public. Verbal comments may be submitted during the course of the meeting, written comments may be submitted at the close of the meeting, as described under addressees.

Dated: July 16, 2004.

David J. Wesley,

Acting Regional Director, Region 1, Portland, OR. [FR Doc. 04–17482 Filed 7–30–04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–55–P

# DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

# **Bureau of Land Management**

# Notice of Public Meeting: Resource Advisory Council to the Lower Snake River District, Bureau of Land Management, U. S. Department of the Interior

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Land Management, U.S. Department of the Interior. **ACTION:** Notice of public meeting.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the Federal Land Policy and Management Act (FLPMA) and the Federal Advisory Committee Act of 1972 (FACA), the U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management (BLM) Lower Snake River District Resource Advisory Council (RAC), will meet as indicated below.

**DATES:** The meeting will be held August 24, 2004, beginning 9:30 a.m. at the Tribal Headquarters of Shoshone-Paiute Native American Indian Tribe, located on the Duck Valley Reservation, Owyhee, Nevada 83705. Public comment periods will be held after topics on the agenda. The meeting will adjourn at 3:30 p.m.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: MJ Byrne, Public Affairs Officer and RAC Coordinator, Lower Snake River District, 3948 Development Ave., Boise, ID 83705, Telephone (208) 384–3393.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The 15member Council advises the Secretary of the Interior, through the Bureau of Land Management, on a variety of planning and management issues associated with public land management in southwestern Idaho. At this meeting, the following actions will occur/topics will be discussed:

• Overview of Natural Resource Issues on the Duck Valley Reservation;

• Review of RAC and BLM cosponsored Community Discussion on Western Juniper Management— Workshop held on July 22, 2004;

• Report on Off-Highway Vehicle Route Designation efforts in the Lower Snake River District; • Update on BLM-Idaho Organizational Refinement;

• Update: Implementation of LEPA Candidate Conservation Agreement;

• Update on Sage Grouse Habitat Restoration efforts;

• Hot Topics—Snail Lawsuit; Overview on Information Memorandum on Implementation of Idaho Rangeland Standards and Guidelines, and;

• Update on status of District's Fire and Fuels Management Plan, and Normal Year Fire Rehab. Plans;

• Subcommittee Reports

• Off-Highway Vehicles (OHV) and Transportation Management, Resource Management Plans (RAC assistance requested with finalizing draft alternatives), Sage Grouse Habitat Management, and, River and Recreation Management;

• Three Field Office Managers and District Fire Manager provide updates on current issues and planned activities in their Field Offices and the District.

Agenda items may change due to changing circumstances. All meetings are open to the public. The public may present written comments to the Council. Each formal Council meeting will also have time allocated for hearing public comments. Depending on the number of persons wishing to comment and time available, the time for individual oral comments may be limited. Individuals who plan to attend and need special assistance, such as sign language interpretation, tour transportation or other reasonable accommodations, should contact the BLM as provided below. Expedited publication is requested to give the public adequate notice.

Dated: July 26, 2004.

## Glen M. Secrist,

*District Manager.* [FR Doc. 04–17481 Filed 7–30–04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-GG-P

#### INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337–TA–406, Enforcement Proceedings (II)]

Certain Lens-Fitted Film Packages; Determination Not To Review the Presiding Administrative Law Judge's Enforcement Initial Determination; Request for Briefing on Recommended Enforcement Measures

**AGENCY:** International Trade Commission. **ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade

Commission has determined not to review the administrative law judge's (ALJ) initial enforcement determination (EID) on violation, including his determination to find Anthony Cossentino and Jack Benun subject to the cease and desist order issued to respondent Jazz Photo Corp. (Jazz) at the conclusion of the original investigation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jean Jackson, Esq., telephone 202–205–3104, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436. Copies of all nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (*http://www.usitc.gov*). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS-ON-LINE) at http://dockets.usitc.gov/eol.public. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on the matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:  $\operatorname{The}$ Commission's original investigation in this matter was terminated on June 2, 1999, with a finding of violation of section 337 by 26 respondents by reason of importation or sales after importation of certain lens-fitted film packages (i.e., disposable cameras) that were found to infringe one or more claims of 15 patents held by complainant Fuji Photo Film Co. (Fuji). 64 FR 30541 (June 8, 1999). The Commission issued a general exclusion order, prohibiting the importation of LFFPs that infringe any of the claims at issue, and issued cease and desist orders to twenty domestic respondents. Id. The Commission's orders were upheld by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. Jazz Photo Corp. v. Int'l Trade Comm'n, 264 F.3d 1094 (Fed. Cir. 2001), cert. denied 536 U.S. 950 (2002).

