meeting be postponed due to inclement weather, the alternate meeting date is May 6, 2010.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held in the conference room of the Arizona Game and Fish Department Regional Office, 2878 East White Mountain Boulevard, Pinetop, Arizona 85935. Send written comments to Julia Faith Rivera, Coordinator, Eastern Arizona Counties Resource Advisory Committee, c/o Forest Service, USDA, P.O. Box 640, Springerville, Arizona 85938 or electronically to *jfrivera@fs.fed.us.* 

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Julia Faith Rivera, Apache-Sitgreaves National Forests, (928) 333–4301.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The meeting is open to the public and opportunity for public input will be provided. Committee discussion is limited to Forest Service staff and Committee members. However, persons who wish to bring PL 110–343 related matters to the attention of the Committee may file written statements with the Committee staff before or after the meeting.

Dated: April 5, 2010.

# Chris Knopp,

Forest Supervisor, Apache-Sitgreaves National Forests. [FR Doc. 2010–8120 Filed 4–8–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

# DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

## **Forest Service**

# Tehama County Resource Advisory Committee

**AGENCY:** Forest Service, USDA. **ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The Tehama County Resource Advisory Committee (RAC) will meet in Red Bluff, California. Agenda items to be covered include: (1) Introductions, (2) Approval of Minutes, (3) Public Comment, (4) Chairman's Perspective, (5) Project Presentations, (6) Next Agenda.

**DATES:** The meeting will be held on April 22, 2010 from 9 a.m. and end at approximately 12 p.m.

**ADDRESSES:** The meeting will be held at the Lincoln Street School, Pine Room, 1135 Lincoln Street, Red Bluff, CA. Individuals wishing to speak or propose agenda items must send their names and proposals to Randy Jero, Committee Coordinator, 825 N. Humboldt Ave., Willows, CA 95988.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Randy Jero, Committee Coordinator, USDA, Mendocino National Forest,

Grindstone Ranger District, 825 N. Humboldt Ave., Willows, CA 95988. (530) 934–1269; e-mail rjero@fs.fed.us. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The meeting is open to the public. Committee discussion is limited to Forest Service staff and Committee members. However, persons who wish to bring matters to the attention of the Committee may file written statements with the Committee staff before or after the meeting. Public input sessions will be provided and individuals who made written requests by April 19, 2010 will have the opportunity to address the committee at those sessions.

Dated: April 5, 2010.

## Eduardo Olmedo,

Designated Federal Official. [FR Doc. 2010–8121 Filed 4–8–10; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–11–M

# DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

## **Forest Service**

# South Central Idaho Resource Advisory Council

**AGENCY:** Forest Service, USDA. **ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The South Central Idaho RAC will meet in Jerome, Idaho. The committee is meeting as authorized under the Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act (Pub. L. 110–343) and in compliance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act. The purpose of the meeting is to discuss with the County Commissioners arid Sawtooth National Forest District Rangers operating principles and project proposals.

DATES: The meeting will be held May 17, 2010 from 1:30 p.m.–3:30 p.m. ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at The Idaho Fish and Game Regional Office, 319 S 417 E, Hwy 93 Business Park, Jerome, Idaho 83338. Written comments should be sent to Sawtooth National Forest, Attn: Julie Thomas, 2647 Kimberly Road East, Twin Falls, Idaho 83301. Comments may also be sent via e-mail to *jathomas@fs.fed.us*, or via facsimile to 208–737–3236

All comments, including names and addresses when provided, are placed in the record and are available for public inspection and copying. The public may inspect comments received at The Sawtooth National Forest Supervisors Office at 2647 Kimberly Road East, Twin Falls, Idaho 83301. Visitors are encouraged to call ahead to 208–737– 3200 to facilitate entry into the building. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Julie Thomas, Designated Federal Official, Sawtooth National Forest, 208–737– 3200.

Individuals who use telecommunication devices for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339 between 8 a.m. and 8 p.m., Eastern Standard Time, Monday through Friday. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The meeting is open to the public. The following business will be conducted: This Resource Advisory Council meeting will specifically deal with Operating principles and project proposals that the RAC will use to implement the business of the RAC. The agenda for the meeting can be found at http://www.fs.fed.us/r4/sawtooth/. Persons who wish to bring related matters to the attention of the Committee may file written statements with the Committee staff before or after the meeting. Public input sessions will be provided and individuals who made written requests by May 10, 2010 will have the opportunity to address the Committee at those sessions.

