

not practicable to complete the review within the time period, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend these deadlines to a maximum of 365 days and 180 days, respectively.

The Department needs additional time due to conduct the constructed export price verification and to analyze cost of production issues. Therefore, the Department finds that it is not practicable to complete the final results of the review within the original time limit and is extending the deadline for the completion of the final results for the antidumping duty order on welded ASTM A-312 stainless steel pipe from South Korea from 120 to 180 days from the date of publication of the preliminary results. Accordingly, the final results will now be due no later than June 22, 2009.

This notice is issued and published pursuant to sections 751(a)(3)(A) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: March 27, 2009.

**John M. Andersen,**

*Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.*

[FR Doc. E9-7446 Filed 4-1-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[C-533-825]

#### **Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET) Film, Sheet, and Strip From India: Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

*Effective Date:* April 2, 2009.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Elfi Blum or Sean Carey, AD/CVD Operations, Office 6, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0197 and (202) 482-3964, respectively.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Background**

On August 26, 2008, in response to a timely request from Jindal Poly Films, Limited of India (Jindal), the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated an administrative review of the countervailing duty order on polyethylene terephthalate (PET)

film, sheet, and strip from India. *See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 73 FR 50308 (August 26, 2008). This administrative review covers the period January 1, 2007, through December 31, 2007. The preliminary results of this administrative review are currently due no later than April 2, 2009.

#### **Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results**

Pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(1), the Department shall issue preliminary results in an administrative review of a countervailing duty order within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of the order for which the administrative review was requested. However, if the Department determines that it is not practicable to complete the review within the aforementioned specified time limits, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2) allow the Department to extend the 245-day period to 365 days.

Pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2), we determine that it is not practicable to complete the results of this review within the original time limit. The Department needs additional time to analyze the supplemental questionnaire responses, which were recently submitted, and to determine whether any additional information is required. In accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, the Department has decided to extend the time limit for the preliminary results from 245 days to 365 days; the preliminary results will now be due no later than July 31, 2009. Unless extended, the final results continue to be due 120 days after the publication of the preliminary results, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(1) of the Department's regulations.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(3)(A) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: March 27, 2009.

**John M. Andersen,**

*Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.*

[FR Doc. E9-7438 Filed 4-1-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-421-807]

#### **Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products From the Netherlands: Notice of Court Decision Not in Harmony With Final Results of Administrative Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* April 2, 2009

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** David Cordell or Robert James, AD/CVD Operations, Office 7, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0408 or (202) 482-0649, respectively.

**SUMMARY:** On March 24, 2009, the United States Court of International Trade (the Court) sustained the remand redetermination issued by the Department of Commerce (the Department) pursuant to the Court's remand order in the final results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain hot-rolled carbon steel flat products from the Netherlands. *See Corus Staal v. US*, Court No. 07-221, Slip Op 09-21 CIT (March 24, 2009) (*Corus Staal Judgment*).

This case arises out of the Department's *Final Results* and *Amended Final Results* for the period of review (POR) period November 1, 2004, through October 31, 2005. *See Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products from the Netherlands; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 72 FR 28676 (May 22, 2007), and Accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum at Comment 6 (*Final Results*); *see also Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products from the Netherlands; Amended Final Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 72 FR 34441 (June 22, 2007) (*Amended Results*). Consistent with the decision of the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (Federal Circuit) in *Timken Co. v. United States*, 893 F.2d 337 (Fed. Cir. 1990) (*Timken*), the Department is notifying the public that *Corus Staal Judgment* is not in harmony with the Department's *Final Results* and the *Amended Final Results*.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Pursuant to the remand order of the Court in *Corus Staal BV v. United States*, Slip Op. 08-144 (CIT, December 29, 2008) (*Corus Staal*), the Department released the Draft Results of Redetermination