On September 24, 2002, the Commission initiated enforcement proceedings at the request of complainant Fuji under Commission rule 210.75(b) to determine whether respondent Jazz and/or two individuals associated with Jazz, Mr. Jack Benun and Mr. Anthony Cossentino, violated the general exclusion order and/or the cease and desist orders, issued on June 2, 1999, in the original *Film Packages*  investigation. The proceedings were referred to the presiding ALJ for issuance of an EID. The proceedings were suspended for several months due to the outbreak of severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) in areas of China where discovery had to be completed.

On April 6, 2004, the ALJ issued his EID, finding that all respondents had violated the general exclusion order and cease and desist orders. Fuji, Jazz, Benun and Cossentino filed petitions for review of the EID on April 22, 2004. Those parties, as well as the Commission investigative attorneys filed responses to the petitions on May 10, 2004.

The Commission, having examined the petitions for review, and the responses thereto has determined not to review the EID's determination that the general exclusion order and cease and desist order issued to Jazz have been violated, as well as his determination to find Mr. Cossentino and Mr. Benun subject to the cease and desist order issued to Jazz. In connection with the final disposition of the enforcement proceedings, the Commission may issue civil penalties for violations of its cease and desist order. The Commission has not yet ruled on whether it will adopt the specific enforcement measures recommended in the EID.

Written Submissions: The parties to the investigation, interested government agencies, and any other interested parties are encouraged to file written submissions on the specific enforcement measures recommended by the ALJ in his EID no later than close of business on August 20, 2004. Response submissions must be filed no later than the close of business on September 3, 2004. No further submissions on these issues will be permitted unless otherwise ordered by the Commission.

Persons filing written submissions must file with the Office of the Secretary the original document and 14 true copies thereof on or before the deadlines stated above. Any person desiring to submit a document (or portion thereof) to the Commission in confidence must request confidential treatment unless the information has already been granted such treatment during the proceedings. All such requests should be directed to the Secretary of the Commission and must include a full statement of the reasons why the Commission should grant such treatment. See section 201.6 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 201.6. Documents for which confidential treatment by the Commission is sought will be treated accordingly. All nonconfidential written

submissions will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Secretary.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and sections 210.75 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.75).

Issued: July 27, 2004.

By order of the Commission.

# Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission. [FR Doc. 04–17473 Filed 7–30–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

# INTERNATIONAL TRADE

COMMISSION

[Investigation No. AA1921–162 (Second Review)]

# Melamine from Japan

**AGENCY:** United States International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Institution of a five-year review concerning the antidumping finding on melamine from Japan.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission hereby gives notice that it has instituted a review pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)) (the Act) to determine whether revocation of the antidumping finding on melamine from Japan would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury. Pursuant to section 751(c)(2) of the Act, interested parties are requested to respond to this notice by submitting the information specified below to the Commission;1 to be assured of consideration, the deadline for responses is September 21, 2004. Comments on the adequacy of responses may be filed with the Commission by October 18, 2004. For further information concerning the conduct of this review and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** August 2, 2004. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mary Messer (202–205–3193), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearingimpaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (http:// www.usitc.gov). The public record for this review may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background. On February 2, 1977, the Department of the Treasury issued an antidumping finding on imports of melamine from Japan (42 FR 6366). Following five-year reviews by Commerce and the Commission, effective September 1, 1999, Commerce issued a continuation of the antidumping finding on imports of melamine from Japan (64 FR 47764). The Commission is now conducting a second review to determine whether revocation of the finding would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to the domestic industry within a reasonably foreseeable time. It will assess the adequacy of interested party responses to this notice of institution to determine whether to conduct a full review or an expedited review. The Commission's determination in any expedited review will be based on the facts available, which may include information provided in response to this notice.

*Definitions.* The following definitions apply to this review:

(1) Subject Merchandise is the class or kind of merchandise that is within the scope of the five-year review, as defined by the Department of Commerce.

(2) *The Subject Country* in this review is Japan.

(3) The Domestic Like Product is the domestically produced product or products which are like, or in the absence of like, most similar in characteristics and uses with, the Subject Merchandise. The Commission did not make a Domestic Like Product determination per se in its original determination but effectively treated all melamine in crystal form as a single Domestic Like Product. In its full fivevear review determination, the Commission found one Domestic Like Product consisting of all melamine in crystal form and inclusive of all particle sizes.

(4) *The Domestic Industry* is the U.S. producers as a whole of the *Domestic* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>No response to this request for information is required if a currently valid Office of Management and Budget (OMB) number is not displayed; the OMB number is 3117–0016/USITC No. 04–5–094, expiration date June 30, 2005. Public reporting burden for the request is estimated to average 7 hours per response. Please send comments regarding the accuracy of this burden estimate to the Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436.