

Frequency of Submission: On occasion.

Total Estimated Responses: 25,211.

Total Estimated Total Annual Burden: 119 hours.

Type of Request: Extension without change of a currently approved collection.

Pursuant to 44 U.S.C. 3507(a) and 5 CFR 1320.5(b), 1320.8(b)(3)(vi), FRA informs all interested parties that it may not conduct or sponsor, and a respondent is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

Authority: 44 U.S.C. 3501–3520.

Issued in Washington, DC, on November 19, 2015.

Corey Hill,

Acting Executive Director.

[FR Doc. 2015–29974 Filed 11–24–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–06–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Maritime Administration

[Docket No. MARAD–2015 0126]

Requested Administrative Waiver of the Coastwise Trade Laws: Vessel VAGO; Invitation for Public Comments

AGENCY: Maritime Administration, Department of Transportation.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: As authorized by 46 U.S.C. 12121, the Secretary of Transportation, as represented by the Maritime Administration (MARAD), is authorized to grant waivers of the U.S.-build requirement of the coastwise laws under certain circumstances. A request for such a waiver has been received by MARAD. The vessel, and a brief description of the proposed service, is listed below.

DATES: Submit comments on or before December 28, 2015.

ADDRESSES: Comments should refer to docket number MARAD–2015–0126. Written comments may be submitted by hand or by mail to the Docket Clerk, U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M–30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Washington, DC 20590. You may also send comments electronically via the Internet at <http://www.regulations.gov>. All comments will become part of this docket and will be available for

inspection and copying at the above address between 10 a.m. and 5 p.m., E.T., Monday through Friday, except federal holidays. An electronic version of this document and all documents entered into this docket is available on the World Wide Web at <http://www.regulations.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Linda Williams, U.S. Department of Transportation, Maritime Administration, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Room W23–453, Washington, DC 20590. Telephone 202–366–0903, Email Linda.Williams@dot.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

As described by the applicant the intended service of the vessel VAGO is:

Intended Commercial Use of Vessel:

“To provide recreational and alternative, substance abuse free, activities for patients under therapeutic clinical care at Comprehensive Human Services, Inc. and associated recovery/treatment facilities in the greater San Diego area. Vessel would be used for team building, meditation, problem-solving training and general recreation and mindfulness exercises for patients and their families only. No fishing or other commercial activities would be conducted. Cost would be minimal and not intended to generate profit, but rather to simply cover fuel, maintenance and operating/berthing expenses.”

Geographic Region: “California”

The complete application is given in DOT docket MARAD–2015–0126 at <http://www.regulations.gov>. Interested parties may comment on the effect this action may have on U.S. vessel builders or businesses in the U.S. that use U.S.-flag vessels. If MARAD determines, in accordance with 46 U.S.C. 12121 and MARAD’s regulations at 46 CFR part 388, that the issuance of the waiver will have an unduly adverse effect on a U.S.-vessel builder or a business that uses U.S.-flag vessels in that business, a waiver will not be granted. Comments should refer to the docket number of this notice and the vessel name in order for MARAD to properly consider the comments. Comments should also state the commenter’s interest in the waiver application, and address the waiver criteria given in § 388.4 of MARAD’s regulations at 46 CFR part 388.

Privacy Act

Anyone is able to search the electronic form of all comments received into any of our dockets by the

name of the individual submitting the comment (or signing the comment, if submitted on behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.). You may review DOT’s complete Privacy Act Statement in the **Federal Register** published on April 11, 2000 (Volume 65, Number 70; Pages 19477–78).

By Order of the Maritime Administrator.

Dated: November 10, 2015.

T. Mitchell Hudson, Jr.,

Secretary, Maritime Administration.

[FR Doc. 2015–30028 Filed 11–24–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–81–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Surface Transportation Board

[Docket No. FD 35965 (Sub-No. 1)]

Indiana Southern Railroad, LLC—Temporary Trackage Rights Exemption—Norfolk Southern Railway Company

AGENCY: Surface Transportation Board, DOT.

ACTION: Partial revocation of exemption.

SUMMARY: Under 49 U.S.C. 10502, the Board revokes the class exemption as it pertains to the trackage rights described in Docket No. FD 35965¹ to permit the trackage rights to expire on January 1, 2020, as provided in the underlying temporary trackage rights agreement subject to the employee protective conditions set forth in *Oregon Short Line Railroad—Abandonment Portion Goshen Branch Between Firth & Ammon, in Bingham & Bonneville Counties, Idaho*, 360 I.C.C. 91 (1979).

¹ In that docket, on October 5, 2015, Indiana Southern Railroad, LLC (ISRR) filed a Verified Notice of Exemption under the Board’s class exemption procedures at 49 CFR 1180.2(d)(7). The notice addressed an agreement between (ISRR) and Norfolk Southern Railway Company (NS) that is intended to grant ISRR limited overhead temporary trackage rights until January 1, 2020, to operate over and provide rail service to one customer on a portion of NS’s rail line between milepost 0.8 EJ at Oakland City Junction, Ind., and milepost 4.8 EJ at Enosville, Ind. ISRR stated that because the temporary trackage rights are longer than a year in duration, its verified notice was not filed under the Board’s class exemption for temporary trackage rights under 49 CFR 1180.2(d)(8). Instead, ISRR concurrently filed a Petition for Partial Revocation in this sub-docket. Notice of the exemption was served and published in the **Federal Register** on October 21, 2015 (80 FR 63,871). That notice indicated that the Board would address the Petition for Partial Revocation in a subsequent decision, which it is doing here and in the Board’s decision served today in this sub-docket.