Dated: April 1, 2010.

#### Julie Thomas,

Designated Federal Officer. [FR Doc. 2010–7914 Filed 4–8–10; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE M

# DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

## **Commodity Credit Corporation**

# Wetlands Reserve Enhancement Program

**AGENCY:** Commodity Credit Corporation and Natural Resources Conservation Service, Department of Agriculture. **ACTION:** Notice of availability of program funds.

**SUMMARY:** The Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS), on behalf of the Commodity Credit Corporation (CCC), announces that a minimum of \$25 million in financial assistance will be made available in fiscal year (FY) 2010 for the Wetlands Reserve Enhancement Program (WREP) throughout the United States to eligible landowners.

Under WREP, NRCS enters into agreements with eligible partners to help enhance conservation outcomes on wetlands and adjacent lands. WREP targets and leverages resources to carry out high priority wetland protection, restoration, and enhancement activities and improve wildlife habitat through agreements with States (including a political subdivision or agency of a State), nongovernmental organizations, and Indian tribes. This notice is to solicit proposals from potential partners who seek to enter into agreements with NRCS under this authority and to inform landowners of the potential availability of program funds through approved projects.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* The notice of request is effective April 9, 2010.

Eligible partners may submit proposals to the NRCS State office by mail or via courier.

• *By mail:* Proposals must be postmarked by May 24, 2010.

• *By courier or hand delivery:* Proposals must be delivered by May 24, 2010.

**ADDRESSES:** Written proposals for projects geographically located within a State should be sent to the appropriate NRCS State Conservationist, whose names and addresses are identified as an attachment to this notice. Written proposals for multi-State projects are to be sent to the NRCS State Conservationist of the State in which the majority of the proposed project area resides. All proposals hand-delivered by courier will be accepted between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., local time, Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

**Note:** Proposals submitted via fax, e-mail, through the grants.gov Web site, or after the deadline date listed in this notice will not be considered.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Garry Lee, Acting Director, Easement Programs Division, Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW., Room 6819 South Building, Washington, DC 20250, *Telephone:* (202) 720–0907 or *Fax:* (202) 720–9689.

Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication (Braille, large print, audio tape, *etc.*) should contact the USDA TARGET Center at: (202) 720–2600 (voice and TDD).

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## Background

Section 2206 of the Food, Conservation, and Energy Act of 2008 (2008 Act) establishes the WREP by amending section 1237A(h) of the Food Security Act of 1985 (16 U.S.C. 3837a(h)). The Secretary of Agriculture delegated authority for WREP to the Chief of NRCS, who is Vice President of the CCC.

# Availability of Funding

Effective upon publication of this notice, NRCS, on behalf of CCC, announces that a minimum of \$25 million of financial assistance funds is available to accept high quality proposals under WREP in FY 2010. Under WREP, NRCS enters into agreements with eligible State and local governments, Indian tribes, and nongovernmental organizations. The reserve rights pilot of WREP (7 CFR 1467.9(b)) is implemented separately, and funds for the pilot are made available through the normal Wetlands Reserve Program (WRP) allocation process. NRCS will announce, at the State level, when a pilot area has been selected for the reserved rights pilot and will provide enrollment information to landowners at that time.

# Overview

WREP is a voluntary conservation program which is a component of WRP. WREP leverages resources of eligible partners to provide financial and technical assistance to eligible landowners to protect, restore, and enhance high priority wetlands and improve wildlife habitat. WREP partners are required to contribute a financial match of at least 5 percent of the acquisition or restoration costs toward the project. Proposals which include additional partner resources will be given higher priority consideration in the selection process. Contributions provided by the partners to achieve additional points can be in the form of technical or financial assistance for the protection, restoration, and enhancement of the wetland. They can also be used for management and monitoring activities. These contributions can be in-kind services or cash

WREP financial and technical assistance is delivered to eligible landowners in approved project areas through easement acquisition, conservation program contracts, cooperative agreements, contribution agreements, or Federal contracts. Restoration may be achieved through payments to other parties who conduct the restoration activities.

Only States and local units of government, Indian tribes, and nongovernmental organizations are eligible to submit a proposal and enter into agreements with NRCS. A nongovernmental organization is an organization described in section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986. Individual landowners may not submit WREP proposals through this submission process. However, once a WREP project has been approved and announced, eligible landowners may apply for WREP through their local NRCS office. As part of the agreement, approved partners may also help facilitate the submission of landowner

applications, provide additional technical or financial assistance to landowners, and provide other resources as defined in the agreement.

