

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-78692; File No. SR-NYSEMKT-2016-81]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; NYSE MKT LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change Amending Rule 13—Equities To Eliminate Orders With a Sell “Plus” and Buy “Minus” Instruction and Retain Orders With a “Buy Minus Zero Plus” Instruction, and Make Conforming Changes to Rules 104—Equities, 107B—Equities, 123C—Equities and 1004—Equities

August 26, 2016.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1)¹ of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Act”)² and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,³ notice is hereby given that on August 19, 2016, NYSE MKT LLC (the “Exchange” or “NYSE MKT”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared by the self-regulatory organization. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend Rule 13—Equities to eliminate orders with a sell “plus” and buy “minus” instruction and retain orders with a “Buy Minus Zero Plus” instruction, and (2) make conforming changes to Rules 104—Equities, 107B—Equities, 123C—Equities and 1004—Equities. The proposed rule change is available on the Exchange’s Web site at www.nyse.com, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of those statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below,

of the most significant parts of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and the Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend Rule 13—Equities (“Rule 13”) to eliminate orders with a sell “plus” and buy “minus” instruction and retain orders with a “Buy Minus Zero Plus” instruction, and make conforming changes to Rules 104—Equities (“Rule 104”), 107B—Equities (“Rule 107B”), 123C—Equities (“Rule 123C”) and 1004—Equities (“Rule 1004”). The Exchange proposes to eliminate orders with a sell “plus” and buy “minus” instruction for all securities both to streamline its rules and reduce complexity among its order type offerings.⁴

Because of the technology changes associated with the proposed rule change, the Exchange proposes to announce the implementation date of the elimination of the order types via Trader Update.

Elimination of Sell “Plus” and Buy “Minus” Order Instructions (Rule 13)

The Exchange proposes to eliminate, and thus delete from its rules, sell “plus” and buy “minus” order instructions, as defined in Rule 13(f)(4)(A) and (B), respectively. Rule 13(f)(4)(B) would also be amended to retain a “Buy Minus Zero Plus” instruction.

First, the Exchange proposes to eliminate the sell “plus” order instruction. An order with a sell “plus” instruction is an order that will not trade at a price that is lower than the last sale if the last sale was a “plus” or “zero plus” tick or that is lower than the last sale plus the minimum fractional change in the stock if the last sale was a “minus” or “zero minus” tick, subject to the limit price of an order, if applicable.⁵

To reflect elimination of the sell “plus” order instruction, the Exchange proposes to delete subsection (f)(4)(A) of Rule 13, which defines the sell “plus” instruction, in its entirety. Subsection (4)(B) of Rule 13(f), amended as described below, would become new subsection (4)(A).

⁴ See, e.g., Mary Jo White, Chair, Securities and Exchange Commission, Speech at the Sandler O’Neill & Partners, L.P. Global Exchange and Brokerage Conference (June 5, 2014) (available at www.sec.gov/News/Speech/Detail/Speech/1370542004312#.U5HI-fmwjw).

⁵ See Rule 13(f)(4)(A)—Equities.

Second, the Exchange proposes to eliminate the buy “minus” order instruction defined in Rule 13(f)(4)(B) and retain the “Buy Minus Zero Plus” order. An order with a buy “minus” instruction will not trade at a price that is higher than the last sale if the last sale was a “minus” or “zero minus” tick or that is higher than the last sale minus the minimum fractional change in the stock if the last sale was a “plus” or “zero plus” tick, subject to the limit price of an order, if applicable.⁶

Exchange rules would continue to permit an order with a “Buy Minus Zero Plus” instruction, which is currently a sub-set of the instructions available under Rule 13(f)(4)(B). A Buy Minus Zero Plus order instruction assists member organizations with compliance with the “safe harbor” provisions of Rule 10b-18 under the Act (“Rule 10b-18”) for issuer repurchases.⁷ One of the four provisions required to meet the safe harbor provision is if the purchase price of a security does not exceed the highest independent bid or the last independent transaction price.⁸ Because an order with a Buy Minus Zero Plus instruction will not trade at a price that is higher than the last sale, member organizations can use this instruction to facilitate their compliance with at least one of the conditions of the safe harbor provision of Rule 10b-18.⁹

