

205–1810. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission instituted this investigation on April 9, 2001, based on a complaint filed by Proxim, Inc. ("Proxim") against 14 respondents. Three companies subsequently intervened, including Agere Systems Inc. ("Agere"). In its complaint, Proxim contended that respondents' accused products infringed the patent claims in issue because they contained either semiconductors made by intervenor Agere ("the Agere parties") or by respondent Intersil Corp. ("the Intersil parties").

On September 25, 2002, the Commission determined not to review an ID by the then presiding ALJ terminating the investigation as to the Agere parties on the basis of a settlement agreement. Only the Intersil parties then remained in the investigation.

On March 17, 2003, Proxim and Intersil entered into a settlement agreement. On May 5, 2003, Proxim and the Intersil parties filed a joint motion to terminate the investigation on the basis of the settlement agreement. The Commission investigative attorney supported the joint motion.

On May 15, 2003, the current presiding ALJ issued the subject ID (Order No. 106) granting the joint motion of Proxim and the Intersil parties to terminate the investigation on the basis of a settlement agreement. No party filed a petition to review the ID.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in § 210.42 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (19 CFR § 210.42).

Issued: June 11, 2003.

By order of the Commission.

**Marilyn R. Abbott,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 03–15250 Filed 6–16–03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020–02–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Antitrust Division

#### Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—IMS Global Learning Consortium, Inc.

Notice is hereby given that, on May 27, 2003, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), IMS Global Learning Consortium, Inc. has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership status. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Artesia Technologies, Rockville, MD has been dropped as a party to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and IMS Global Learning Consortium, Inc. intends to file additional written notification disclosing all changes in membership.

On April 7, 2000, IMS Global Learning Consortium, Inc. filed its original notification pursuant to section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on September 13, 2000 (65 FR 55283).

The last notification was filed with the Department on March 5, 2003. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on March 27, 2003 (68 FR 15004).

**Constance K. Robinson,**

*Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.*

[FR Doc. 03–15181 Filed 6–16–03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410–11–M**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Antitrust Division

#### Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Semiconductor Test Consortium, Inc.

Notice is hereby given that, on May 27, 2003, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), Semiconductor Test Consortium, Inc. has filed written notifications simultaneously with the

Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing (1) the identities of the parties and (2) the nature and objectives of the venture. The notifications were filed for the purpose of invoking the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act, the identities of the parties are Advantest Corporation, Tokyo, JAPAN; Intel Corporation, Chandler, AZ; and Motorola, Inc., Austin, TX. The nature and objectives of the venture are to administer the development, support and promotion of the specifications of Open Semiconductor Test Architecture ("OPENSTAR"), a testing standard which defines a flexible testing platform for complex logic devices for use in the semiconductor and automated test equipment industries. The mission of Semiconductor Test Consortium, Inc. is to support the development and long-term success of OPENSTAR, including the delivery of technical and economic performance sustainability, open architecture, and multi-vendor interoperability at both the hardware and software levels. Semiconductor Test Consortium, Inc. shall achieve this mission by developing and promoting its open architecture specifications as industry-wide standards, issuing design guidelines relating to its specifications, presenting activities that promote the use of the specifications, and providing for the licensing or publication of the specifications on reasonable and non-discriminatory terms to both members and non-members alike.

**Constance K. Robinson,**

*Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.*

[FR Doc. 03–15182 Filed 6–16–03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410–11–M**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Drug Enforcement Administration

#### Manufacturer of Controlled Substances; Notice of Registration

By Notice dated January 27, 2003, and published in the **Federal Register** on February 6, 2003 (68 FR 6181), AccuStandard, Inc., 125 Market Street, New Haven, Connecticut 06513, made application by letter to the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) for registration as a bulk manufacturer of the basic classes of controlled substances listed below: