

listed in the **ADDRESSES** section by April 15, 2005.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments, identified by the title of the information collection activity, by any of the following methods:

(1) By mail sent to: Corporation for National and Community Service, Office of Leadership Development and Training; Attention Mr. David Bellama, Associate Director, Room 9623; 1201 New York Avenue, NW., Washington, DC, 20525.

(2) By hand delivery or by courier to the Corporation's mailroom at Room 6010 at the mail address given in paragraph (1) above, between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m. Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

(3) By fax to: (202) 208-4151, Attention Mr. David Bellama, Associate Director.

(4) Electronically through the Corporation's e-mail address system: dbellama@cns.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David Bellama, (202) 606-5000, ext. 483, or by e-mail at dbellama@cns.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Corporation is particularly interested in comments that:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Corporation, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are expected to respond, including the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology (e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses).

Background

The application to be published by the Corporation's Office of Leadership Development and Training will be completed by applicant organizations interested in providing T/TA services to the Corporation's grantee programs. This application will be completed electronically using the Corporation's web-based grants management system, eGrants.

Current Action

The Corporation is seeking approval of the document entitled 2005 Application Instructions: Training and Technical Assistance Cooperative Agreements currently approved through emergency clearance. The application includes submission and compliance requirements, application instructions, selection criteria, and reporting requirements for applications selected for awards. The Application Instructions also include several appendices that require the following:

- SF424 Facesheet Instructions and Facesheet
- Assurances and Certifications
- SF424A Budget Instructions
- Survey on Ensuring Equal Opportunity

This emergency clearance for this information collection will expire on 5/31/05.

Type of Review: New; currently approved through emergency clearance.

Agency: Corporation for National and Community Service.

Title: 2005 Application Instructions: Training and Technical Assistance Cooperative Agreements.

OMB Number: 3045-0105.

Agency Number: None.

Affected Public: Current and prospective T/TA providers.

Total Respondents: 75.

Frequency: Once in three years.

Average Time Per Response: Averages 80 hour for preparing an application.

We expect to select approximately 15 T/TA providers for cooperative agreements. These 15 providers will prepare budget and activity projections at the beginning of the budget period (usually one year) and report semi-annually on actual activities and funds expended. It is anticipated that they will spend approximately 12 hours on the budget/activity projection and 34 hours each per semi-annual report, or 80 hours each per year.

The level of burden for both the application and the periodic budget planning and reporting is attributable to the extensive, national scope of the services that will need to be described in the application and the subsequent planning and reporting documents. The organizations selected for cooperative agreements are expected to provide materials and services that will serve Corporation grantees and subgrantees regardless of size (large non-profit institution, small community organization), type of program (Senior Corps, AmeriCorps, state or national parent organization, urban, rural, tribal), geographic location (east coast, west coast, U.S. territory, multi-site, multi-

state), or experience (veteran program or new start-up).

Estimates for the above time burdens were determined by consulting a sample of current and potential respondents.

Estimated Total Burden Hours: 7200.

Total Burden Cost (capital/startup): None.

Total Burden Cost (operating/maintenance): None.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for Office of Management and Budget approval of the information collection request; they will also become a matter of public record.

Dated: February 7, 2005.

Gretchen Van Der Veer,

Director, Office of Leadership Development and Training.

[FR Doc. 05-2750 Filed 2-11-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6050-SS-P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Navy

Public Hearings for the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for Navy Air-To-Ground Training at Avon Park Air Force Range, Florida

AGENCY: Department of the Navy, DoD.

ACTION: Announcement of public hearings.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to Section (102)(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969, as implemented by the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations (40 Code of Federal Regulations Parts 1500-1517), the U.S. Department of the Navy (Navy) has prepared a Draft Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) to evaluate the potential environmental consequences of utilizing Avon Park Air Force Range (APAFR), Florida, as a location for high-explosive (HE) and inert/practice air-to-ground ordnance training for East Coast carrier-based strike/fighter aviation squadrons. Squadrons would use APAFR in combination with other available air-to-ground range assets to meet the operational requirements of its Fleet Readiness Training Program (FRTTP). FRTTP air-to-ground training will encompass operations associated with Navy integrated and sustainment level training exercises and combat certification. The Draft EIS focuses on air-to-ground training alternatives within APAFR. These alternatives encompass varying mixtures of ordnance types among different impact areas within APAFR.

This notice is to announce public hearings for the Draft EIS. An open information session will precede the scheduled public hearing and will allow individuals to review the data presented in the Draft EIS. Navy representatives will be available during the information session to clarify information related to the Draft EIS. The information session of the hearing is scheduled from 7 p.m. to 7:45 p.m., followed by a formal public hearing from 7:45 p.m. to 9 p.m.

DATES: The meeting dates are as follows:

1. March 1, 2005, 7 p.m. to 9 p.m., Frostproof, FL.
2. March 2, 2005, 7 p.m. to 9 p.m., Sebring, FL.
3. March 3, 2005, 7 p.m. to 9 p.m., Avon Park, FL.

ADDRESSES: Public hearings locations are as follows:

1. Frostproof—Frostproof High School (Cafeteria), 1000 N. Palm Street, Frostproof, FL 33843.
2. Sebring—Sebring Civic Center (behind Public Library) 355 West Center Avenue, Sebring, FL 33870.
3. Avon Park—City of Avon Park Community Center, 310 West Main Street, Avon Park, FL 33825.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Will Sloger, Southern Div., Naval Facilities Engineering Command, P.O. Box 190010, North Charleston, SC 29419-9010; telephone (843) 820-5797; facsimile (843) 820-7472.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The proposed action is to add to APAFR's capabilities to allow it to conduct all components of "air-to-ground ordnance delivery and training" of integrated and sustainment levels of the FRTP at the range, a critical element of which is delivery of HE ordnance. Training would originate from afloat Navy Carrier Strike Groups operating in either the Atlantic Ocean or the Gulf of Mexico. FRTP training exercises are typically conducted three times a year, but depending on world conditions and military requirements, up to six exercises could occur annually. Although unlikely, there is a remote possibility that APAFR could be utilized as the sole range for the delivery of HE munitions for the FRTP over the course of a given year. This would be the exception rather than the rule. Each exercise would use the range for 20 days, resulting in Navy specific use of the range for as many as 60 days during a typical year, up to a maximum of 120 days. The purpose of the proposed action is to improve and enhance the Atlantic Fleet's depth of range resources for FRTP, and, consequently, increase its flexibility to conduct training. The Atlantic Fleet needs to fulfill its

statutory mission to have combat-capable air forces ready to deploy worldwide. The Navy is the lead agency for the proposed action with the U.S. Department of the Air Force (Air Force) serving as cooperating agency.

In the Draft EIS, the Navy initially identifies nine candidate ranges for conducting all components of air-to-ground training exercises associated with the FRTP. Two of these ranges (Eglin Air Force Base and the Navy's Pinecastle Range, both in Florida) do not meet the purpose of the proposed action to enhance the depth of available resources. These ranges currently support all components of air-to-ground ordnance delivery, including use of explosive ordnance, and training. Six of the remaining seven (Rodman Bombing Range, Florida; Lake George Bombing Range, Florida; Townsend Bombing Range, Georgia; Dare County Bombing Range, North Carolina; Cherry Point Range Complex, North Carolina; and Camp Shelby Range Complex, Mississippi) candidates fail to fully meet the aircrew training enhancement objectives used to screen the ranges.

Six air-to-ground training alternatives within APAFR are analyzed in detail in the Draft EIS. The alternatives involve using different combinations of target ranges at APAFR. The preferred alternative would use a range identified as the Alpha Plus range for air-to-ground training. This alternative minimizes impacts to endangered species and their habitat on APAFR, as well as other operations and activities on the range.

The Draft EIS has been distributed to various Federal, state, and local agencies, as well as other interested individuals and organizations. In addition, copies of the Draft EIS have been distributed to the following libraries for public review:

1. Avon Park Library, 100 North Museum Avenue, Avon Park, FL 33825.
2. Maxcy Memorial Library, 15 North Magnolia, Frostproof, FL 33843.
3. Sebring Public Library, 319 W Center Ave, Sebring, FL 33870.
4. Lakeland Public Library, 100 Lake Morton Dr, Lakeland, FL 33801.

An electronic copy of the Draft EIS is also available for public viewing at <http://www.avonpark.ene.com>. A limited number of single copies of the Draft EIS, in paper copy or on compact disk, and Executive Summary are available upon request by contacting Mr. Will Sloger at (843) 820-5797.

Federal, State, and local agencies, as well as interested parties are invited and urged to be present or represented at the hearings. Oral statements will be heard and transcribed by a stenographer;

however, to ensure the accuracy of the record, all statements should be submitted in writing. All statements, both oral and written, will become part of the public record on the Draft EIS and will be responded to in the Final FEIS. Equal weight will be given to both oral and written statements.

In the interest of available time, and to ensure all who wish to give an oral statement have the opportunity to do so, each speaker's comments will be limited to three (3) minutes. If a longer statement is to be presented, it should be summarized at the public hearing and the full text submitted in writing either at the hearing or mailed or faxed to: Commander, Southern Division Naval Facilities Engineering Command, Attn: Mr. Will Sloger (Code ES12), P.O. Box 190010, North Charleston, SC 29419-9010, telephone (843) 820-5797, facsimile (843) 820-7472.

All written comments postmarked by March 14, 2005, will become a part of the official public record and will be responded to in the Final EIS.

Dated: February 8, 2005.

I.C. Le Moyne Jr.,

Lieutenant, Judge Advocate General's Corps, U.S. Navy, Alternate Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 05-2817 Filed 2-11-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3810-FF-P

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

AGENCY: Department of Education.

SUMMARY: The Leader, Information Management Case Services Team, Regulatory Information Management Services, Office of the Chief Information Officer invites comments on the submission for OMB review as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before March 16, 2005.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be addressed to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention: Carolyn Lovett, Desk Officer, Department of Education, Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th Street, NW., Room 10235, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503 or faxed to (202) 395-6974.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 3506 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35) requires that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) provide interested Federal agencies and the public an early