Written proposals are to be submitted by eligible partners, and project evaluation will be based upon a competitive process and the criteria established in this notice. Potential partners may submit WREP proposals for an individual landowner project, watershed, or geographic area to the appropriate State Conservationist. Once NRCS selects a partner's proposal, landowners within the selected project area may submit an application directly to NRCS for participation in WRP. Individual landowner applications will be evaluated and ranked among other applicants in the watershed or geographic project area, when applicable, to ensure that the properties selected for funding will achieve project objectives.

Wetland restoration and enhancement actions will be designed to maximize wildlife habitat values and water quality according to the WRP regulation, 7 CFR part 1467, and NRCS standards and specifications. Proposals must conform to the WRP guidelines for restoration and management of lands subject to a WRP easement.

Benefits to the partners in WREP agreements include:

• Involvement in wetland restorations in high priority areas;

• Ability to cost-share restoration or enhancement components beyond those required by NRCS;

• Ability to participate in management or monitoring of selected project locations; and

• Opportunity to utilize innovative restoration methods and practices.

## **Proposal Requirements**

For consideration, the proposal must be in the following format and contain the information set forth below:

*Proposal Format:* The basic format for the WREP proposal is a narrative written response to the information requested in this notice. There are no forms required or associated with the WREP proposal submission process; however, the proposal must include all of the following:

(1) Proposal Cover Sheet and Summary: The first few pages of the proposal must include:

(a) Project Title.

(b) Project Director/Manager name, telephone, and email address.

(c) Name of lead partner submitting proposal and other collaborating partners.

(d) Mailing address and telephone numbers for lead partner.

(e) Short general description/ summary of project.

(f) Potential acres to be enrolled in the project area.

(g) The geographic location including State(s), county(s), and congressional district(s). Include a general location map.

(ĥ) Proposed project start and end dates (not to exceed a period of 5 years).

(i) Total budget for the project including the amount of WREP financial assistance being requested for project.

(2) Project Natural Resource Objectives and Actions: The proposal must:

(a) Identify and provide detail about the natural resource concern(s) to be addressed and how the proposal's objectives will address those concerns. Objectives should be specific, measurable, achievable, resultsoriented, and include a timeline for completion.

(b) For each objective, identify the actions to be completed to achieve that objective and address the identified natural resource concern. Specify which actions are to be addressed through this project using WREP assistance, and which are being addressed through alternate non-Federal funding sources or other resources provided.

(c) Identify the total acres that require wetland protection, restoration, and enhancement.

(3) *Detailed Proposal Criteria:* Information provided in the proposal must include:

(a) A description of the partner(s) history of working with landowners to address resource issues.

(b) A description of the watershed characteristics within the designated focus area covered by the proposal including a detailed watershed map which indicates the project location. The description should include information related to land use types, vegetation, soils, hydrology, potential sources of water quality impairments, occurrences of at-risk species, proximity to other protected areas, and a summary of resource concerns.

(c) A description of the partner(s) and the roles, responsibilities, and capabilities of the partner(s). Proposals which include resources from partners other than the lead partner must include a letter or other documentation confirming the commitment of resources.

(d) A description of the project duration, plan of action, and project implementation schedule. Project proposals cannot exceed 5 years.

(e) A description of the financial assistance resources that are requested through WREP, and the non-Federal resources provided by the partner(s) that will be leveraged by the Federal contribution. WREP partners are required to contribute a financial match of at least 5 percent of the acquisition or restoration costs toward the project.

(f) A description of non-Federal resources that will be available for implementation of the proposal. Proposals which include additional non-Federal resources will be given higher consideration in the selection process. The partner needs to state clearly how they intend to leverage Federal funds along with partner resources. Landowner contributions in the implementation of agreed-to wetland restoration and enhancement practices may not be considered any part of a match from the potential partner for purposes of WREP. Partners will also be required to submit a plan for monitoring, evaluating, and reporting progress made toward achieving the objectives of the agreement.

(g) An estimate of the percentage of potential landowners, or estimate of the percentage of acres likely to be enrolled within the project area, compared to the total number of potential landowners or acres located in the project area. A statement on how the partner will encourage participation to guarantee success of the project. It is not necessary for a target area to involve multiple landowners to be selected. Projects will be evaluated based on the ecological merits of the proposal and contributions by the partners.