To reflect elimination of the buy “minus” order instruction and retention of the “Buy Minus Zero Plus” instruction, the Exchange proposes to add “Zero Plus” after “buy minus” in the first sentence of proposed new Rule 13(f)(4)(A), capitalize “buy minus,” and delete the phrase “if the last sale was a ‘minus’ or ‘zero minus’ tick or that is higher than the last sale minus the minimum fractional change in the stock if the last sale was a ‘plus’ or ‘zero plus’ tick” following “will not trade at a price that is higher than the last sale.” As proposed, an order with an instruction to “Buy Minus Zero Plus” would not trade at a price that is higher than the last sale, subject to the limit price of the order, if applicable.

The remaining subsections of Rule 13(f)(4) would be amended to reflect these proposed changes, as follows.

⁶ See Rule 13(f)(4)(B)—Equities.

⁷ See 17 CFR 240.10b-18.

⁸ See 17 CFR 240.10b-18(b)(3). The other three conditions relate to time of purchases, volume of purchases, and a requirement that only one broker or dealer be involved in such repurchases on a single day.

⁹ The Exchange does not represent that an order with a Buy Minus Zero Plus instruction is guaranteed to meet the requirements of the safe harbor provision of Rule 10b-18; rather, this instruction is available to member organizations to facilitate their own compliance with Rule 10b-18.

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 15 U.S.C. 78a.

³ 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

Current subsection (C) provides that sell “plus” and buy “minus” instructions are available for Limit Orders, Limit-on-Open (“LOO”) Orders, Limit-on-Close (“LOC”) Orders, and Market-on-Close (“MOC”) Orders. Further, the current rule provides that orders with a buy “minus” instruction that are systemically delivered to Exchange systems will be eligible to be automatically executed in accordance with, and to the extent provided by, Rules 1000–1004—Equities, consistent with the order’s instructions.

Current subsection (C) would become subsection (B) and would be amended to reflect that the “Buy Minus Zero Plus” order instruction would only be available for limit orders. The Exchange would accordingly amend the first sentence of current subsection (C) to:

- Delete “sell ‘plus’ and”;
- add “Zero Plus” after “buy minus” and capitalize “buy minus”;
- delete “LOO Orders, LOC Orders, and MOC Orders”; and
- add the word “only” after “Limit Orders”.

The second sentence of proposed new subsection (B) would be amended to:

- Add “Zero Plus” after “buy minus” and capitalize “buy minus”; and
- delete the clause “or sell ‘plus’”.

Finally, current subsection (D), which provides that odd-lot sized transactions shall not be considered the last sale for purposes of executing sell “plus” or “buy” minus orders would become new subsection (C) of Rule 13(f)(4). Proposed new subsection (C) would be amended to:

- Delete the clause “sell ‘plus’ or” before “buy minus”; and capitalize “buy minus”; and
- add “Zero Plus” after “buy minus”.

Conforming Amendments

The Exchange proposes certain conforming amendments to Rules 104, 107B, 123C and 1004 to reflect the elimination of sell “plus” and buy “minus” instruction as described above as follows.

Rule 104

The Exchange proposes to amend Rule 104 (Dealings and Responsibilities of Designated Market Makers (“DMMs”)). Specifically, Rule 104(b)(vi) provides that DMM units may not enter certain orders and modifiers including, among others, orders with Sell “Plus”—Buy “Minus” Instructions.

To conform Rule 104, the Exchange proposes to delete “Sell ‘Plus’—” and the quotes around the word “Minus” from Rule 104(b)(vi) and add the phrase “Zero Plus” after “Minus” and before “Instructions.” As proposed, Rule

104(b)(vi) would provide that DMM units may not enter orders with Buy Minus Zero Plus Instructions.

