

31. Stapleton-Spence Packing, Gridley, CA
32. Sun-Maid Growers of California, Kingsburg, CA
33. Sunsweet Growers Inc., Yuba City, CA
34. Taylor Brothers Farms, Inc., Yuba City, CA
35. T.M. Duche Nut Company, Inc., Orland, CA
36. Wilbur Packing Company, Inc., Live Oak, CA
37. Valley Fig Growers, Fresno, CA

Dated: October 1, 2015.

**Joseph E. Flynn,**

*Director, Office of Trade and Economic Analysis, International Trade Administration.*

[FR Doc. 2015-25449 Filed 10-6-15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DR-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-583-844]

#### **Narrow Woven Ribbons with Woven Selvedge from Taiwan; Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2013-2014**

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on narrow woven ribbons with woven selvedge from Taiwan. The review covers two producers/exporters of the subject merchandise. The Department selected one mandatory respondent for individual examination, Rong Shu Industry Corporation (Rong Shu). The POR is September 1, 2013, through August 31, 2014. We preliminarily determine that sales of subject merchandise to the United States have been made at prices below normal value (NV). We invite all interested parties to comment on these preliminary results.

**DATES:** Effective date: October 7, 2015.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** David Crespo or Alice Maldonado, AD/CVD Operations, Office II, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3693 and (202) 482-4682, respectively.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Scope of the Order**

The merchandise subject to this order covers narrow woven ribbons with

woven selvedge.<sup>1</sup> The merchandise subject to this order is classifiable under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) statistical categories 5806.32.1020; 5806.32.1030; 5806.32.1050 and 5806.32.1060. Subject merchandise also may enter under subheadings 5806.31.00; 5806.32.20; 5806.39.20; 5806.39.30; 5808.90.00; 5810.91.00; 5810.99.90; 5903.90.10; 5903.90.25; 5907.00.60; and 5907.00.80 and under statistical categories 5806.32.1080; 5810.92.9080; 5903.90.3090; and 6307.90.9889. The HTSUS statistical categories and subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes; however, the written description of the merchandise covered by this order is dispositive.

#### **Methodology**

The Department is conducting this review in accordance with section 751(a)(2) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). Export price is calculated in accordance with section 772 of the Act. NV is calculated in accordance with section 773 of the Act.

For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum. A list of the topics included in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is attached as an Appendix to this notice. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov>, and it is available to all parties in the Central Records Unit, room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <http://trade.gov/enforcement>. The signed and the electronic versions of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

#### **Rate for Non-Selected Companies**

The statute and the Department's regulations do not address what rate to apply to respondents not selected for

individual examination when the Department limits its examination in an administrative review pursuant to section 777A(c)(2) of the Act. Generally, the Department looks to section 735(c)(5) of the Act, which provides instructions for calculating the all-others rate in an investigation, for guidance when calculating the rate for non-selected respondents that are not examined individually in an administrative review. Section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act states that the all-others rate should be calculated by averaging the weighted-average dumping margins for individually-examined respondents, excluding rates that are zero, *de minimis*, or based entirely on facts available. Section 735(c)(5)(B) of the Act provides that, where all rates are zero, *de minimis*, or based entirely on facts available, the Department may use "any reasonable method" for assigning a rate to non-examined respondents.

For these preliminary results, we calculated a zero margin for Rong Shu. Therefore, we preliminarily determine that, consistent with section 735(c)(5)(B), we will assign A-Madeus Textile Ltd. (A-Madeus), the respondent not selected for individual examination, the most recent above *de minimis* margin calculated for a mandatory respondent, which is from the previous administrative review. As discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum, this is consistent with the Department's practice and the documented history of dumping in this case since the imposition of the order. Using this method, we are preliminarily assigning a margin of 30.64 percent to A-Madeus for these preliminary results.<sup>2</sup>

#### **Preliminary Results of the Review**

The Department preliminarily determines that the following weighted-average dumping margins exist:

Producer/exporter	Dumping margin (percent)
Rong Shu Industry Corporation (Rong Shu) .....	0.00
A-Madeus Textile Ltd. (A-Madeus) .....	30.64

#### **Disclosure and Public Comment**

The Department intends to disclose the calculations performed in connection with these preliminary results to interested parties within five

<sup>1</sup> For a complete description of the scope of the Order, see "Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Results of the Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Narrow Woven Ribbons with Woven Selvedge from Taiwan," from Gary Taverman, Associate Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Ronald K. Lorentzen, Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance (Preliminary Decision Memorandum), dated concurrently with this notice.