(h) A statement describing how the partner will provide outreach, especially to encourage participation by Indian tribes, beginning farmers or ranchers, socially disadvantaged farmers or ranchers, and limited resource farmers or ranchers.

(i) A description of the wetland protection, restoration, and enhancement activities to be implemented during the project timeframe, and the general sequence of implementation of the project. Activities may include those efforts undertaken by the partner and those that the partner requests NRCS to address through financial support.

(j) A description of the amount of funds needed annually for easement acquisition and wetland restoration and enhancement activities.

#### Submitting Proposals

Potential partners must submit a complete proposal to the appropriate NRCS State Conservationist by the date and time listed at the beginning of this notice addressing all items listed in the "Proposal Requirements" section of this notice. More than one proposal may be submitted. Potential partners should recognize that the proposal is the only document NRCS will use in the evaluation process. The proposal must include sufficient detail to allow NRCS to understand the partner's priority resource concerns, objectives, and expected outcomes. If a project is multi-State in scope, the proposal should be sent to the State Conservationist of the State in which the majority of the project area resides. Incomplete proposals and those that do not meet the requirements set forth in this notice will not be considered, and notification of elimination will be mailed to the applicant.

The potential partner must submit five copies of the proposal, typewritten or printed on  $8^{1/2}$ " x 11" white paper. One additional copy of the proposal must be in electronic format, such as Microsoft Word or PDF on one CD-ROM. If submitting more than one project proposal, submit a separate document for each project. The entire project proposal must not to exceed 15 pages in length including summary, responses to the information requested for the Project Natural Resource Objectives and Actions and Detailed Project Criteria, maps, reference materials, and related reports.

State Conservationists may provide guidance to potential partners regarding resource concerns that may be addressed in the proposed project area, local working group and State Technical Committee natural resource priorities, and approved wetland restoration and enhancement practices and activities the partner should consider.

**Note:** All WREP proposals submitted to the State Conservationist become the property of NRCS for use in the administration of the program, may be filed or disposed of by the agency, and will not be returned to the potential partner. Once proposals have been submitted to the agency for review and ranking, there will be no further opportunity to change or re-submit the proposal document.

# State Conservationist Review of Proposal

The State Conservationist(s) will review the proposals to address:

(a) Potential duplication of efforts with other projects or existing programs;

(b) Adherence to, and consistency with, program regulation including requirements related to land and landowner eligibility and other program requirements;

(c) Expected benefits for project implementation in their State(s);

(d) Other issues or concerns the State Conservationist is aware that should be considered; and

(e) A general recommendation for support or denial of project approval.

## National Ranking Considerations

The appropriate State Conservationist will evaluate proposals using a competitive process and forward recommended proposals to the Chief or designee for review and selection. The Chief or designee will give a higher priority to proposals that:

(a) Have a high potential to achieve wetland restoration;

(b) Have a high potential to

significantly improve wildlife habitat; (c) Significantly leverage non-Federal financial and technical resources and coordinate with other local, State, tribal,

or Federal efforts; (d) Demonstrate the partner's history

of working cooperatively with landowners;

(e) Provide innovation in wetland protection, restoration, and enhancement methods and outcomebased performance measures and methods;

(f) Provide evidence that wetland restoration and enhancement activities will be completed within 2 years of easement closing;

(g) Provide for monitoring and evaluation of the effectiveness of the restoration activities;

(h) Provide for matching financial or technical assistance funds to assist landowners with the implementation of the Wetlands Reserve Plan of Operations and associated contracts;

(i) Facilitate the submission of landowner applications; and

(j) Provide for outreach to, and participation of, Indian tribes, beginning farmers or ranchers, socially disadvantaged farmers or ranchers, and limited resource farmers or ranchers within the area covered by the agreement.

# Acknowledgement of Submission and Notifications

Partners whose proposals have been selected will receive a letter of official notification. Upon notification of selection, the partner should contact the NRCS State Conservationist listed in the letter to develop the required agreement and other project implementation requirements. Partner submissions of proposals that were not selected will be notified by mail.

## Withdrawal of Proposals

Partner proposals may be withdrawn by written notice to the applicable State Conservationist at any time prior to selection.

## **Partnership Agreements**

Upon proposal selection, NRCS will enter an agreement with a partner as the mechanism for partner participation in WREP. At a minimum, the agreement will address:

(a) The role of the partner;

(b) The role of NRCS;

(c) The format and frequency of reports that are required as a condition of the agreement;

(d) Plan of Work and budget to identify other funding sources (if applicable) for financial or technical assistance;

(e) The specified project schedule and timeframe; and

(f) Other requirements deemed necessary by NRCS to achieve purposes of the WRP.

#### Landowner Application

Landowners must meet the eligibility requirements of WRP, as published in 7 CFR part 1467. Landowners interested in participating may apply for designated WREP funds at their local service center after WREP proposals are selected. In FY 2010, NRCS will make WREP funds available to eligible landowners to enroll land under a permanent easement, a 30-year easement, or a 30-year contract on acreage owned by Indian tribes.

NRČS and the partner may assist landowners in determining whether the application is appropriate for WREP depending on the wetland protection, restoration, and enhancement activities that the applicant seeks to install or perform.

## Land Eligibility

The land eligibility criteria for WREP are the same as for WRP and are listed in 7 CFR § 1467. 4.

#### Waiver Authority

To assist in the implementation of WREP projects, the Chief may waive the applicability of the Adjusted Gross Income Limitation, on a case-by-case basis, in accordance with 7 CFR part 1400. Such waiver requests must be submitted in writing from the program applicant, addressed to the Chief, and submitted through the local NRCS designated conservationist.

Signed this April 5, 2010, in Washington, DC.

#### Dave White,

Vice President, Commodity Credit Corporation and Chief, Natural Resources Conservation Service.

**United States Department of Agriculture** 

**Natural Resources Conservation Service** 

# State Conservationists

AL—William E. Puckett

3381 Skyway Drive P.O. Box 311 Auburn, Alabama 36830 Phone: 334/887-4500 Fax: 334/887-4552 (V) 9027-4557 (E) william.puckett@al.usda.gov AK—Robert Jones 800 West Evergreen Atrium Building, Suite 100 Palmer, Alaska 99645-6539 Phone: 907/761-7760 Fax: 907/761-7790 (V) 9035-2227 (E) robert.jones@ak.nrcs.usda.gov AZ-David L. McKay 230 North First Avenue Suite 509 Phoenix, Arizona 85003-1706 Phone: 602/280-8801 Fax: 602/280-8809 or 8805 (V) 9011-8810 (E) david.mckay@az.nrcs.usda.gov AR—Michael E. Sullivan Federal Building, Room 3416 700 West Capitol Avenue Little Rock, Årkansas 72201–3228 Phone: 501/301-3100 Fax: 501/301-3194 (V) 9044-3110 (E) michael.sullivan@ar.usda.gov CA-Lincoln E. (Ed) Burton 430 G Street Suite 4164 Davis, California 95616-4164 Phone: 530/792-5600 Fax: 530/792-5790 (V) 9040-5601 (E) ed.burton@ca.usda.gov CO-Allen Green 655 Parfet Street Room E200C Lakewood, Colorado 80215-5521 Phone: 720-544-2810 Fax: 720-544-2965 (V) 9059-2802 (E) allen.green@co.usda.gov CT-Douglas Zehner 344 Merrow Road, Suite A Tolland, Connecticut 06084 Phone: 860/871-4011 Fax: 860/871-4054 (V) 9013-114 (E) douglas.zehner@ct.usda.gov DE—Russell Morgan 1221 College Park Drive, Suite 100 Dover, Delaware 19904-8713 Phone: 302/678-4160 Fax: 302/678-0843 (V) 9060-199 (E) russell.morgan@de.usda.gov FL—Carlos Suarez 2614 NW. 43rd Street Gainesville, Florida 32606-6611 or P.O. Box 141510, Gainesville, FL 32614 Phone: 352/338-9500 Fax: 352/338-9574 (V) 9012-3501 (E) carlos.suarez@fl.usda.gov GA—James Tillman Federal Building, Stop 200 355 East Hancock Avenue Athens, Georgia 30601–2769 Phone: 706/546-2272