Rule 107B

The Exchange proposes to amend Rule 107B (Supplemental Liquidity Providers), which sets forth the rules governing Supplemental Liquidity Providers (“SLPs”). An SLP is an Exchange member organization that electronically enters proprietary orders or quotes from off the Floor into the systems and facilities of the Exchange and is obligated, among other things, to maintain a bid or an offer at the NBB or NBO in each assigned security in round lots for at least 5% of the trading day, on average, and for all assigned SLP securities.¹⁰ Rules 107B(g) sets forth how the Exchange calculates whether an SLP is meeting its 5% quoting requirement. Subsection (D)(iii) of Rule 107B(g) provides that tick sensitive orders such as “‘Sell Plus’, ‘Buy Minus’ (see Rule 13) and ‘Buy Minus Zero Plus’” will not be counted as credit towards the 5% quoting requirement.

To conform Rule 107B, the Exchange proposes to delete the phrase “Tick sensitive orders (*i.e.*, “Sell Plus” and “Buy Minus” orders (see Rule 13) and” in subsection (D)(iii), add the word “orders” following “Buy Minus Zero Plus,” and delete a parenthesis and quotation marks. As amended, Rule 107B(D)(iii) would provide that Buy Minus Zero Plus orders will not be counted as credit towards the 10% quoting requirement.

Rule 123C

The Exchange proposes to amend Rule 123C (The Closing Procedures), which specifies the procedures to be followed at the close of trading on the Exchange.

Rule 123C(4)(a) describes how the Exchange calculates MOC and LOC imbalances, which is intended to provide market participants with a snapshot of the prices at which interest eligible to participate in the closing transaction would be executed in full against each other at the time the data feed is disseminated. Subsection (vi) of Rule 123C(4)(a) provides that tick sensitive MOC and LOC interest and LOC orders priced equal to the last sale can reduce the Buy or Sell Imbalance to bring the imbalance quantity as close to zero as possible. The Rule also provides that the volume of tick sensitive MOC and LOC orders eligible to reduce the imbalance shall not cause the imbalance to change to the other side.

¹⁰ See Rule 107B(a)—Equities.

Rule 123C(4)(a)(vi)(A) specifies that, in the event of a Buy Imbalance, only Sell Plus MOC orders, Sell Plus LOC orders priced equal to or below the last sale price, and Sell and Sell Short LOC orders priced equal to the last sale will be included to offset the imbalance, and that Sell Plus MOC and Sell Plus LOC orders will be included to offset the imbalance only if such orders could be executed consistent with the terms of their tick restrictions.

Rule 123C(4)(a)(vi)(B) specifies that, in the event of a Sell Imbalance, only Buy Minus MOC orders, Buy Minus LOC orders priced equal to or above the last sale price, and Buy LOC orders priced equal to the last sale will be included to offset the imbalance. The Rule also provides that Buy Minus MOC and Buy Minus LOC orders will be included to offset the imbalance only if such orders could be executed consistent with the terms of their tick restrictions.

To reflect the elimination of orders with a sell “plus” instruction and buy “minus” instructions, *i.e.*, tick-sensitive orders, and the fact that as proposed, Buy Minus Zero Plus orders would not be available for MOC or LOC Orders, the Exchange proposes to amend Rule 123C as follows:

- Amend Rule 123C(4)(a)(vi) to delete the phrase “tick sensitive MOC orders and LOC orders and” before “LOC orders priced equal to the last sale to bring the imbalance quantity as close to zero as possible.” The Exchange also proposes to delete the last sentence in Rule 123C(4)(a)(vi), which provides that “[t]he volume of tick sensitive MOC and LOC orders eligible to reduce the imbalance shall not cause the imbalance to change to the other side.”

- Amend Rule 123C(4)(a)(vi)(A) to remove references to Sell Plus MOC orders and Sell Plus LOC orders priced equal to or below the last sale price. The Exchange also proposes to delete the last sentence of the subsection (A), which provides that “Sell Plus MOC and Sell Plus LOC orders will be included to offset the imbalance only if such orders could be executed consistent with the terms of their tick restrictions.”

- Amend Rule 123C(4)(a)(vi)(B) to remove references to Buy Minus MOC orders and Buy Minus LOC orders priced equal to or above the last sale price. The Exchange also proposes to delete the last sentence of the subsection (B), which provides that “Buy Minus MOC and Buy Minus LOC orders will be included to offset the imbalance only if such orders could be executed consistent with the terms of their tick restrictions.”

Rule 1004

Finally, the Exchange proposes to amend Rule 1004 (Election of Buy Minus, Sell Plus and Stop Orders), which provides that automatic executions of transactions reported to the Consolidated Tape shall elect, among others, buy minus and sell plus orders electable at the price of such executions. The Rule further provides that any buy minus and sell plus orders so elected shall be automatically executed as market orders pursuant to Exchange rules.

To reflect the elimination of orders with a Sell "Plus" and Buy "Minus" instruction and retention of "Buy Minus Zero Plus" orders, the Exchange proposes to add "Zero Plus" after "buy minus," in Rule 1004, capitalize "buy minus," and delete the phrase "and sell plus" in two places. The Exchange also proposes to capitalize "market orders." As amended, Rule 1004 would allow for the automatic execution of Buy Minus Zero Plus orders electable at the price of such executions.

2. Statutory Basis

The proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b)¹¹ of the Act, in general, and furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(5),¹² in particular, in that it is designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in facilitating transactions in securities, and to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system.

Specifically, the Exchange believes that eliminating orders with a sell "plus" and buy "minus" instruction removes impediments to and perfects a national market system by simplifying functionality and complexity of its order types. The Exchange believes that eliminating these order types across all securities would not be inconsistent with the public interest and the protection of investors because investors will not be harmed and in fact would benefit from the removal of complex functionality.

The Exchange further believes that deleting corresponding references in Exchange rules to deleted order types also removes impediments to and perfects the mechanism of a free and open market by ensuring that members, regulators and the public can more easily navigate the Exchange's rulebook and better understand the orders types

available for trading on the Exchange. Removing obsolete cross references also furthers the goal of transparency and adds clarity to the Exchange's rules.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The proposed change is not designed to address any competitive issue but would rather remove complex functionality and obsolete cross-references, thereby reducing confusion and making the Exchange's rules easier to understand and navigate.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were solicited or received with respect to the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The Exchange has filed the proposed rule change pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(iii) of the Act¹³ and Rule 19b-4(f)(6) thereunder.¹⁴ Because the proposed rule change does not: (i) Significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest; (ii) impose any significant burden on competition; and (iii) become operative prior to 30 days from the date on which it was filed, or such shorter time as the Commission may designate, if consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest, the proposed rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act and Rule 19b-4(f)(6)(iii) thereunder.¹⁵

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings

to determine whether the proposed rule change should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-NYSEMKT-2016-81 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Brent J. Fields, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NYSEMKT-2016-81. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549 on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NYSEMKT-2016-81 and should be submitted on or before September 21, 2016.

¹¹ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

¹² 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

¹³ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(iii).

¹⁴ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6).

¹⁵ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6). As required under Rule 19b-4(f)(6)(iii), the Exchange provided the Commission with written notice of its intent to file the proposed rule change, along with a brief description and the text of the proposed rule change, at least five business days prior to the date of filing of the proposed rule change, or such shorter time as designated by the Commission.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.¹⁶

Brent J. Fields,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2016-20959 Filed 8-30-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-78697; File No. SR-BatsBZX-2016-53]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Bats BZX Exchange, Inc.; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change To Amend Rule 19.6, Series of Options Contracts Open for Trading, To Allow Wednesday Expirations for SPY Options

August 26, 2016.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”),¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that, on August 25, 2016, Bats BZX Exchange, Inc. (the “Exchange” or “BZX”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Exchange has designated this proposal as a “non-controversial” proposed rule change pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act³ and Rule 19b-4(f)(6) thereunder,⁴ which renders it effective upon filing with the Commission. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange filed a proposal to amend Rule 19.6, entitled “Series of Options Contracts Open for Trading,” related to the Short Term Option Series (“STOS”) Program to allow Wednesday expirations for SPY options. The Exchange also proposes to make corresponding changes to Rule 16.1, entitled “Definitions.” The text of the proposed rule change is available at the Exchange’s Web site at www.batstrading.com, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in Sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant parts of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The purpose of the proposed rule change is to harmonize the Exchange’s rules with the rules governing Short Term Options Series programs of other options exchanges. Specifically, the Exchange proposes to amend Rule 19.6, entitled “Series of Options Contracts Open for Trading,” related to the STOS Program to allow Wednesday expirations for SPY options. The Exchange also proposes to make certain corresponding changes to 16.1, entitled “Definitions.” The proposed rule change is based on the recent approval of a filing submitted by the BOX Options Exchange LLC (“BOX”).⁵

Currently, under the STOS Program, the Exchange may open for trading on any Thursday or Friday that is a business day series of options on that class that expire on each of the next five Fridays, provided that such Friday is not a Friday in which monthly options series or Quarterly Options Series expire (“Short Term Option Series”). The Exchange is now proposing to amend its rule to permit the listing of options expiring on Wednesdays. Specifically, the Exchange is proposing that it may open for trading on any Tuesday or Wednesday that is a business day, series of options on the SPDR S&P 500 ETF Trust (“SPY”) to expire on any Wednesday of the month that is a business day and is not a Wednesday in which Quarterly Options Series expire (“Wednesday SPY Expirations”).⁶ The proposed Wednesday SPY Expiration series will be similar to the current Short Term Option Series, with certain exceptions, as explained in greater

detail below. The Exchange notes that having Wednesday expirations is not a novel proposal. Specifically, the Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated (“CBOE”) recently received approval to list Wednesday expirations for broad-based indexes.⁷

In regards to Wednesday SPY Expirations, the Exchange is proposing to remove the current restriction preventing it from listing Short Term Option Series that expire in the same week in which monthly option series in the same class expire. Specifically, the Exchange will be allowed to list Wednesday SPY Expirations in the same week in which monthly option series in SPY expire. The current restriction to prohibit the expiration of monthly and Short Term Option Series from expiring on the same trading day is reasonable to avoid investor confusion. This confusion will not apply with Wednesday SPY Expirations and standard monthly options because they will not expire on the same trading day, as standard monthly options do not expire on Wednesdays. Additionally, it would lead to investor confusion if Wednesday SPY Expirations were not listed for one week every month because there was a monthly SPY expiration on the Friday of that week.

Under the proposed Wednesday SPY Expirations, the Exchange may list up to five consecutive Wednesday SPY Expirations at one time. The Exchange may have no more than a total of five Wednesday SPY Expirations listed. This is the same listing procedure as Short Term Option Series that expire on Fridays. The Exchange is also proposing to clarify that the five series limit in the current Short Term Option Series Program Rule will not include any Wednesday SPY Expirations.⁸ This means, under the proposal, the Exchange would be allowed to list five Short Term Option Series expirations for SPY expiring on Friday under the current rule and five Wednesday SPY Expirations. The interval between strike prices for the proposed Wednesday SPY Expirations will be the same as those for the current Short Term Option Series. Specifically, the Wednesday SPY Expirations will have \$0.50 strike intervals.

Currently, for each Short Term Option Expiration Date,⁹ the Exchange is

⁷ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 76909 (January 14, 2016), 81 FR 3512 (January 21, 2016) (SR-CBOE-2015-106).

⁸ See proposed changes to Interpretation and Policy .05 to Rule 19.6.

⁹ The Exchange may open for trading on any Thursday or Friday that is a business day series of options on that class that expire on each of the next

Continued

¹⁶ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

⁴ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6).

⁵ See Securities and Exchange Act Release No. 78668 (August 24, 2016) (SR-BOX-2016-28).

⁶ See proposed paragraph (g) of Interpretation and Policy .05 to Rule 19.6.