CPSC staff invites discussion and comment on what additional information, other than reports of harm, manufacturer comments, and information derived from mandatory and voluntary recall notices, the Commission should include in the public database, including comment on the following topics:

- What additional categories of information should the CPSC include in the public database and why?
- What, if any, information cannot be included in the public database pursuant to the statute and why?
- Under what circumstances are the provisions of section 6(a) and (b) of the CPSA relevant to the provisions of section 6A of the CPSA, especially with regard to additional categories of information that may be included in the public database?

Workshop 5—Materially Inaccurate Information 2:30 p.m.–4 p.m.

The CPSC staff invites discussion and comment on dealing with materially inaccurate information contained in reports of harm and manufacturer comments, including comment on the following topics:

- Is the CPSC's responsibility with regard to materially inaccurate information limited to reports of harm and manufacturer comments? Why or why not?
- What, if any, measures should the CPSC employ to prevent the submission of fraudulent reports of harm while not discouraging the submission of valid reports?
- What types of information constitute materially inaccurate information? Please explain your reasoning.
- How should the CPSC process a claim that a report of harm or a manufacturer comment contains materially inaccurate information, both before and after such information has been made available in the public database?
- How should the CPSC allow a submitter or others to claim that a manufacturer has submitted materially false information?
- Given the statutory timeframe, how should the CPSC review claims of materially inaccurate information?
- What specific disclaimers should the CPSC make with regard to the

accuracy of the information contained in the public database and why? Where should such disclaimers appear and why?

Please refer to the **DATES** and **ADDRESSES** sections above for more information on relevant dates and times, how to register to attend the workshop, how to submit written comments, and how to request to make an oral presentation at the workshop. The Commission staff may hold additional public workshops in the coming months to follow up on issues discussed at the January 11 and 12, 2010 workshop and to solicit input on additional aspects of the publicly searchable database from stakeholders.

Dated: December 16, 2009.

#### Todd A. Stevenson,

Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission.

[FR Doc. E9–30376 Filed 12–21–09; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6355–01–P

## DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

## Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

AGENCY: Department of Education.
SUMMARY: The Acting Director,
Information Collection Clearance
Division, Regulatory Information
Management Services, Office of
Management invites comments on the
submission for OMB review as required
by the Paperwork Reduction Act of

**DATES:** Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before January 21, 2010.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be addressed to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention: Education Desk Officer, Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th Street, NW., Room 10222, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503, be faxed to (202) 395–5806 or send e-mail to

oira submission@omb.eop.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 3506 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35) requires that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) provide interested Federal agencies and the public an early opportunity to comment on information collection requests. OMB may amend or waive the requirement for public consultation to the extent that public participation in the approval process would defeat the purpose of the information collection, violate State or Federal law, or substantially interfere

with any agency's ability to perform its statutory obligations. The Acting Director, Information Collection Clearance Division, Regulatory Information Management Services, Office of Management, publishes that notice containing proposed information collection requests prior to submission of these requests to OMB. Each proposed information collection, grouped by office, contains the following: (1) Type of review requested, e.g. new, revision, extension, existing or reinstatement; (2) Title; (3) Summary of the collection; (4) Description of the need for, and proposed use of, the information; (5) Respondents and frequency of collection; and (6) Reporting and/or Recordkeeping burden. OMB invites public comment.

Dated: December 17, 2009.

#### James Hyler,

Acting Director, Information Collection Clearance Division, Regulatory Information Management Services, Office of Management.

#### Federal Student Aid

Type of Review: Revision.
Title: National Student Loan Data
System (NSLDS) Collection.
Frequency: Weekly; Monthly;

Quarterly; Semi-Annually.

Affected Public: Businesses or other

for-profit; Not-for-profit institutions; Private Sector; State, Local, or Tribal Gov't, SEAs or LEAs.

Reporting and Recordkeeping Hour Burden:

Responses: 40,872. Burden Hours: 157,456.

Abstract: The U.S. Department of Education will collect data through the NSLDS system from postsecondary schools and guaranty agencies (GAs) about Federal Perkins, Federal Family Education, and William D. Ford Direct Student Loans to be used to determine eligibility for Title IV student financial aid.

Requests for copies of the information collection submission for OMB review may be accessed from http:// edicsweb.ed.gov, by selecting the "Browse Pending Collections" link and by clicking on link number 4158. When you access the information collection, click on "Download Attachments" to view. Written requests for information should be addressed to U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW., LBJ, Washington, DC 20202-4537. Requests may also be electronically mailed to the Internet address ICDocketMgr@ed.gov or faxed to 202-401–0920. Please specify the complete title of the information collection when making your request.