Fax: 706/546-2120 (V) 9021–2082 (E) james.tillman@ga.usda.gov GU—Lawrence T. Yamamoto Director, Pacific Basin Area FHB Building, Suite 301 400 Route 8 Mongmong, Guam 96910 Phone: 671/472-7490 Fax: 671/472-7288 (V) 9000-822-1265 (E) larry.yamamoto@pb.usda.gov HI—Lawrence T. Yamamoto 300 Ala Moana Blvd., Room 4–118 P.O. Box 50004 Honolulu, Hawaii 96850-0002 Phone: 808/541-2600 x107 Fax: 808/541-1335 (V) 9042-108 (E) larry.yamamoto@hi.nrcs.usda.gov ID—Jeffrey B. Burwell 9173 West Barnes Drive Suite C Boise, Idaho 83709 Phone: 208/378-5700 Fax: 208/378-5735 (V) 9000-291-4551 (E) jeffrey.burwell@id.usda.gov IL—William J. Gradle 2118 W. Park Court Champaign, Illinois 61821 Phone: 217/353–6601 Fax: 217/353-6676 (V) 9057-6601 (E) bill.gradle@il.usda.gov IN—Jane E. Hardisty 6013 Lakeside Blvd. Indianapolis, Indiana 46278–2933 Phone: 317/290-3200 Fax: 317/290-3225 (V) 9029-301 (E) jane.hardisty@in.usda.gov IA-Richard Sims 693 Federal Building 210 Walnut Street, Suite 693 Des Moines, Iowa 50309–2180 Phone: 515/284-6655 Fax: 515/284-4394 (V) 9000-945-1065 (E) richard.sims@ia.usda.gov KS—Kasey Taylor, Acting Eric B. Banks 760 South Broadway Salina, Kansas 67401–4642 Phone: 785/823-4565 Fax: 785/452-3369 (V) 9000-345-8770 (E) eric.banks@ks.usda.gov KY-Tom Perrin 771 Corporate Drive Suite 110 Lexington, Kentucky 40503-5479 Phone: 859/224-7350 Fax: 859/224-7399 (V) 9032-7390 (E) tom.perrin@ky.usda.gov LA—Kevin D. Norton 3737 Government Street Alexandria, Louisiana 71302 Phone: 318/473-7751 Fax: 318/473-7626 (V) 9000-965-1635 (E) kevin.norton@la.usda.gov ME—Juan Hernandez

967 Illinois Avenue Suite #3 Bangor, Maine 04401 Phone: 207/990-9100, ext. #3 Fax: 207/990-9599 (V) 9000-757-1028 (E) juan.hernandez@me.usda.gov MD—Jon F. Hall John Hanson Business Center 339 Busch's Frontage Road, Suite 301 Annapolis, Maryland 21401–5534 Phone: 410/757–0861 x315 Fax: 410/757-0687 (V) 9053-315 (E) jon.hall@md.usda.gov MA—Christine Clarke 451 West Street Amherst, Massachusetts 01002–2995 Phone: 413/253-4351 Fax: 413/253-4375 (V) 9047-4352 (E) Christine.clarke@ma.usda.gov MI—Salvador Salinas, Acting Garry D. Lee 3001 Coolidge Road, Suite 250 East Lansing, Michigan 48823–6350 Phone: 517/324-5270 Fax: 517/324-5171 (V) 9048-5277 (E) garry.lee@mi.usda.gov MN—Jennifer Heglund, Acting 375 Jackson Street Suite 600 St. Paul, Minnesota 55101-1854 Phone: 651/602-7900 Fax: 651/602–7913 or 7914 (V) 9041-7854 (E) Jennifer.heglund@mn.usda.gov MS—Homer Wilkes Suite 1321, Federal Building 100 West Capitol Street Jackson, Mississippi 39269–1399 Phone: 601/965-5205 Fax: 601/965-4940 (V) 9000-965-2065 (E) homer.wilkes@ms.nrcs.usda.gov MO-J.R. Flores Parkade Center, Suite 250 601 Business Loop 70 West Columbia, Missouri 65203–2546 Phone: 573/876-0901 Fax: 573/876-9439 (V) 9034-1367 (E) jr.flores@mo.usda.gov MT—Joyce Swartzendruber Federal Building, Room 443 10 East Babcock Street Bozeman, Montana 59715-4704 Phone: 406/587-6813 Fax: 406/587-6761 (V) 9056-6813 (E) joyce.swartzendruber@mt.usda.gov NE—Stephen K. Chick Federal Building, Room 152 100 Centennial Mall, North Lincoln, Nebraska 68508-3866 Phone: 402/437-5300 Fax: 402/437-5327 (V) 9026-4103 (E) steve.chick@ne.usda.gov NV—Bruce Petersen 5301 Longlev Lane Building F, Šuite 201 Reno, Nevada 89511–1805

