Associated Industries of Massachusetts
Massachusetts Department of
Telecommunications and Energy
Massachusetts Municipal Wholesale
Electric Company and Reading
Municipal Light Department
Milford Power Company, LLC
NEPOOL Industrial Customer Coalition
New England Conference of Public Utilities
Commissioners

New Hampshire Office of Consumer Advocate

NRG Devon Power LLC, Middletown Power LLC, Norwalk Harbor LLC and NRG Power Marketing

NSTAR Electric and Gas Corporation PPL Energy Plus, LLC and PPL Wallingford Energy LLC

PSEG Energy Resources and Trade LLC United Illuminating Company Vermont Department of Public Service Vermont Electric Power Company Wellesley Municipal Lighting Plant

#### Comments

Coral Power, L.L.C.

Dominion Resources, Inc., Dominion Energy Marketing, Inc., and Dominion Nuclear Connecticut, Inc.

Electricity Consumer Resource Council and American Iron and Steel Institute The Energy Consortium

Electric Power Supply Association Fitchburg Gas and Electric Light Company and Unitil Energy Systems, Inc. Independent Energy Producers of Maine

Independent Energy Producers of Main Industrial Energy Consumer Group Keyspan-Ravenswood, LLC Maine Public Advocate

Maine Public Advocate
National Grid USA
Now England Consumer C

New England Consumer-Owned Entities New England Demand Response Providers Northeast Utilities Service Company Potomac Economics, Ltd.

TransCanada Power Marketing Ltd.

[Docket No. ER-03-563-030; EL04-102-000]

Devon Power LLC, et al.

Issued June 2, 2004

Joseph T. Kelliher, Commissioner concurring:

I am writing separately to explain my views on the implementation date of a locational installed capacity (LICAP) market in New England.

I concur with the order that a LICAP market should not be implemented before January 1, 2006. The purpose of establishing a LICAP market is to ensure there is adequate electricity generation in New England, particularly in Southwest Connecticut and Northeastern Massachusetts/Boston. The record shows that there is insufficient generation in these two areas of New England.

For a LICAP market to be effective, the transmission system must be strong enough to permit generation interconnections. Unfortunately, the transmission system in Southwest Connecticut is notoriously weak, and at present cannot accommodate significant generation additions.

It is important to give New England enough time to make necessary transmission upgrades. The order provides for an initial decision from an administrative law judge by June 1, 2005 to define the appropriate methodology for determining capacity transfer limits between ICAP regions, the amount and allocation of capacity transfer rights for purposes of each LICAP market, and the parameters of the demand curve that will apply in each ICAP region. The order also sets an implementation date for LICAP markets of January 1, 2006. I would have deferred selecting a specific implementation date for LICAP markets until after the initial decision. That would have given the Commission the flexibility to select an appropriate date for implementing LICAP based on an understanding of the progress—if anytowards strengthening the transmission grid in Southwest Connecticut and Northeastern Massachusetts/Boston.

Until implementation of a LICAP market, the Commission will extend the Peaking Unit Safe Harbor (PUSH) mechanism, and consider reliability-must-run contracts to ensure generators receive just and reasonable compensation. Experience with the PUSH mechanism has proved disappointing, and reliability-must-run contracts may be the superior means to assure just and reasonable compensation during the interim.

Joseph T. Kelliher, Commissioner.

[FR Doc. 04–12921 Filed 6–10–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-7673-1]

Science Advisory Board Staff Office; Notification of Upcoming Meeting of the Science Advisory Board Committee on Valuing the Protection of Ecological Systems and Services; Correction

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice; correction.

**SUMMARY:** The EPA Science Advisory Board (SAB) Staff Office published a notice in the **Federal Register** of June 1, 2004, announcing a public meeting for the SAB's Committee on Valuing the Protection of Ecological Systems and Services (C–VPESS) on June 13–14,

2004. The notice contained incorrect dates.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dr. Angela Nugent, Designated Federal Officer, via telephone/voice mail at (202) 343–9981, via e-mail at nugent.angela@epa.gov or by mail at: U.S. EPA SAB (MC 1400F), 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460. General information about

the SAB can be found in the SAB Web site at: http://www.epa.gov/sab.

### Correction

In the **Federal Register** of June 1, 2004, in FR Doc. 04–12306, on page 30908, correct the **DATES** caption to read:

**DATES:** June 14–15, 2004. The meeting will commence at 9 a.m. and adjourn at 5 p.m. (eastern time) on each day.

Dated: June 2, 2004.

Vanessa T. Vu,

Director, EPA Science Advisory Board Staff Office.

[FR Doc. 04–13286 Filed 6–10–04; 8:45 am]

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[OPPT-2004-0100; FRL-7365-3]

# Certain New Chemicals; Receipt and Status Information

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Section 5 of the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) requires any person who intends to manufacture (defined by statute to include import) a new chemical (i.e., a chemical not on the TSCA Inventory) to notify EPA and comply with the statutory provisions pertaining to the manufacture of new chemicals. Under sections 5(d)(2) and 5(d)(3) of TSC, EPA is required to publish a notice of receipt of a premanufacture notice (PMN) or an application for a test marketing exemption (TME), and to publish periodic status reports on the chemicals under review and the receipt of notices of commencement to manufacture those chemicals. This status report, which covers the period from May 12, 2004 to May 21, 2004, consists of the PMNs and TMEs, both pending or expired, and the notices of commencement to manufacture a new chemical that the Agency has received under TSCA section 5 during this time period.

**DATES:** Comments identified by the docket ID number OPPT–2004–0100 and the specific PMN number or TME