Comments regarding burden and/or the collection activity requirements

should be electronically mailed to *ICDocketMgr@ed.gov* 202–401–0563. Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1–800–877–8339

[FR Doc. E9–30370 Filed 12–21–09; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4000–01–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION**

# Notice of Proposed Information Collection Requests

AGENCY: Department of Education.
SUMMARY: The Acting Director,
Information Collection Clearance
Division, Regulatory Information
Management Services, Office of
Management, invites comments on the
proposed information collection
requests as required by the Paperwork
Reduction Act of 1995.

**DATES:** Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before February 22, 2010.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section** 3506 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35) requires that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) provide interested Federal agencies and the public an early opportunity to comment on information collection requests. OMB may amend or waive the requirement for public consultation to the extent that public participation in the approval process would defeat the purpose of the information collection, violate State or Federal law, or substantially interfere with any agency's ability to perform its statutory obligations. The Acting Director, Information Collection Clearance Division, Regulatory Information Management Services, Office of Management, publishes that notice containing proposed information collection requests prior to submission of these requests to OMB. Each proposed information collection, grouped by office, contains the following: (1) Type of review requested, e.g. new, revision, extension, existing or reinstatement; (2) Title; (3) Summary of the collection; (4) Description of the need for, and proposed use of, the information; (5) Respondents and frequency of collection; and (6) Reporting and/or Recordkeeping burden. OMB invites public comment.

The Department of Education is especially interested in public comment addressing the following issues: (1) Is this collection necessary to the proper functions of the Department; (2) will this information be processed and used in a timely manner; (3) is the estimate

of burden accurate; (4) how might the Department enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (5) how might the Department minimize the burden of this collection on the respondents, including through the use of information technology.

Dated: December 16, 2009.

#### James Hyler,

Acting Director, Information Collection Clearance Division, Regulatory Information Management Services, Office of Management.

### Office of Elementary and Secondary Education

Type of Review: Extension. Title: Formula Grant EASIE (Electronic Application System for Indian Education).

Frequency: Annually.
Affected Public: State, Local, or Tribal
Gov't, SEAs or LEAs.

Reporting and Recordkeeping Hour Burden:

Responses: 11,270. Burden Hours: 9,440.

Abstract: The Office of Indian Education (OIE) of the Department of Education (ED) requests clearance for the Indian Education Formula Grant Application authorized under Title VII, Part A, Subpart 1 of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act, as amended. The Indian Education Formula Grant (CFDA 84.060A), is not competitive or discretionary and requires the annual submission of the application from the local education agency and or tribe. The grant applications submitted for this program assist applicants to provide Indian students with the opportunity to meet the same challenging state standards as all other students and meet the unique educational and culturally related academic needs of American Indian and Alaska Native students. The amount of the award for each applicant is determined by a formula based on the reported number of American Indian/ Alaska Native students identified in the application and the state per pupil expenditure.

Requests for copies of the proposed information collection request may be accessed from http://edicsweb.ed.gov, by selecting the "Browse Pending Collections" link and by clicking on link number 4177. When you access the information collection, click on "Download Attachments" to view. Written requests for information should be addressed to U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW., LBJ, Washington, DC 20202–4537. Requests may also be electronically mailed to ICDocketMgr@ed.gov or faxed to 202–401–0920. Please specify the

complete title of the information collection when making your request.

Comments regarding burden and/or the collection activity requirements should be electronically mailed to *ICDocketMgr@ed.gov* 202–401–0563. Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1–800–877–8339.

[FR Doc. E9–30375 Filed 12–21–09; 8:45 am]

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[Petition IV-2008-4b; FRL-9094-4]

Clean Air Act Operating Permit Program; Petition for Objection to State Operating Permit for East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc.— Hugh L. Spurlock Generating Station; Maysville (Mason County), KY

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice of final order on petition to object to a state operating permit.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to Clean Air Act (CAA) Section 505(b)(2) and 40 CFR 70.8(d), the EPA Administrator signed an Order, dated November 30, 2009, both granting and denying, in part, a petition to object to a merged prevention of significant deterioration (PSD) and state operating permit issued by the Kentucky Division for Air Quality (KDAQ) to East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc. (EKPC) for its Hugh L. Spurlock Generating Station located in Maysville, Mason County, Kentucky. This Order constitutes a final action on the remaining two issues raised in the petition submitted by Sierra Club (Petitioner) on April 28, 2008. Pursuant to section 505(b)(2) of the CAA, any person may seek judicial review of the Order in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit within 60 days of this notice under section 307(b) of the Act.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the Order, the petition, and all pertinent information relating thereto are on file at the following location: EPA Region 4, Air, Pesticides and Toxics Management Division, 61 Forsyth Street, SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303–8960. The Order is also available electronically at the following address: <a href="http://www.epa.gov/region07/programs/artd/air/title5/petitiondb/petitions/spurlock\_2nd\_response2008.pdf">http://www.epa.gov/response2008.pdf</a>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Art Hofmeister, Air Permits Section, EPA