Phone: 775/857-8500 Fax: 775/857-8524 (V) 9000-784-1390 (E) bruce.petersen@nv.usda.gov NH—George Cleek Federal Building 2 Madbury Road Durham, New Hampshire 03824–2043 Phone: 603/868–7581 ext. 125 Fax: 603/868-5301 (V) 9000-868-8035 (E) george.cleek@nh.usda.gov NJ-Thomas Drewes 220 Davidson Avenue Somerset, New Jersey 08873 Phone: 732/537-6040 Fax: 732/537-6095 (V) 9000-767-1000 (E) tom.drewes@nj.usda.gov NM—Dennis L. Alexander 6200 Jefferson Street, NE. Suite 305 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87109–3734 Phone: 505/761-4402 (Rita) Fax: 505/761-4481 (V) 9016-4401 (E) dennis.alexander@nm.usda.gov NY-Astor Boozer 441 South Salina Street Suite 354 Svracuse, New York 13202-2450 Phone: 315/477-6504 Fax: 315/477-6550 (V) 9015-6501 (E) astor.boozer@ny.usda.gov NC-J.B. Martin, Acting 4405 Bland Road, Suite 205 Raleigh, North Carolina 27609–6293 Phone: 919/873-2102 Fax: 919/873-2156 (V) 9025-2101 (E) JB.martin@nc.usda.gov ND—Paul Sweeney 220 E. Rosser Avenue, Room 278 P.O. Box 1458 Bismarck, North Dakota 58502–1458 Phone: 701/530-2000 Fax: 701/530-2110 (V) 9051-2003 (E) paul.sweeney@nd.usda.gov OH—Terry J. Cosby 200 North High Street, Room 522 Columbus, Ohio 43215-2478 Phone: 614/255-2472 Fax: 614/255-2548 (V) 9000-881-1870 (E) terry.cosby@oh.usda.gov OK—Ronald L. Hilliard 100 USDA, Suite 206 Stillwater, Oklahoma 74074–2655 Phone: 405/742-1204 Fax: 405/742-1126 (V) 9037-1280 (E) ron.hillard@ok.usda.gov OR-Ron Alvarado 101 SW Main Street Suite 1300 Portland, Oregon 97204-3221 Phone: 503/414-3200 Fax: 503/414-3103 (V) 9019-3201 (E) ron.alvarado@or.usda.gov PA-Dave Brown, Acting 1 Credit Union Place, Suite 340

Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17110-2993 Phone: 717/237-2203 Fax: 717/237-2238 (V) 9039-2203 (E) dave.brown@pa.usda.gov PR—Angel Figueroa, Acting Director, Caribbean Area IBM Building, Suite 604 654 Munoz Rivera Avenue Hato Rev, Puerto Rico 00918-4123 Phone: 787/766-5206, ext. 237 Fax: 787/766-5987 (V) 9000-769-1030 (E) angel.figueroa@wdc.usda.gov RI—Richard "Pooh" Vongkhamdy 60 Quaker Lane, Suite 46 Warwick, Rhode Island 02886–0111 Phone: 401/828-1300 Fax: 401/828-0433 (V) 9023-115 (E) pooh.vongkhamdy@ri.usda.gov SC-Keisha Brown, Acting Strom Thurmond Federal Building 1835 Assembly Street, Room 950 Columbia, South Carolina 29201–2489 Phone: 803/253-3935 Fax: 803/253-3670 (V) 9031-3940 (E) Keisha.brown@sc.usda.gov SD—Janet L. Oertly Federal Building, Room 203 200 Fourth Street, S.W. Huron. South Dakota 57350-2475 Phone: 605/352–1200 Fax: 605/352-1288 (V) 9036-1201 (E) janet.oertly@sd.usda.gov TN—Kevin Brown 675 U.S. Courthouse 801 Broadway Nashville, Tennessee 37203–3878 Phone: 615/277-2531 Fax: 615/277-2578 (V) 9058-2530 (E) kevin.brown@tn.usda.gov TX—Donald W. Gohmert W.R. Poage Federal Building 101 South Main Street Temple, Texas 76501–7602 Phone: 254/742–9800 Fax: 254/742-9819 (V) 9038-9803 (E) don.gohmert@tx.usda.gov UT—Svlvia A. Gillen W.F. Bennett Federal Building 125 South State Street, Room 4402 Salt Lake City, Utah 84111 Phone: 801/524-4555 Fax: 801/524-4403 (V) 9000-625-1550 (E) sylvia.gillen@ut.usda.gov VT—Judith M. Doerner 356 Mountain View Drive, Suite 105 Colchester, Vermont 05446 Phone: 802/951-6795 Fax: 802/951-6327 (V) 9000-768-1240 (E) judy.doerner@vt.usda.gov VA—Vicky Drew, Acting Jack Bricker Culpeper Building, Suite 209 1606 Ŝanta Rosa Road Richmond, Virginia 23229–5014 Phone: 804/287–1691

