

TABLE 1—ALL MATERIAL INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Document	Revision	Date
Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 747–53A2367	2	October 30, 2008.
Boeing Service Bulletin 747–53–2367	Original	December 18, 1991.
Boeing Service Bulletin 747–53–2367	1	January 27, 1994.
Boeing Service Bulletin 747–53A2367	3	January 15, 2009.

(1) The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference of the Boeing service information specified in

Table 2 of this AD under 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51.

TABLE 2—NEW MATERIAL INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Document	Revision	Date
Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 747–53A2367	2	October 30, 2008.
Boeing Service Bulletin 747–53A2367	3	January 15, 2009.

(2) The Director of the Federal Register previously approved the incorporation by reference of the Boeing service information

contained in Table 3 of this AD on July 13, 1994 (59 FR 30277, June 13, 1994).

TABLE 3—MATERIAL PREVIOUSLY INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Document	Revision	Date
Boeing Service Bulletin 747–53–2367	Original	December 18, 1991.
Boeing Service Bulletin 747–53–2367	1	January 27, 1994.

(3) For service information identified in this AD, contact Boeing Commercial Airplanes, Attention: Data & Services Management, P.O. Box 3707, MC 2H–65, Seattle, Washington 98124–2207; telephone 206–544–5000, extension 1, fax 206–766–5680; e-mail me.boecom@boeing.com; Internet <https://www.myboeingfleet.com>.

(4) You may review copies of the service information at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call 425–227–1221.

(5) You may also review copies of the service information that is incorporated by reference at the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of this material at NARA, call 202–741–6030, or go to: http://www.archives.gov/federal_register/code_of_federal_regulations/ibr_locations.html.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on April 27, 2010.

Ali Bahrami,

Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 2010–10875 Filed 5–14–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 71

[Docket No. FAA–2009–0007; Airspace Docket No. 09–AAL–20]

Amendment of Jet Route J–120; Alaska

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This action amends Jet Route J–120, in Alaska. The FAA is taking this action in preparation of the eventual decommissioning of the Barter Island (BTI) Non-directional Beacon (NDB) at the Village of Kaktovik, Alaska. This action ensures the safe and efficient use of the airspace within the National Airspace System (NAS).

DATES: Effective date 0901 UTC, July 29, 2010. The Director of the Federal Register approves this incorporation by reference action under 1 CFR part 51, subject to the annual revision of FAA Order 7400.9 and publication of conforming amendments.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ken McElroy, Airspace and Rules Group, Office of System Operations Airspace and AIM, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence

Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591; telephone: (202) 267–8783.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

History

On February 9, 2010, the FAA published in the **Federal Register** a notice of proposed rulemaking to amend Jet Route J–120, in Alaska (75 FR 6320). The Barter Island NDB is scheduled for decommissioning, and will make the northern end (from Fort Yukon VORTAC to BTI) of this route unusable. Two Area Navigation T Routes (T–228, T–273) have been added to the NAS to service the Barter Island area. Interested parties were invited to participate in this rulemaking proceeding by submitting written comments on the proposal. No comments were received. The amendment is adopted as proposed.

The Rule

This action amends Title 14 Code of Federal Regulations (14 CFR) part 71 by amending Jet Route J–120, Alaska. The segment from the Fort Yukon VORTAC to the BTI NDB will be removed due to decommissioning of the BTI NDB.

Jet routes are published in paragraph 2004 of FAA Order 7400.9T signed August 27, 2009, and effective September 15, 2009, which is incorporated by reference in 14 CFR 71.1. The Jet route listed in this

document will be published subsequently in the Order.

The FAA has determined that this regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current. Therefore, this regulation: (1) Is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under Department of Transportation (DOT) Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a regulatory evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. Since this is a routine matter that will only affect air traffic procedures and air navigation, it is certified that this rule, when promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

The FAA's authority to issue rules regarding aviation safety is found in Title 49 of the United States Code. Subtitle I, Section 106 describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. Subtitle VII, Aviation Programs, describes in more detail the scope of the agency's authority.

This rulemaking is promulgated under the authority described in Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart I, Section 40103. Under that section, the FAA is charged with prescribing regulations to assign the use of the airspace necessary to ensure the safety of aircraft and the efficient use of airspace. This regulation is within the scope of that authority as it amends a Jet Route in Alaska.