<sup>2</sup> This margin is from the 2012-2013 administrative review. See *Narrow Woven Ribbons With Woven Selvedge From Taiwan; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2012-2013*, 80 FR 19635 (April 13, 2015).

days after the date of publication of this notice.<sup>3</sup> Interested parties may submit case briefs to the Department no later than 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed no later than five days after the time limit for filing case briefs.<sup>4</sup> Parties who submit case briefs or rebuttal briefs in this proceeding are encouraged to submit with each argument: (1) A statement of the issue; (2) a brief summary of the argument; and (3) a table of authorities.<sup>5</sup> Case and rebuttal briefs should be filed using ACCESS.<sup>6</sup>

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310(c), interested parties who wish to request a hearing must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, filed electronically via ACCESS. An electronically-filed document must be received successfully in its entirety by ACCESS by 5 p.m. Eastern Standard Time within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice.<sup>7</sup> Hearing requests should contain: (1) The party's name, address, and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; and (3) a list of issues to be discussed. Issues raised in the hearing will be limited to issues raised in the briefs. If a request for a hearing is made, parties will be notified of the time and date for the hearing to be held at the U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230.<sup>8</sup>

The Department intends to issue the final results of this administrative review, including the results of its analysis of the issues raised in any written briefs, no later than 120 days after the date of publication of this notice, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h), unless this deadline is extended.

#### Assessment Rates

Upon issuance of the final results, the Department shall determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review.<sup>9</sup>

We will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review if any importer-specific assessment rate calculated in the final results of this review is above *de minimis*. Where

either the respondent's weighted-average dumping margin is zero or *de minimis*, or an importer-specific rate is zero or *de minimis*, we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties. For the company which was not selected for individual review (*i.e.*, A-Madeus), we will assign an assessment rate based on the methodology described in the "Rate for Non-Selected Companies" section, above. The final results of this review shall be the basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on entries of merchandise covered by the final results of this review and for future deposits of estimated duties, where applicable.<sup>10</sup>

We intend to issue liquidation instructions to CBP 15 days after publication of the final results of this review.

#### Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the notice of final results of administrative review for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for each specific company listed above will be equal to the dumping margins established in the final results of this administrative review, unless the rate is less than 0.50 percent and, therefore, *de minimis* within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1), in which case the cash deposit rate will be zero; (2) for merchandise exported by manufacturers or exporters not covered in this review but covered in a prior segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently completed segment; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, or the original investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recently completed segment for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 4.37 percent, the all-others rate determined in the less-than-fair-value investigation.<sup>11</sup> These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

#### Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

#### Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: September 30, 2015.

**Ronald K. Lorentzen,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

#### Appendix—List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

1. Summary
2. Background
3. Scope of the Order
4. Discussion of the Methodology
  - a. Normal Value Comparisons
  - b. Determination of Comparison Method
  - c. Results of Differential Pricing Analysis
  - d. Product Comparisons
  - e. Date of Sale
  - f. Export Price
  - g. Normal Value
  - i. Home Market Viability
  - ii. Level of Trade
  - iii. Cost of Production Analysis
  - iv. Calculation of Normal Value Based on Comparison Market Prices
  - v. Calculation of Normal Value Based on Constructed Value
  - h. Currency Conversion
  - i. Rate for Non-Selected Companies
5. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2015-25571 Filed 10-6-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

#### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

##### International Trade Administration

[A-533-843]

#### Certain Lined Paper Products From India: Notice of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2013-2014

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain lined

<sup>3</sup> See 19 CFR 351.224(b).

<sup>4</sup> See 19 CFR 351.309(d).

<sup>5</sup> See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2) and (d)(2).

<sup>6</sup> See 19 CFR 351.303.

<sup>7</sup> See 19 CFR 351.310(c).

<sup>8</sup> *Id.*

<sup>9</sup> See 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).

<sup>10</sup> See section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act.

<sup>11</sup> See *Narrow Woven Ribbons With Woven Selvage From Taiwan and the People's Republic of China: Amended Antidumping Duty Orders*, 75 FR 56982, 56985 (Sept. 17, 2010).