Fax: 804/287-1737 (V) 9003–1682 (E) jack.bricker@va.usda.gov WA—Roylene Rides at the Door Rock Pointe Tower II W. 316 Boone Avenue, Suite 450 Spokane, Washington 99201-2348 Phone: 509/323-2900 Fax: 509/323-2909 (V) 9035-2901 (E) door@wa.usda.gov WV-Kevin Wickey 75 High Street, Room 301 Morgantown, West Virginia 26505 Phone: 304/284-7540 Fax: 304/284-4839 (V) 9049-7542 (E) kevin.wickey@wv.usda.gov WI—Ivan Dozier, Acting Patricia Leavenworth 8030 Excelsior Drive, Suite 200 Madison, Wisconsin 53717 Phone: 608/662-4422 Fax: 608/662-4430 (V) 9018-222 (E) pat.leavenworth@wi.usda.gov WY—J. Xavier Montoya Federal Building, Room 3124 100 East B Street Casper, Wyoming 82601–1911 Phone: 307/233-6750 Fax: 307/233-6753 (V) 9000-951-1015 (E) Xavier.montoya@wy.usda.gov [FR Doc. 2010-8143 Filed 4-8-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-16-P

# DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

# International Trade Administration

[A-533-813]

# **Certain Preserved Mushrooms from** India: Notice of Amended Final Results **Pursuant to Final Court Decision**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce. SUMMARY: On May 8, 2008, the United States Court of International Trade (CIT) sustained the results of redetermination made by the Department of Commerce (the Department) pursuant to the CIT's remand in Agro Dutch Industries Limited v. United States, Slip Op. 07-185 (CIT December 26, 2007) (Agro Dutch II). See Agro Dutch Industries Limited v. United States, Slip Op. 08-50 (CIT May 8, 2008) (Agro Dutch III). Subsequent to the CIT's judgment upholding Commerce's remand redetermination, in October 2008, the CIT exercised its equitable power to order reliquidation of some of Agro Dutch's entries. See Agro Dutch Industries Limited v. United States, Slip Op. 08-110 (CIT October 17, 2008) (Agro Dutch IV). The Government appealed the CIT's decision in Agro

Dutch IV to the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (CAFC), and on December 15, 2009, the CAFC affirmed the CIT's decision. See Agro Dutch Industries Limited v. United States, Slip Op. 2009-1127 (Fed.Cir. December 15, 2009) (Agro Dutch V). As there is now a final and conclusive court decision in this case, the Department is amending the final results of the 2000–2001 administrative review of certain preserved mushrooms from India.

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 9, 2010. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David Goldberger or Katherine Johnson, AD/CVD Operations, Office 2, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC, 20230; telephone (202) 482-4136 or (202) 482-4929, respectively.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## Background

On July 12, 2002, the Department issued its final results in the antidumping duty administrative review of certain preserved mushrooms from India covering the period of review of February 1, 2000, through January 31, 2001. See Certain Preserved Mushrooms From India: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 67 FR 46172 (July 12, 2002), and accompanying Issues and Decisions Memorandum (Final Results). Agro Dutch challenged certain aspects of the Department's Final Results: (1) that the use of partial facts available and adverse inferences for certain of its sales was improper; (2) that the methodology used to determine Agro Dutch's constructed value was in error; (3) that the calculation of its imputed credit expenses was in error; and (4) that its entries were improperly and prematurely liquidated.

In Agro Dutch Industries Limited v. United States, Slip Op. 07-25 (CIT February 16, 2007) (Agro Dutch I), the CIT upheld the Department's determinations on issues (2) and (3) regarding constructive value and imputed credit expense methodologies. However, with respect to the first issue, that the use of partial facts available and adverse inferences for certain of Agro Dutch's sales was improper, the CIT instructed the Department on remand to revisit its determination.

On March 3, 2007, the Department filed its remand redetermination and further explained its use and application of facts available in this review. In Agro Dutch II, the CIT did not accept the Department's explanation