Environmental Review

The FAA has determined that this action qualifies for categorical exclusion under the National Environmental Policy Act in accordance with FAA Order 1050.1E, Environmental Impacts: Policies and Procedures, paragraph 311a. This airspace action is not expected to cause any potentially significant environmental impacts, and no extraordinary circumstances exist that warrant preparation of an environmental assessment.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 71

Airspace, Incorporation by reference, Navigation (air).

Adoption of the Amendment

■ In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration amends 14 CFR part 71 as follows:

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF CLASS A, B, C, D, AND E AIRSPACE AREAS; AIR TRAFFIC SERVICE ROUTES; AND REPORTING POINTS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 71 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40103, 40113, 40120; E.O. 10854, 24 FR 9565, 3 CFR, 1959–1963 Comp., p. 389.

§ 71.1 [Amended]

■ 2. The incorporation by reference in 14 CFR 71.1 of FAA Order 7400.9T, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, signed August 27, 2009, and effective September 15, 2009, is to be amended as follows:

Paragraph 2004—Jet Routes

* * * * *

J-120 [Revised]

From Mt. Moffett, AK, NDB, via St. Paul Island, AK, NDB; Bethel, AK; McGrath, AK; Fairbanks, AK; to Fort Yukon, AK.

Issued in Washington, DC, on May 6, 2010.

Edith V. Parish,

Manager, Airspace and Rules Group.

[FR Doc. 2010–11495 Filed 5–14–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Occupational Safety and Health Administration

29 CFR Part 1926

Safety Standards for Steel Erection

AGENCY: Occupational Safety and Health Administration, Labor.

ACTION: Final rule; technical amendment.

SUMMARY: This technical amendment adds a nonmandatory note to the OSHA standards governing steel erection. The note provides information regarding existing Federal Highway Administration regulations that may apply to employers engaged in activities covered by OSHA's steel erection standards.

DATES: *Effective date:* May 17, 2010.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

General information and press inquiries: Ms. Jennifer Ashley, Office of Communications, Room N–3647, OSHA, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210; telephone (202) 693–1999.

Technical inquiries: Contact Mr. Levon Schlichter, Directorate of Construction, Room N–3468, OSHA, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington,

DC 20210; telephone (202) 693–2020 or fax (202) 693–1689.

Electronic copies of this Federal Register notice: Go to OSHA's Web site (<http://www.osha.gov>), and select "Federal Register," "Date of Publication," and then "2010."

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background. On May 15, 2004, a fatal highway accident occurred on an interstate highway in Colorado as a passenger vehicle passed under an overpass that was being widened. The bracing used to temporarily support a partially installed steel girder on the overpass collapsed, causing the girder to fall to the highway below, shearing off the top of the vehicle and killing the three occupants inside. The National Transportation Safety Board (NTSB) subsequently investigated the accident and determined that the probable cause was insufficient design and installation of the girder's temporary bracing system. NTSB also found that a Registered Engineer did not approve the bracing-system design, which violates Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) regulations (*See discussion in the following paragraph*).¹

FHWA regulations generally require employers involved in National Highway System construction projects to comply with a number of standards, policies, and standard specifications published by the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials ("AASHTO"), among other organizations (*See* 23 CFR 625.3, 625.4). FHWA also encourages compliance with AASHTO Specifications that the FHWA regulations do not currently incorporate by reference. (*See* <http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/bridge/lrfd/index.htm>.)

For projects involving bridge construction (*e.g.*, temporary bracing systems), the FHWA regulations incorporate by reference AASHTO's Standard Specifications for Highway Bridges, 15th edition, 1992 (*See* 23 CFR 625.4). The 1992 Specifications provide that a Registered Engineer must prepare and seal working drawings for falsework in many cases.

OSHA believes that knowledge of these requirements will enhance the safety of employees operating on or near structural steel elements used in highway construction, including bridges and other structures. Therefore, OSHA is adding a note to 29 CFR 1926.754(a) to inform construction employers of the FHWA requirements.

¹ The NTSB published the findings of this investigation in NTSB Safety Recommendation H–06–23, June 29, 2006; *see* "Technical Inquiries" mentioned earlier to obtain a copy of this document.